B Notes

B.1 Notes to section 3 on quark masses

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09, 0.06	Scale set through the Wilson flow parameter w_0 .
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	HISQ action for both valence and sea quarks. Absolute scale though f_{π} .
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	0.062, 0.082, 0.089	Scale set through f_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement, flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$. Discretization and volume effects due to the $\pi^0 - \pi^{\pm}$ mass splitting are taken into account through χ PT for twisted mass fermions.

Table 60: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
QCDSF/UKQCD 15	[4]	2+1	0.07	Scale set through the gradient flow parameter w_0 .
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	0.063, 0.084, 0.114, 0.144	Scale set through M_{Ω} .
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	0.085, 0.113, 0.144	Scale set through M_{Ω} .
PACS-CS 12	[7]	1+1+1	0.09	Reweighting of PACS-CS 08 $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD configurations with e.m. and $m_u \neq m_d$.
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.15	MILC staggered ensembles [9], scale set using r_1 determined by HPQCD with Υ splittings, pseudoscalar decay constants, through r_1 [10].

Table 61: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
PACS-CS 10	[11]	2+1	0.09	cf. PACS-CS 08
MILC 10A	[9]	2+1		cf. MILC 09, 09A
BMW 10A, 10B	[12, 13]	2+1	0.054, 0.065, 0.077, 0.093, 0.116	Scale set via $M_{\pi}, M_{K}, M_{\Omega}$.
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	0.114, 0.087	Scale set through M_{Ω} .
Blum 10	[15]	2+1	0.11	Relies on RBC/UKQCD 08 scale setting.
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	0.09	Scale setting via M_{Ω} .
HPQCD 09A, 10	[17, 18]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on RS χ PT. See MILC 09 for details.
MILC 09A, 09	[19, 20]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on RS χ PT.
PACS-CS 08	[21]	2+1	0.09	Scale set through M_{Ω} . Non-perturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved.
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	0.11	Scale set through M_{Ω} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improvement due to appoximate chiral symmetry. $(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}a)^2 \approx 4\%$ systematic error due to lattice artifacts added.
CP-PACS/JLQCD 07	[23]	2+1	0.07, 0.10, 0.12	Scale set through M_K or M_{ϕ} . Non-perturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved.
HPQCD 05	[24]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Scale set through the $\Upsilon-\Upsilon'$ mass difference.
HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04, MILC 04	[25, 26]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on $RS\chi PT$.

Table 61: (cntd.) Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
ETM 14D	[27]	2	0.094	Scale set through F_{π} , r_0 , t_0 and w_0 . Twisted Wilson fermions plus clover term. Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement.
RM123 13	[28]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067, 0.054	cf. ETM 10B
ALPHA 12	[29]	2	0.076, 0.066, 0.049	Scale set through F_K .
RM123 11	[30]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067, 0.054	cf. ETM 10B
Dürr 11	[31]	2	0.076, 0.072, 0.060	Scale for light-quark masses set through m_c .
ETM 10B	[32]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067, 0.054	Scale set through F_{π} .
JLQCD/TWQCD 08A	[33]	2	0.12	Scale set through r_0 .
RBC 07	[34]	2	0.12	Scale set through M_{ρ} .
ETM 07	[35]	2	0.09	Scale set through F_{π} .
QCDSF/UKQCD 06	[36]	2	0.065-0.09	Scale set through r_0 .
SPQcdR 05	[37]	2	0.06,0.08	Scale set through M_{K^*} .
ALPHA 05	[38]	2	0.07-0.12	Scale set through r_0 .
QCDSF/UKQCD 04	[39]	2	0.07-0.12	Scale set through r_0 .
JLQCD 02	[40]	2	0.09	Scale set through M_{ρ} .
CP-PACS 01	[41]	2	0.11, 0.16, 0.22	Scale set through M_{ρ} .

Table 62: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	$128_{\pi,5} (173_{\text{RMS}})$	Sea quark masses linearly extrapolated/interpolated to physical values. m_s determined from physical m_s/m_c and m_c .
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	$128_{\pi,5} (143_{\text{RMS}})$	Linear interpolation to physical point. The lightest RMS mass is from the $a=0.06$ fm ensemble and the lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is from the $a=0.09$ fm ensemble.
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	$180_{\pi^0}(220_{\pi^\pm})$	Chiral extrapolation performed through $SU(2)$ $\chi {\rm PT}$ or polynomial fit.

Table 63: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases, m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
QCDSF/UKQCD 1	5 [4]	2+1	205 (val.)	Expansion around the symmetric point $m_u = m_d = m_s$.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	139	NLO PQ $SU(2)$ χ PT as well as analytic ansätze.
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	170	Combined fit to Iwasaki and Iwasaki+DSDR gauge action ensembles.
PACS-CS 12	[7]	1+1+1		cf. PACS-CS 08
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	210 (val.) 280 (sea-RMS)	NLO $SU(3)$, mixed-action χ PT [42], with N ² LO-N ⁴ LO analytic terms.
PACS-CS 10	[11]	2+1		cf. PACS-CS 08
MILC 10A	[9]	2+1		NLO $SU(2)$ S χ PT. cf. also MILC 09A, 09.
BMW 10A, 10B	[12, 13]	2+1	135	Interpolation to the physical point.
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	290	NLO PQ $SU(2)$ χ PT as well as analytic ansätze.
Blum 10	[15, 22]	2+1	242 (valence), 330 (sea)	Extrapolation done on the basis of $PQ\chi PT$ formulae with virtual photons.

Table 64: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	135	Physical point reached by reweighting technique, no chiral extrapolation needed.
HPQCD 09A, 10	[17, 18]	2+1		cf. MILC 09
MILC 09A, 09	[19, 20]	2+1	177, 224	NLO $SU(3)$ RS χ PT, continuum χ PT at NNLO and NNNLO and NNNLO analytic terms. The lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is 177 MeV (09A) and 224 MeV (09) (at $a=0.09 {\rm fm}$) and the lightest RMS mass is 258MeV (at $a=0.06 {\rm fm}$).
PACS-CS 08	[21]	2+1	156	NLO $SU(2)$ χ PT and $SU(3)$ (Wilson) χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	242 (valence), 330 (sea)	$SU(3)$ PQ χ PT and heavy kaon NLO $SU(2)$ PQ χ PT fits.
CP-PACS/JLQCD 07	[23]	2+1	620	NLO Wilson χ PT fits to meson masses.
HPQCD 05	[24]	2+1	240	PQ RS χ PT fits.
HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04, MILC 04	[25, 26]	2+1	240	PQ RS χ PT fits.

Table 64: (cntd.) Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min}$ [MeV]	Description
ETM 14D	[27]	2	140	Charged/neutral pion mass breaking, $M_{\pi^p m}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$, estimated to be $\simeq 20$ MeV.
RM123 13	[28]	2	270	Fits based on NLO χ PT and Symanzik expansion up to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$. $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ e.m. effects included.
ALPHA 12	[29]	2	270	NLO $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ χPT and $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ on LO LEC.
RM123 11	[30]	2	270	Fits based on NLO χ PT and Symanzik expansion up to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$.
Dürr 11	[31]	2	285	m_c/m_s determined by quadratic or cubic extrapolation in M_π .
ETM 10B	[32]	2	270	Fits based on NLO χ PT and Symanzik expansion up to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$.
JLQCD/TWQCD 08A	[33]	2	290	NLO χ PT fits.
RBC 07	[34]	2	440	NLO fit including $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ effects.
ETM 07	[35]	2	300	Polynomial and PQ χ PT fits.
QCDSF/UKQCD 06	[36]	2	520 (valence), 620 (sea)	NLO (PQ) χ PT fits.
SPQcdR 05	[37]	2	600	Polynomial fit.
ALPHA 05	[38]	2	560	LO χ PT fit.
QCDSF/UKQCD 04	[39]	2	520 (valence), 620 (sea)	NLO (PQ) χ PT fits.
JLQCD 02	[40]	2	560	Polynomial and χPT fits.
CP-PACS 01	[41]	2	430	Polynomial fits.

Table 65: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	2.5-5.8	3.7	
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	2.8-5.8	$3.9_{\rm RMS}(3.7_{\pi,5})$	Includes error estimate from NNLO $S\chi PT$.
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	2.0 - 3.0	$2.7_{\pi^0} \ (3.3_{\pi^\pm})$	FV effect for the pion is corrected through resummed NNLO χ PT for twisted mass fermions, which takes into account the effects due to the $\pi^0-\pi^\pm$ mass splitting.

Table 66: Finite volume effects in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \min} L$	Description
QCDSF/UKQCD 15	[4]	2+1	1.7, 2.2, 3.4		Effective field theory used to extrapolate to infinite volume.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	2.0, 2.7, 4.6, 5.4	3.8	Uses FV chiral perturba- tion theory to estimate the error, which is deemed negligible and omitted.
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	$2.7, 4.6 \qquad \qquad \gtrsim 4.0$		Uses FV chiral perturbation theory to estimate the error.
PACS-CS 12	[7]	1+1+1			cf. PACS-CS 08
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	2.5, 2.9, 3.0, 3.6, 3.8, 4.8	4.1 (val.) 4.1 (sea)	Data corrected using NLO $SU(3)$ $\chi {\rm PT}$ finite-V formulae.

Table 67: Finite volume effects in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
PACS-CS 10	[11]	2+1			cf. PACS-CS 08
MILC 10A	[9]	2+1			cf. MILC 09A, 09
BMW 10A, 10B	[12, 13]	2+1	$\gtrsim 5.0$	$\gtrsim 4.0$	FV corrections below 5 per mil on the largest lattices.
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	2.7	$\gtrsim 4.0$	
Blum 10	[15]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	_	Simulations done with quenched photons; large finite volume effects analytically corrected for, but not related to $M_{\pi}L$.
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	2.9	2.0	Only one volume.
HPQCD 09A, 10	[17, 18]	2+1			cf. MILC 09
MILC 09A, 09	[19, 20]	2+1	2.5, 2.9, 3.4, 3.6, 3.8, 5.8	4.1, 3.8	
PACS-CS 08	[21]	2+1	2.9	2.3	Correction for FV from χ PT using [43].
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	4.6	Various volumes for comparison and correction for FV from χ PT [43–45].
CP-PACS/JLQCD 07	[23]	2+1	2.0	6.0	Estimate based on the comparison to a $L=1.6$ fm volume assuming powerlike dependence on L .
HPQCD 05	[24]	2+1	2.4, 2.9	3.5	
HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04, MILC 04	[25, 26]	2+1	2.4, 2.9	3.5	NLO S χ PT.

Table 67: (cntd.) Finite volume effects in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f=2+1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
ETM 14D	[27]	2	2.2, 4.5	3.2	
RM123 13	[28]	2	$\gtrsim 2.0$	3.5	One volume $L = 1.7$ fm at $m_{\pi} = 495, a = 0.054$ fm.
ALPHA 12	[29]	2	2.1-3.2	4.2	Roughly 2 distinct volumes; no analysis of FV effects.
RM123 11	[30]	2	$\gtrsim 2.0$	3.5	One volume $L=1.7$ fm at $m_{\pi}=495,~a=0.054$ fm.
Dürr 11	[31]	2	1.22-2.30	2.8	A number of volumes in determination of m_c/m_s , but all but one have $L < 2$ fm.
ETM 10B	[32]	2	$\gtrsim 2.0$	3.5	One volume $L = 1.7$ fm at $m_{\pi} = 495, a = 0.054$ fm.
JLQCD/TWQCD 08A	[33]	2	1.9	2.8	Corrections for FV based on NLO χ PT.
RBC 07	[34]	2	1.9	4.3	Estimate of FV effect based on a model.
ETM 07	[35]	2	2.1	3.2	NLO PQ χ PT
QCDSF/UKQCD 06	[36]	2	1.4–1.9	4.7	
SPQcdR 05	[37]	2	1.0-1.5	4.3	Comparison between 1.0 and 1.5 fm.
ALPHA 05	[38]	2	2.6	7.4	
QCDSF/UKQCD 04	[39]	2	1.7–2.0	4.7	
JLQCD 02	[40]	2	1.8	5.1	Numerical study with three volumes.
CP-PACS 01	[41]	2	2.0-2.6	5.7	

Table 68: Finite volume effects in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f=2$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	Renormalization not required through the use of the ratio m_c/m_s .
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	Renormalization not required for m_s/m_{ud} .
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).

Table 69: Renormalization in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f=2+1+1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Description
QCDSF/UKQCD 15	[4]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/SMOM).
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/SMOM).
PACS-CS 12	[7]	1+1+1	cf. PACS-CS 10
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	Z_A from AWI and Z_A/Z_S-1 from 1-loop, tadpole-improved, perturbation theory.
PACS-CS 10	[11]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization and running; Schrödinger functional method.
MILC 10A	[9]	2+1	cf. MILC 09A, 09
BMW 10A, 10B	[12, 13]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (tree-level improved RI-MOM), nonperturbative running.
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/SMOM).
Blum 10	[15]	2+1	Relies on nonperturbative renormalization factors calculated by RBC/UKQCD 08; no QED renormalization.
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization; Schrödinger functional method.
HPQCD 09A, 10	[17, 18]	2+1	Lattice calculation of m_s/m_c : m_s derived from a perturbative determination of m_c .
MILC 09A, 09	[19, 20]	2+1	2-loop perturbative renormalization.
PACS-CS 08	[21]	2+1	1-loop perturbative renormalization.
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization, 3-loop perturbative matching.
CP-PACS/JLQCD 07	[23]	2+1	1-loop perturbative renormalization, tadpole improved.
HPQCD 05	[24]	2+1	2-loop perturbative renormalization.
HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04, MILC 04	[25, 26]	2+1	1-loop perturbative renormalization.

Table 70: Renormalization in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f=2+1$ quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Description
ETM 14D	[27]	2	Renormalization not required for m_s/m_{ud} .
RM123 13	[28]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
ALPHA 12	[29]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
RM123 11	[30]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
Dürr 11	[31]	2	Lattice calculation of m_s/m_c : m_s derived from a perturbative determination of m_c .
ETM 10B	[32]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
JLQCD/TWQCD 08A	[33]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
RBC 07	[34]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
ETM 07	[35]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
QCDSF/UKQCD 06	[36]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
SPQcdR 05	[37]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
ALPHA 05	[38]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
QCDSF/UKQCD 04	[39]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization.
JLQCD 02	[40]	2	1-loop perturbative renormalization.
CP-PACS 01	[41]	2	1-loop perturbative renormalization.

Table 71: Renormalization in determinations of m_{ud} , m_s and, in some cases m_u and m_d , with $N_f=2$ quark flavours.

S. Aoki et al., Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics, 1607.00299

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through the Wilson flow parameter w_0 .
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	0.062, 0.082, 0.089	Scale set through F_{π} .
ETM 14A	[46]	2+1+1	0.062,0.082,0.089	Scale set through the nucleon mass M_N .
JLQCD 15B	[47]	2+1	0.044, 0.055. 0.083	Möbius domain wall fermions.
$\chi { m QCD} \ 14$	[48]	2+1	0.087, 0.11	Overlap valence fermions on domain-wall sea quarks from [14]. The lattice scale is set together with the strange and charm quark masses using the experimental values of the D_s , D_s^* and J/ψ meson masses.
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	0.044, 0.059, 0.085, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through the static- quark potential parameter r_1 .
HPQCD 08B	[49]	2+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through the static- quark potential parameter r_1 .
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	0.048, 0.065	Scale set through F_K .
ETM 11F	[51]	2		cf. ETM 10B
ETM 10B	[32]	2	0.054, 0.067, 0.085, 0.098	Scale set through F_{π} .

Table 72: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in the determinations of m_c .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	$128_{\pi,5} \ (173_{RMS})$	
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	$180_{\pi^0} \ (220_{\pi^{\pm}})$	
ETM 14A	[46]	2+1+1	210	cf. ETM 14
JLQCD 15B	[47]	2+1		
$\chi \text{QCD 14}$	[48]	2+1	290	
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	260	
HPQCD 08B	[49]	2+1		
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	190	
ETM 11F	[51]	2		cf. ETM 10B
ETM 10B	[32]	2	270	

Table 73: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in the determinations of m_c .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	2.5 - 5.8	3.7	
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	2.0 - 3.0	$2.7_{\pi^0} \ (3.3_{\pi^\pm})$	
ETM 14A	[46]	2+1+1	2.0 - 3.0	$2.7_{\pi^0} \ (3.3_{\pi^\pm})$	
JLQCD 15B	[47]	2+1	2.7		
χ QCD 14	[48]	2+1	2.8	4.1	
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	2.3 - 3.4	3.8	
HPQCD 08B	[49]	2+1			
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	4.2	4.0	
ETM 11F	[51]	2			cf. ETM 10B
ETM 10B	[32]	2	$\gtrsim 2.0$	3.5	

Table 74: Finite volume effects in the determinations of m_c .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Description
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	Renormalization not required.
ETM 14	[3]	2+1+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).
ETM 14A	[46]	2+1+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).
JLQCD 15B	[47]	2+1	Renormalization not required.
$\chi \text{QCD 14}$	[48]	2+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	Renormalization not required.
HPQCD 08B	[49]	2+1	Renormalization not required.
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM) plus 1-loop PT estimate for the improvement b-coefficients.
ETM 11F	[51]	2	Renormalization not required.
ETM 10B	[32]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).

Table 75: Renormalization in the determinations of m_c .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$a~[\mathrm{fm}]$	Description
HPQCD 14B	[52]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through the $\Upsilon' - \Upsilon$ mass splitting.
ETM 14B	[53]	2+1+1	0.062, 0.082, 0.089	Scale set through F_{π} .
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through the Wilson flow parameter w_0 .
HPQCD 13B	[54]	2+1	0.084, 0.12	Scale set through the static- quark potential parameter r_1 .
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	0.044, 0.059, 0.084, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through the static- quark potential parameter r_1 .
ETM 13B	[55]	2	0.054, 0.067, 0.085, 0.098	Scale set through the static- quark potential parameter r_0 .
ALPHA 13C	[56]	2	0.048, 0.065, 0.075	Scale set through F_K .
ETM 11A	[57]	2	0.054, 0.067, 0.085, 0.098	Scale set through F_{π} .

Table 76: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in the determinations of m_b .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$
HPQCD 14B	[52]	2+1+1	306, 128
ETM 14B	[53]	2+1+1	210
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	$128_{\pi,5} \ (173_{RMS})$
HPQCD 13B	[54]	2+1	345
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	260
ETM 13B	[55]	2	280
ALPHA 13C	[56]	2	190
ETM 11A	[57]	2	280

Table 77: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in the determinations of m_b .

 $S.\ Aoki\ et\ al.,\ \textit{Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics},\ \ \textbf{1607.00299}$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L~[{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \min} L$
HPQCD 14B	[52]	2+1+1	2.4-7.8	3.0-3.8
ETM 14B	[53]	2+1+1	1.9-2.8	3.0-5.8
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	2.5-5.8	3.7
HPQCD 13B	[54]	2+1	2.4, 3.4	4.1
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	2.3 - 3.4	3.8
ETM 13B	[55]	2	$\gtrsim 2.0$	3.5
ALPHA 13C	[56]	2	2.3-3.6	4.1
ETM 11A	[57]	2	$\gtrsim 2.0$	3.5

Table 78: Finite volume effects in the determinations of m_b .

 $S.\ Aoki\ et\ al.,\ \textit{Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics},\ \ \textbf{1607.00299}$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Description
HPQCD 14B	[52]	2+1+1	Renormalization not required.
ETM 14B	[53]	2+1+1	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).
HPQCD 14A	[1]	2+1+1	Renormalization not required.
HPQCD 13B	[54]	2+1	Renormalization not required.
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	Renormalization not required.
ETM 13B	[55]	2	Non-perturbative renormalization (RI/MOM).
ALPHA 13C	[56]	2	Non-perturbatively matched and renormalized HQET.
ETM 11A	[57]	2	Renormalization not required.

Table 79: Lattice renormalization in the determinations of m_b .

B.2 Notes to section 4 on $|V_{ud}|$ and $|V_{us}|$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
ETM 16	[58]	2+1+1	0.062, 0.082, 0.089	Scale set through f_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement.
FNAL/MILC 13E	[59]	2+1+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	HISQ action for both sea and valence quarks. Relative scale through r_1 , physical scale from pseudoscalar decay constants calculated with Asqtad fermions. The ensemble with $a \simeq 0.06$ fm is used only for cross-checking discretization effects.
FNAL/MILC 13C	[60]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Relative scale through r_1 , physical scale from f_{π} calculated by MILC 09A at $N_f=2+1$.
JLQCD 16	[61]	2+1	0.112	Scale set through Ω mass.
RBC/UKQCD 15A	[62]	2+1	0.08, 0.11	Scale set through Ω mass.
FNAL/MILC 12I	[63]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Relative scale r_1 , physical scale determined from a mixture of f_{π} , f_K , radial excitation of Υ and $m_{D_s} - \frac{1}{2}m_{\eta_c}$.
RBC/UKQCD 13	[64]	2+1	0.09, 0.11, 0.14	Scale set through Ω mass.
JLQCD 12	[65]	2+1	0.112	Scale set through Ω mass.
JLQCD 11	[66]	2+1	0.112	Scale set through Ω mass.
RBC/UKQCD 07,10	[67, 68]	2+1	0.114(2)	Scale fixed through Ω baryon mass. Add $(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}a)^2 \approx 4\%$ systematic error for lattice artifacts. Fifth dimension with extension $L_s=16$, therefore small residual chiral symmetry breaking and approximate $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improvement.
ETM 10D	[69]	2	0.05, 0.07, 0.09, 0.10	Scale set through f_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr., flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$.
ETM 09A	[70]	2	0.07, 0.09, 0.10	Scale set through f_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr., flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$. Three lattice spacings only for pion mass $470 \mathrm{MeV}$.
QCDSF 07	[71]	2	0.075	Scale set with r_0 . Non-perturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved Wilson fermions, not clear whether currents improved.
RBC 06	[72]	2	0.12	Scale set through M_{ρ} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ - improvement due to approximate chiral symmetry of the action.
JLQCD 05	[73]	2	0.0887	Scale set through M_{ρ} . Non-perturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved Wilson fermions.

Table 80: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in the determinations of $f_{+}(0)$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
ETM 16	[58]	2+1+1	$180_{\pi^0}(220_{\pi^{\pm}})$	Chiral extrapolation performed through $SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$ χ PT.
FNAL/MILC 13E	[59]	2+1+1	$173_{\rm RMS}(128_{\pi,5})$ NLO $SU(3)$ PQ staggered χ PT tinuum χ PT at NNLO. Lightest Goldstone mass is 128 MeV and RMS mass is 173 MeV for the sa ensemble with $a \simeq 0.09$ fm.	
FNAL/MILC 13C	[60]	2+1+1	$173_{ m RMS}(128_{\pi,5})$	NLO $SU(3)$ PQ staggered χ PT with continuum χ PT at NNLO. Lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is 128 MeV and lightest RMS mass is 173 MeV for the same gauge ensemble with $a \simeq 0.09$ fm.
JLQCD 16	[61]	2+1	290	NNLO $SU(3)$ χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 15A	[62]	2+1	140	NLO $SU(3)$ χPT with phenomenological ansatz for higher orders or polynomial models.
FNAL/MILC 12I	[63]	2+1	$378_{ m RMS}(263_{\pi,5})$	NLO $SU(3)$ PQ staggered χ PT with either phenomenological NNLO ansatz or NNLO χ PT. Lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is 263 MeV with $a=0.12$ fm and lightest RMS mass is 378 MeV with $a=0.09$ fm.
RBC/UKQCD 13	[64]	2+1	170	NLO $SU(3)$ χPT with phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
JLQCD 12	[65]	2+1	290	NLO $SU(3)$ χPT with phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
JLQCD 11	[66]	2+1	290	NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT with phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
RBC/UKQCD 07,10	[67, 68]	2+1	330	NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT with phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
ETM 10D	[69]	2	$210_{\pi^0}(260_{\pi^{\pm}})$	NLO heavy kaon $SU(2)$ χ PT and NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT and phenomenological ansatz for higher orders. Average of $f_+(0)$ -fit and joint $f_+(0)$ - f_K/f_π -fit.
ETM 09A	[70]	2	$210_{\pi^0}(260_{\pi^\pm})$	NLO heavy kaon $SU(2)$ χ PT and NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT and phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
QCDSF 07	[71]	2	591	Only one value for the pion mass.
RBC 06	[72]	2	490	NLO $SU(3)$ $\chi {\rm PT}$ and phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
JLQCD 05	[73]	2	550	NLO $SU(3)$ $\chi {\rm PT}$ and phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.

Table 81: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of $f_+(0)$. The subscripts RMS and π , 5 in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass, respectively. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^{\pm} indicate the neutral and charged pion mass where applicable.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
ETM 16	[58]	2+1+1	2.0-3.0	$2.7_{\pi^0}(3.3_{\pi^\pm})$	FSE observed only in the slopes of the vector and scalar form factors.
FNAL/MILC 13E	[59]	2+1+1	2.9-5.8	$4.9_{\rm RMS}(3.6_{\pi,5})$	The values correspond to $M_{\pi, \rm RMS} = 173$ MeV and $M_{\pi,5} = 128$ MeV, respectively.
FNAL/MILC 13C	[60]	2+1+1	2.9-5.8	$4.9_{\rm RMS}(3.6_{\pi,5})$	The values correspond to $M_{\pi, \rm RMS} = 173~{ m MeV}$ and $M_{\pi,5} = 128~{ m MeV}$, respectively.
JLQCD 16	[61]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	4.1	
RBC/UKQCD 15A	[62]	2+1	2.6, 5.2	3.9	
FNAL/MILC 12I	[63]	2+1	2.4-3.4	$6.2_{\rm RMS}(3.8_{\pi,5})$	The values correspond to $M_{\pi, \rm RMS} = 378$ MeV and $M_{\pi,5} = 263$ MeV, respectively.
RBC/UKQCD 13	[64]	2+1	2.7, 4.6	3.9	
JLQCD 12	[65]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	4.1	
JLQCD 11	[66]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	4.1	
RBC/UKQCD 07,10	[67, 68]	2+1	1.8,2.7	4.7	Two volumes for all but the lightest pion mass.
ETM 10D	[69]	2	2.1-2.8	$3.0_{\pi^0}(3.7_{\pi^{\pm}})$	
ETM 09A	[70]	2	2.1, 2.8	$3.0_{\pi^0}(3.7_{\pi^\pm})$	Two volumes at $M_{\pi} = 300 \text{MeV}$ and χ PT-motivated estimate of the error due to FSE.
QCDSF 07	[71]	2	1.9	5.4	
RBC 06	[72]	2	1.9	4.7	
JLQCD 05	[73]	2	1.8	4.9	

Table 82: Finite volume effects in determinations of $f_+(0)$. The subscripts RMS and $\pi, 5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass, respectively. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^{\pm} indicate the neutral and charged pion mass where applicable.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
ETM 14E	[74]	2+1+1	0.062, 0.082, 0.089	Scale set through f_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement, flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$. Discretization and volume effects due to the $\pi^0 - \pi^{\pm}$ mass splitting are taken into account through χ PT for twisted-mass fermions.
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	HISQ action for both valence and sea quarks. Absolute scale though f_{π} .
HPQCD 13A	[75]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Relative scale through Wilson flow and absolute scale through f_{π} .
MILC 13A	[76]	2+1+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Absolute scale though f_{π} .
ETM 13F	[77]	2+1+1	0.062, 0.082, 0.089	Scale set through f_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement, flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$. Discretization and volume effects due to the $\pi^0 - \pi^{\pm}$ mass splitting are taken into account through χ PT for twisted-mass fermions.
ETM 10E	[78]	2+1+1	0.061, 0.078	Scale set through f_{π}/m_{π} . Two lattice spacings but a-dependence ignored in all fits. Finer lattice spacing from [79].
MILC 11	[80]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.12	Relative scale through $f_{\rm PS}/m_{\rm PS}=$ fixed, absolute scale though $f_{\pi}.$

Table 83: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of f_K/f_{π} for $N_f=2+1+1$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
BMW 16	[81, 82]	2+1	0.054, 0.065, 0.077, 0.092, 0.12	Scale set through M_{Ω} . Perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improvement.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	0.063, 0.085, 0.114	Scale set through m_{Ω} .
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	0.09, 0.11, 0.14	Scale set through m_{Ω} .
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	0.06, 0.09, 0.125	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on MA χ PT.
JLQCD/TWQCD 10	[83]	2+1	0.112	Scale set through M_{Ω} .
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	0.087, 0.114	Scale set through M_{Ω} .
MILC 10	[84]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09	3 lattice spacings, continuum extrapolation by means of RS χ PT.
BMW 10	[85]	2+1	$0.07, 0.08, \\ 0.12$	Scale set through $M_{\Omega,\Xi}$. Perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improvement.
JLQCD/TWQCD 09A	A [33]	2+1	0.1184(3)(21)	Scale set through F_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ - improvement due to chiral symmetry of action.
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	0.0900(4)	Scale set through M_{Ω} .
MILC 09A	[19]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on RS χ PT.
MILC 09	[20]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on RS χ PT.
Aubin 08	[86]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on MA χ PT.
PACS-CS 08, 08A	[21, 87]	2+1	0.0907(13)	Scale set through M_{Ω} . Non-perturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved.
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation on continuum- χ PT motivated ansatz. Taste breaking of sea quarks ignored.
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	0.114(2)	Scale set through M_{Ω} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ - improvement due to appoximate chiral symmetry. $(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}a)^2 \approx 4\%$ systematic error due to lattice artifacts added.
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	0.125	Scale set through r_0 and F_{π} . Taste breaking of sea quarks ignored.
MILC 04	[26]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Scale set through r_1 and Υ and continuum extrapolation based on RS χ PT.

Table 84: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of f_K/f_π for $N_f=2+1$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
ETM 14D	[27]	2	0.094	Scale set through F_{π} , r_0 , t_0 and w_0 . Twisted Wilson fermions plus clover term. Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement.
ALPHA 13A	[90]	2	0.05, 0.065, 0.075	Scale set through F_{π} . $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved Wilson action.
BGR 11	[91]	2	0.135	Scale set through $r_0 = 0.48$ fm. Chirally improved Dirac operator.
ETM 10D	[69]	2	0.05, 0.07, 0.09, 0.10	Scale set through F_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr., flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$.
ETM 09	[92]	2	0.07, 0.09, 0.10	Scale set through F_{π} . Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr., flavour symmetry breaking: $(M_{PS}^0)^2 - (M_{PS}^{\pm})^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$.
QCDSF/UKQCD 07	[93]	2	0.06, 0.07	Scale set through F_{π} . Non-perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improvement.

Table 85: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of f_K/f_{π} for $N_f=2$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min}$ [MeV]	Description
ETM 14E	[74]	2+1+1	$180_{\pi^0}(220_{\pi^\pm})$	Chiral extrapolation performed through $SU(2)$ χPT or polynomial fit.
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	$143_{\rm RMS}(128_{\pi,5})$	Linear interpolation to physical point. The lightest RMS mass is from the $a=0.06$ fm ensemble and the lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is from the $a=0.09$ fm ensemble.
HPQCD 13A	[75]	2+1+1	$173_{\text{RMS}}(128_{\pi,5})$	NLO χ PT supplemented by model for NNLO. Both the lightest RMS and the lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass are from the $a=0.09$ fm ensemble.
MILC 13A	[76]	2+1+1	$143_{\rm RMS}(128_{\pi,5})$	Linear interpolation to physical point. The lightest RMS mass is from the $a=0.06$ fm ensemble and the lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is from the $a=0.09$ fm ensemble.
ETM 13F	[77]	2+1+1	$180_{\pi^0}(220_{\pi^{\pm}})$	Chiral extrapolation performed through $SU(2)$ χPT or polynomial fit.
ETM 10E	[78]	2+1+1	$215_{\pi^0}(265_{\pi^{\pm}})$	
MILC 11	[80]	2+1+1	$173_{ m RMS}(128_{\pi,5})$	Quoted result from polynomial interpolation to the physical point. The lightest RMS mass is from the $a=0.06$ fm ensemble and lightest the Nambu-Goldstone mass is from the $a=0.09$ fm ensemble.

Table 86: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of f_K/f_π for $N_f=2+1+1$ simulations. The subscripts RMS and $\pi,5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^\pm indicate the neutral and charged pion mass and, where applicable, "val" and "sea" indicate valence and sea pion masses.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
BMW 16	[81, 82]	2+1	130	Comparison between $SU(3)$ χPT and polynomial it-ansätze.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	139	NLO PQ $SU(2)$ χ PT as well as analytic ansätze.
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	$171_{\rm sea},143_{\rm val}$	NLO PQ $SU(2)$ χ PT as well as analytic ansätze.
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	$250_{\rm RMS}(220_{\pi,5})$	NLO MA χ PT.
JLQCD/TWQCD 10	[83]	2+1	290	NNLO χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	290	Results are based on heavy kaon NLO $SU(2)$ PQ χ PT.
MILC 10	[84]	2+1	$258_{ m RMS}(177_{\pi,5})$	Lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is 177 MeV (at $0.09\mathrm{fm}$) and lightest RMS mass is $258\mathrm{MeV}$ (at $0.06\mathrm{fm}$). NLO rS χ PT and NNLO χ PT.
BMW 10	[85]	2+1	190	Comparison of various fit-ansätze: $SU(3)$ χ PT, heavy kaon $SU(2)$ χ PT, polynomial.
JLQCD/TWQCD 09A	[33]	2+1	290	NNLO $SU(3)$ χ PT.
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	156	NNLO χ PT.
MILC 09A	[19]	2+1	$258_{ m RMS}(177_{\pi,5})$	NLO $SU(3)$ RS χ PT, continuum χ PT at NNLO and up to NNNNLO analytic terms. Heavy kaon $SU(2)$ RS χ PT with NNLO continuum chiral logs on a sub-set of the lattices. The lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is 177 MeV (at $a=0.09~{\rm fm}$) and the lightest RMS mass is 258 MeV (at $a=0.06~{\rm fm}$).
MILC 09	[20]	2+1	$258_{ m RMS}(224_{\pi,5})$	NLO $SU(3)$ RS χ PT with continuum χ PT NNLO and NNNLO analytic terms added. According to [19] the lightest sea Nambu-Goldstone mass is 224 MeV and the lightest RMS mass is 258 MeV (at $a=0.06$ fm).

Table 87: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of f_K/f_π for $N_f=2+1$ simulations. The subscripts RMS and $\pi,5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^\pm indicate the neutral and charged pion mass and where applicable, "val" and "sea" indicate valence and sea pion masses.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
Aubin 08	[86]	2+1	$329_{\mathrm{RMS}}(246_{\pi,5})$	NLO MA χ PT. According to [19] the lightest sea Nambu-Goldstone mass is 246 MeV (at $a=0.09$ fm) and the lightest RMS mass is 329 MeV (at $a=0.09$ fm).
PACS-CS 08, 08A	[21, 87]	2+1	156	NLO $SU(2)$ χ PT and $SU(3)$ (Wilson) χ PT.
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	$375_{ m RMS}(263_{\pi,5})$	NLO $SU(3)$ chiral perturbation theory with NNLO and NNNLO analytic terms. The lightest RMS mass is from the $a=0.09$ fm ensemble and the lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is from the $a=0.12$ fm ensemble.
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	$330_{\rm sea},242_{\rm val}$	While $SU(3)$ PQ χ PT fits were studied, final results are based on heavy kaon NLO $SU(2)$ PQ χ PT.
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	300	NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT and some NNLO terms. The sea RMS mass for the employed lattices is heavier.
MILC 04	[26]	2+1	$400_{\rm RMS}(260_{\pi,5})$	PQ RS χ PT fits. The lightest sea Nambu-Goldstone mass is 260 MeV (at $a=0.12$ fm) and the lightest RMS mass is 400 MeV (at $a=0.09$ fm).

Table 87: (cntd.) Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of f_K/f_π for $N_f=2+1$ simulations. The subscripts RMS and $\pi,5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^{\pm} indicate the neutral and charged pion mass and where applicable, "val" and "sea" indicate valence and sea pion masses.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min}$ [MeV]	Description
ETM 14D	[27]	2	140	Charged/neutral pion mass breaking, $M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$, estimated to be $\simeq 20$ MeV.
ALPHA 13A	[90]	2	190	NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT and phenomenological ansatz for higher orders.
BGR 11	[91]	2	250	NLO $SU(2)$ χ PT. Strange quark mass fixed by reproducing the Ω mass.
ETM 10D	[69]	2	$210_{\pi^0}(260_{\pi^{\pm}})$	NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT and phenomenological ansatz for higher orders. Joint $f_+(0)$ - f_K/f_π -fit.
ETM 09	[92]	2	$210_{\pi^0} (260_{pi^{\pm}})$	NLO heavy meson $SU(2)$ χ PT and NLO $SU(3)$ χ PT.
QCDSF/UKQCD 07	[93]	2	300	Linear extrapolation of lattice data.

Table 88: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of f_K/f_{π} for $N_f=2$ simulations. The subscripts RMS and $\pi,5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^{\pm} indicate the neutral and charged pion mass and where applicable, "val" and "sea" indicate valence and sea pion masses.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \min} L$	Description
ETM 14E	[74]	2+1+1	2.0 - 3.0	$2.7_{\pi^0}(3.3_{\pi^\pm})$	FSE for the pion is corrected through resummed NNLO χ PT for twisted-mass fermions, which takes into account the effects due to the $\pi^0 - \pi^\pm$ mass splitting.
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	2.8-5.8	$3.9_{\rm RMS}(3.7_{\pi,5})$	
HPQCD 13A	[75]	2+1+1	2.5-5.8	$4.9_{\rm RMS}(3.7_{\pi,5})$	
MILC 13A	[76]	2+1+1	2.8 - 5.8	$3.9_{\rm RMS}(3.7_{\pi,5})$	
ETM 13F	[77]	2+1+1	2.0 - 3.0	$2.7_{\pi^0}(3.3_{\pi^\pm})$	FSE for the pion is corrected through resummed NNLO χ PT for twisted-mass fermions, which takes into account the effects due to the $\pi^0 - \pi^\pm$ mass splitting.
ETM 10E	[78]	2+1+1	1.9 - 2.9	$3.1_{\pi^0}(3.9_{\pi^{\pm}})$	Simulation parameters from [79, 94].
MILC 11	[80]	2+1+1	5.6, 5.7	$4.9_{\mathrm{RMS}}(3.7_{\pi,5})$	

Table 89: Finite volume effects in determinations of f_K/f_π for $N_f=2+1+1$. The subscripts RMS and $\pi,5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^\pm indicate the neutral and charged pion mass and where applicable, "val" and "sea" indicate valence and sea pion masses.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi, \min} L$	Description
BMW 16	[81, 82]	2+1	1.5–5.5	3.85	Various volumes for comparison and corrections for FSE from NLO χ PT with re-fitted coefficients.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	2.0, 2.7, 4.6, 5.4	3.8	
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	2.7, 4.6	3.3	For partially quenched $M_{\pi} = 143 \text{MeV}$, $M_{\pi}L = 3.3$ and for unitary $M_{\pi} = 171 \text{MeV}$, $M_{\pi}L = 4.0$.
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	2.5-4.0	$4.9_{\rm RMS}(4.3_{\pi,5})$	
$\rm JLQCD/TWQCD~10$	[83]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	4.0	
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	2.7	4.0	$M_{\pi}L = 4.0$ for lightest sea quark mass and $M_{\pi}L = 3.1$ for lightest partially quenched quark mass.
MILC 10	[84]	2+1	2.5-3.8	$7.0_{\mathrm{RMS}}(4.0_{\pi,5})$	$L \ge 2.9 \mathrm{fm}$ for the lighter masses.
BMW 10	[85]	2+1	2.0-5.3	4.0	Various volumes for comparison and correction for FSE from χ PT using [43].
JLQCD/TWQCD 09A	A [33]	2+1	1.9	2.8	Estimate of FSE using χ PT [43, 95].
PACS-CS 09	[16]	2+1	2.9	2.28	After reweighting to the physical point $M_{\pi,\min}L = 1.97$.
MILC 09A	[19]	2+1	2.5 – 5.8	$7.0_{\rm RMS}(4.1_{\pi,5})$	
MILC 09	[20]	2+1	2.4 – 5.8	$7.0_{ m RMS}(4.8_{\pi,5})$	Various volumes for comparison and correction for FSEs from $(RS)\chi PT$ [43].
Aubin 08	[86]	2+1	2.4-3.6	4.0	Correction for FSE from $MA\chi PT$.
PACS-CS 08, 08A	[21, 87]	2+1	2.9	2.3	Correction for FSE from χ PT using [43].
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	2.4-2.9	$4.1_{\rm RMS}(3.8_{\pi,5})$	Correction for FSE from χ PT using [43].
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	1.8, 2.7	$4.6_{\text{sea}}, 3.4_{rval}$	Various volumes for comparison and correction for FSE from χ PT [43–45].
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	2.5	3.8	Correction for FSE from $S\chi PT$ [96, 97].
MILC 04	[26]	2+1	2.4, 3.0	$4.8_{\rm RMS}(3.8_{\pi,5})$	NLO S χ PT.
ETM 14D	[27]	2	2.2, 4.5	3.2	
ALPHA 13A	[90]	2	2.1, 2.4, 3.1	4.0	
BGR 11	[91]	2	2.1, 2.2	2.7	
ETM 10D	[69]	2	2.1-2.8	$3.0_{\pi^0}(3.7_{\pi^{\pm}})$	
ETM 09	[92]	2	2.0 – 2.7	$3.0_{\pi^0}(3.7_{\pi^\pm})$	Correction for FSE from χ PT [43–45].
QCDSF/UKQCD 07	[93]	2	$1.4, \dots, 2.6$	4.2	Correction for FSE from χ PT.

Table 90: Finite volume effects in determinations of f_K/f_π for $N_f=2+1$ and $N_f=2$. The subscripts RMS and $\pi,5$ in the case of staggered fermions indicate the root-mean-square mass and the Nambu-Goldstone boson mass. In the case of twisted-mass fermions π^0 and π^{\pm} indicate the neutral and charged pion mass and where applicable, "val" and "sea" indicate valence and sea pion masses.

B.3 Notes to section 5 on Low-Energy Constants

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
HPQCD 13A, 15B	[75, 98]	2+1+1	0.09-0.15	Configurations are shared with MILC.
ETM 11, 13	[79, 99]	2+1+1	0.0607-0.0863	3 lattice spacings fixed through F_{π}/M_{π} .
ETM 10	[100]	2+1+1	0.078, 0.086	Fixed through F_{π}/M_{π} .
JLQCD 16B	[37]	2+1	0.04-0.08	Fixed through $\sqrt{t_0} = 0.1465(21)(13)$ fm.
JLQCD 15A	[102]	2+1	0.112	Fixed through Ω baryon mass
RBC/UKQCD 14B, 15I	E [5, 103]	2+1	$a^{-1} = 1.730 - 3.148$	Fixed through m_{π} , m_{K} , and m_{Ω} .
Boyle 14	[104]	2+1	$a^{-1} = 1.37, 2.31$	Shared with RBC/UKQCD 12.
BMW 13	[105]	2+1	0.054-0.093	Scale set through Ω baryon mass.
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	0.086, 0.114 and 0.144 for $M_{\pi}^{m_{\rm R}min}$	Scale set through m_{Ω} .
Borsanyi 12	[106]	2+1	0.097-0.284	Scale fixed through F_{π}/M_{π} .
NPLQCD 11	[107]	2+1	0.09, 0.125	Configurations are shared with MILC 09 [20].
MILC 09, 09A, 10, 10A	[9, 19, 20, 84]	2+1	0.045-0.18	3 lattice spacings, continuum extrapolation by means of RS χ PT.
JLQCD(/TWQCD) 08B, 09, 10A, 14	[108-111]	2+1, 3	0.11	One lattice spacing, fixed through m_{Ω} .
RBC/UKQCD 09, 10A	[14, 112]	2+1	0.1106(27), 0.0888(12)	Two lattice spacings. Data combined in global chiral-continuum fits.
TWQCD 08	[113]	2+1	0.122(3)	Scale fixed through m_{ρ} , r_0 .
PACS-CS 08, 11A	[21, 114]	2+1	0.0907	One lattice spacing.
RBC/UKQCD 08A, 08	[22, 115]	2+1	0.114	One lattice spacing, attempt to estimate cut-off effects via formal argument.
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	0.125	One lattice spacing, continuum χ PT used.
LHP 04	[116]	2+1	$\simeq 0.12$	Only one lattice spacing, mixed discretization approach.

Table 91: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in $N_f = 2+1+1$ and 2+1 determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
ETMC 15A	[117]	2	0.0914(3)(15)	Weighted average using $m_{\pi}, f_{\pi}, f_{K}, m_{N}$.
Gülpers 15	[118]	2	0.050, 0.063, 0.079	Scale fixed through m_{Ω} .
Engel 14	[119]	2	0.0483(4), 0.0652(6), 0.0749(8)	Scale fixed through F_K .
Gülpers 13	[120]	2	0.063	Scale fixed through m_{Ω} .
Brandt 13	[121]	2	0.05-0.08	Configurations are shared with CLS.
QCDSF 13	[122]	2	0.06-0.076	Scale fixed through $r_0 = 0.50(1)$ fm.
Bernardoni 11	[123]	2	0.0649(10)	Configurations are shared with CLS.
TWQCD 11A, 11	[124, 125]	2	0.1034(1)(2)	Scale fixed through r_0 .
Bernardoni 10	[126]	2	0.0784(10)	Scale fixed through M_K . Non- perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement. No estimate of systematic error.
ETM 09B	[127]	2	0.063, 0.073	Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr. $r_0 = 0.49 \text{fm}$ used.
ETM 09C, 12, 13	[99, 128, 129]	2	0.051-0.1	Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr. Scale fixed through F_{π} . 4 lattice spacings, continuum extrapolation.
ETM 08	[130]	2	0.07-0.09	Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr. Two lattice spacings. Scale fixed through F_{π} .
JLQCD/TWQCD 07, 07A, 08A, 09, 10A JLQCD 08A	[33, 110, 131–133], [134]	2	0.1184(3)(21)	Automatic $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr., exact chiral symmetry. Scale fixed through r_0 .
CERN 08	[135]	2	0.0784(10)	Scale fixed through M_K . Non-perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement.
Hasenfratz 08	[136]	2	0.1153(5)	Tree level $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement. Scale fixed through r_0 . Estimate of lattice artifacts via W χ PT [137].
CERN-TOV 06	[138] 2		0.0717(15), 0.0521(7), 0.0784(10)	Scale fixed through M_K . The lattice with $a = 0.0784(10)$ is obtained with non-perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement.
QCDSF/UKQCD 06A	[139]	2	0.07-0.115	5 lattice spacings. Non-perturbative $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement. Scale fixed through r_0 .

Table 92: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in $N_f=2$ determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

S. Aoki et al., Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics, 1607.00299

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
HPQCD 15B	[98]	2+1+1	175	Simulated at physical point.
HPQCD 13A	[75]	2+1+1	175	NLO chiral fit.
ETM 13	[99]	2+1+1	270	Linear fit in the quark mass.
ETM 11	[79]	2+1+1	270	NLO $SU(2)$ chiral fit.
ETM 10	[100]	2+1+1	270	SU(2) NLO and NNLO fits.

Table 93: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in $N_f=2+1+1$ determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min}$ [MeV]	Description
JLQCD 16B	[37]	2+1	225.8(0.3)	NLO $SU(2)$ ChPT
RBC/UKQCD 15E	[103]	2+1	117.3(4.4)	GMOR for Σ , NNLO PQ $SU(2)$ χ PT.
JLQCD 15A	[102]	2+1	290	Dynamical overlap, NNLO $SU(3)$.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	139.2	GMOR for Σ , global cont./chiral fit.
JLQCD 14	[111]	2+1	99	ϵ expansion.
Boyle 14	[104]	2+1	171	Combines latt/pheno.
BMW 13	[105]	2+1	120	NLO and NNLO $SU(2)$ fits tested with x and ξ expansion.
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	293 plus run at 171, 246	NLO $SU(2)$ $\chi {\rm PT}$ incl. finite-V and some discr. effects
Borsanyi 12	[106]	2+1	135	NNLO $SU(2)$ chiral fit.
NPLQCD 11	[107]	2+1	235	NNLO $SU(2)$ mixed action χ PT.
PACS-CS 11A	[114]	2+1	296	Additional test runs at physical point.
JLQCD/TWQCD 09, 10A	1 [110]	2+1,3	$100(\epsilon\text{-reg.}), \\ 290(p\text{-reg.})$	$N_f=2+1$ runs both in ϵ - and p -regime; $N_f=3$ runs only in p -regime. NLO χ PT fit of the spectral density interpolating the two regimes.
RBC/UKQCD 09, 10A	[14, 112]	2+1	290-420	Valence pions mass is 225-420 MeV. NLO $SU(2)$ $\chi {\rm PT}$ fit.
MILC 09, 09A, 10, 10A	[9, 19, 20, 84]	2+1	258	Lightest Nambu-Goldstone mass is $224\mathrm{MeV}$ and lightest RMS mass is $258\mathrm{MeV}$ (at $0.06\mathrm{fm}$).
TWQCD 08	[113]	2+1	$m_{ud} = m_s/4,$ $m_s \sim \text{phys.}$	Quark condensate extracted from topological susceptibility, LO chiral fit.
PACS-CS 08	[21]	2+1	156	Simulation at physical point.
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	330	$\begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Lightest} & {\rm velence} & {\rm pion} & {\rm mass} & {\rm is} \\ 242 {\rm MeV}. \end{array}$
RBC/UKQCD 08A	[115]	2+1	330	Computed at one pion mass.
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	460	Value refers to lightest RMS mass at $a=0.125\mathrm{fm}$ as quoted in [19].
LHP 04	[116]	2+1	318	Vector meson dominance fit.

Table 94: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in 2+1 determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \; [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description	
ETMC 15A	[117]	2	134	Simulation at physical point.	
Gülpers 15	[118]	2	193	NLO $SU(2)$ fit.	
Engel 14	[119]	2	193	NLO $SU(2)$ fit, Dirac op. and GMOR for Σ .	
Gülpers 13	[120]	2	280	NLO χ PT fit.	
Brandt 13	[121]	2	280	Configurations are shared with CLS.	
QCDSF 13	[122]	2	130	Fit with χ PT + analytic.	
ETM 12, 13	[99, 129]	2	260	Confs shared with ETM 09C.	
Bernardoni 11	[123]	2	312	Overlap varence + $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson sea, mixed regime χ PT.	
TWQCD 11	[125]	2	230	NLO $SU(2)$ χ PT fit.	
TWQCD 11A	[124]	2	220	NLO χ PT.	
Bernardoni 10	[126]	2	297, 377, 426	NLO $SU(2)$ fit of χ_{top} .	
JLQCD/TWQCD 10A	[110]	2	$\sqrt{2m_{\min}\Sigma}/F = 120 \ (\epsilon - \text{reg.}), 290 \ (p\text{-reg.})$	Data both in the p and ϵ -regime. NLO chiral fit of the spectral density interpolating the two regimes.	
JLQCD/TWQCD 09	[133]	2	290	LECs extracted from NNLO chiral fit of vector and scalar radii $\langle r^2 \rangle_{V,S}^{\pi}$.	
ETM 09B	[127]	2	$\sqrt{2m_{\min}\Sigma}/F=85$	NLO $SU(2)$ ϵ -regime fit.	
ETM 09C	[128]	2	280	NNLO $SU(2)$ fit.	
ETM 08	[130]	2	260	From pion form factor using NNLO χ PT and exp. value of $\langle r^2 \rangle_S^{\pi}$.	
JLQCD/TWQCD 08A JLQCD 08A	[33] [134]	2	290	NNLO $SU(2)$ fit.	
CERN 08	[135]	2	$m_{q,\min}=13 \text{ MeV}$	NLO $SU(2)$ fit for the mode number.	
Hasenfratz 08	[136]	2	$\sqrt{2m_{\min}\Sigma}/F=220$	NLO $SU(2)$ ϵ -regime fit.	
JLQCD/TWQCD 07	[132]	2	$\sqrt{2m_{\min}\Sigma}/F=120$	NLO $SU(2)$ ϵ -regime fit.	
JLQCD/TWQCD 07A	[131]	2	$m_{ud} = m_s/6 - m_s$	Σ from χ_t , LO chiral fit.	
CERN-TOV 06	[138]	2	403, 381, 377	NLO $SU(2)$ fit.	
QCDSF/UKQCD 06A	[139]	2	400	Several fit functions to extrapolate the pion form factor.	

Table 95: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in $N_f=2$ determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

 ${\rm S.\ Aoki\ et\ al.},\ \ \textit{Review\ of\ lattice\ results\ concerning\ low-energy\ particle\ physics},\ \ \textbf{1607.00299}$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
HPQCD 15B	[98]	2+1+1		4.8	
HPQCD 13A	[75]	2+1+1	4.8-5.5	3.3	3 volumes are compared.
ETM 13	[99]	2+1+1	1.9-2.8	3.0	4 volumes compared.
ETM 10, 11	[79, 100]	2+1+1	1.9-2.8	3.0	FSE estimate using [43]. $M_{\pi^+}L \gtrsim 4$, but $M_{\pi^0}L \sim 2$.
JLQCD 16B	[37]	2+1		4.1743	2 volumes.
RBC/UKQCD 15E	[103]	2+1		3.78	1 volume.
JLQCD 15A	[102]	2+1		3.88	1 volume.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1		5.476	1 volume.
JLQCD 14	[111]	2+1		1.8	ϵ -regime
Boyle 14	[104]	2+1		4.6	1 volume.
BMW 13	[105]	2+1	2.1	3.0	3 volumes are compared.
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	2.7-4.6	> 4	FSE seem to be very small.
Borsanyi 12	[106]	2+1	3.9	3.3	Expected to be less than 1%.
NPLQCD 11	[107]	2+1	2.5-3.5	3.6	Expected to be less than 1%.
MILC 09, 09A, 10, 10A	[9, 19, 20, 84]	2+1	2.52	3.5-4.11	$L \ge 2.9 \mathrm{fm}$ for lighter masses.
JLQCD/TWQCD 09, 10)A [110]	2+1, 3	1.9, 2.7		2 volumes are compared for a fixed quark mass.
RBC/UKQCD 09, 10A	[14, 112]	2+1	2.7	$\simeq 4$	FSE estimated using χ PT.
TWQCD 08	[113]	2+1	1.95	-	No estimate of FSE.
PACS-CS 08, 11A	[21, 114]	2+1	2.9	2.3	FSE is the main concern of the authors. Additional test runs on 64^4 .
RBC/UKQCD 08	[22]	2+1	2.74	4.6	FSE by means of χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 08A	[115]	2+1	2.74	4.6	FSE estimated to be $< 1\%$.
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	2.5	3.7	Value refers to lightest valence pion mass.
LHP 04	[116]	2+1	$\simeq 2.4$	3.97	Value refers to domain-wall valence pion mass.

Table 96: Finite volume effects in $N_f=2+1+1$ and 2+1 determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
ETMC 15A	[117]	2		4.39	2 volumes.
Gülpers 15	[118]	2		4.09	3 volumes, CLS confs.
Engel 14	[119]	2		4.2	3 volumes, CLS confs.
Gülpers 13	[120]	2	4–6	4.3	Configs. shared with CLS.
Brandt 13	[121]	2	~ 5	4	Configs. shared with CLS.
QCDSF 13	[122]	2	1.8 – 2.4	2.7	NLO χ PT is used for FSE.
Bernardoni 11	[123]	2	1.56	2.5	Mixed regime χ PT for FSE used.
TWQCD 11	[125]	2	1.65	1.92	$SU(2)$ χ PT is used for FSE.
TWQCD 11A	[124]	2	1.65	1.8	No estimate of FSE.
Bernardoni 10	[126]	2	1.88	2.8	FSE included in the NLO chiral fit.
JLQCD/TWQCD 10A	[110]	2	1.8-1.9		FSE estimated from different topological sectors.
JLQCD/TWQCD 09	[133]	2	1.89	2.9	FSE by NLO χ PT, Additional FSE for fixing topology [140].
ETM 09B	[127]	2	1.3, 1.5	ϵ -regime	Topology: not fixed. 2 volumes.
ETM 09C, 12, 13	[99, 128, 129]	2	2.0-2.5	3.2-4.4	Several volumes. Finite volume effects estimated through [43].
ETM 08	[130]	2	2.1, 2.8	3.4, 3.7	Only data with $M_{\pi}L \gtrsim 4$ are considered.
JLQCD/TWQCD 08A JLQCD 08A	[33] [134]	2	1.89	2.9	FSE estimates through [43] Additional FSE for fixing topology [140].
CERN 08	[135]	2	1.88, 2.51	-	Two volumes compared.
Hasenfratz 08	[136]	2	1.84, 2.77	ϵ -regime	Topology: not fixed, 2 volumes.
m JLQCD/TWQCD~07	[132]	2	1.78	ϵ -regime	Topology: fixed to $\nu = 0$.
JLQCD/TWQCD 07A	[131]	2	1.92	-	Topology fixed to $\nu = 0$ [140]
CERN-TOV 06	[138]	2	1.72, 1.67, 1.88	3.5, 3.2, 3.6	No estimate for FSE.
QCDSF/UKQCD 06A	[139]	2	1.4-2.0	3.8	NLO χ PT estimate for FSE [141].

Table 97: Finite volume effects in $N_f=2$ determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

 $S.\ Aoki\ et\ al.,\ \textit{Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics},\ \ \textbf{1607.00299}$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Description
HPQCD 15B	[98]	2+1+1	_
HPQCD 13A	[75]	2+1+1	_
ETM 10,11, 13	[79, 99, 100]	2+1+1	Non-perturbative
JLQCD 16B	[37]	2+1	Non-perturbative
RBC/UKQCD 15E	[103]	2+1	RI-SMOM
JLQCD 15A	[102]	2+1	RI-MOM
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	RI-SMOM
JLQCD 14	[111]	2+1	_
Boyle 14	[104]	2+1	_
BMW 13	[105]	2+1	Non-perturbative
RBC/UKQCD 12	[6]	2+1	Non-perturbative (RI/SMOM)
Borsanyi 12	[106]	2+1	Indirectly non-perturbative through [12] for Σ ; no renormalization needed for F , since only F_{π}/F computed and scale set through F_{π} .
NPLQCD 11	[107]	2+1	Not needed (no result for Σ).
JLQCD/TWQCD 10A	[110]	2+1, 3	Non-perturbative
MILC 09, 09A, 10, 10A	[9, 19, 20, 84]	2+1	2 loop
RBC/UKQCD 10A	[14]	2+1	Non-perturbative
JLQCD 09	[109]	2+1	Non-perturbative
TWQCD 08	[113]	2+1	Non-perturbative
PACS-CS 08	[21]	2+1	1 loop
RBC/UKQCD 08, 08A	[22, 115]	2+1	Non-perturbative
NPLQCD 06	[89]	2+1	_
LHP 04	[116]	2+1	_
All collaborations		2	Non-perturbative

Table 98: Renormalization in determinations of the Low-Energy Constants.

B.4 Notes to section 6 on Kaon mixing

B.4.1 Kaon *B*-parameter B_K

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$a~[\mathrm{fm}]$	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.08, 0.06	Combined chiral and continuum extrapolation. Systematic error of 2.0% is obtained from the distribution of results over analyses which differ by $O(a^2)$ effects.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1	0.111, 0.083	Systematic uncertainty of 1.3% obtained from half the difference between the results on the fine lattice spacing and the continuum limit.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.045	The three finest lattice spacings are used for the combined chiral and continuum extrapolation. Residual combined discretization, sea-quark extrapolation and α_s matching error of 4.4% from difference between linear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a fit where α_s dependence is added.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	0.111, 0.083, 0.063, 0.114, 0.084	The three first lattice spacings use different action from the last two ones. Combined continuum and chiral fits.
SWME 14	[145]	2+1	0.082, 0.059, 0.044	Residual combined discretization and sea-quark extrapolationg error of 0.9% from difference between linear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a constrained nine-parameter extrapolation.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	0.09, 0.06, 0.045	Residual combined discretization, sea- quark extrapolation and α_s matching er- ror of 4.4% from difference between lin- ear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a fit where α_s dependence is added.
SWME 13	[147]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.045	Continuum extrapolation with the coarsest lattice spacing omitted; residual combined discretization and sea-quark extrapolationg error of 1.1% from difference between linear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a constrained nine-parameter extrapolation.
RBC/UKQCD 12A	[6]	2+1	0.146, 0.114, 0.087	Coarsest lattice spacing uses different action. Combined continuum and chiral fits.

Table 99: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of B_K .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Description
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation based on SU(3) mixed-action partially quenched χ PT.
SWME 11, 11A	[148, 149]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.045	Continuum extrapolation with the coarsest lattice spacing omitted; residual discretization error of 1.9% from difference between fit to a constant and a constrained five-parameter extrapolation.
BMW 11	[150]	2+1	0.093, 0.077, 0.065, 0.054	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation; discretization error of 0.1% from comparison of $O(\alpha_s a)$ and $O(a^2)$ extrapolations.
RBC/UKQCD 10B	[151]	2+1	0.114, 0.087	Two lattice spacings. Combined chiral and continuum fits.
SWME 10	[152]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06	Continuum extrapolation of results obtained at four lattice spacings; residual discretization error of 0.21% from difference to result at smallest lattice spacing.
Aubin 09	[153]	2+1	0.12, 0.09	Two lattice spacings; quote 0.3% discretization error, estimated from various a^2 -terms in fit function
RBC/UKQCD 07A, 08	[22, 154]	2+1	0.114(2)	Single lattice spacing; quote 4% discretization error, estimated from the difference between computed and experimental values of f_{π} .
HPQCD/UKQCD 06	[155]	2+1	0.12	Single lattice spacing; 3% discretization error quoted without providing details.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	0.1, 0.09, 0.07, 0.05	Four lattice spacings; systematic quoted obtained from the difference between the finest lattice spacing and the continuum limit and comparing results using two evaluations of the RCs that differ by $O(a^2)$ effects.
ETM 10A	[157]	2	0.1, 0.09, 0.07	Three lattice spacings; 1.2% error quoted.
JLQCD 08	[158]	2	0.118(1)	Single lattice spacing; no error quoted.
RBC 04	[159]	2	0.117(4)	Single lattice spacing; no error quoted.
UKQCD 04	[160]	2	0.10	Single lattice spacing; no error quoted.

Table 99: (cntd.) Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of B_K .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	245, 239, 211	Each $M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing. Simultaneous chiral & continuum extrapolations, based on polynomial and $\chi {\rm PT}$ at NLO, are carried out leads to systematic error of 0.8% .
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1	337, 302	Chiral extrapolations based on polynomial and SU(2)- χ PT fits at NLO. A systematic uncertainty of 0.4% is quoted, which is half the difference between the two results.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	222/372, 206/174, 195/222, 206/316	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the four lattice spacings (the last three are used for the chiral-continuum extrapolation). Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors), and also including one analytic NNNLO term. Residual error of 0.05% from changing the Bayesian priors and fit method.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	337, 302, 371, 139, 139	$M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the five lattice spacings. Combined chiral & continuum extrapolation, using $M_{\pi} < 260$ MeV and $M_{\pi} < 370$ MeV.
SWME 14	[145]	2+1	206/174, 195/222, 207/316	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors), and also including one analytic NNNLO term. Residual error of 0.1% error from doubling the widths of Bayesian priors.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	207/243, 196/262, 207/316	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors), and also including one analytic NNNLO term. Residual error of 0.1% from doubling the widths of Bayesian priors.
SWME 13	[147]	2+1	442/445, 299/273, 237/256, 222/334	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the four lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors), and also including one analytic NNNLO term. Residual error of 0.33% error from doubling the widths of Bayesian priors.

Table 100: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of B_K .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min} [\text{MeV}]$	Description
RBC/UKQCD 12A	[6]	2+1	140/170, 240/330, 220/290	Valence/sea $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Combined chiral & continuum extrapolation, using $M_{\pi} < 350 \text{ MeV}$.
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	210/280	$M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the smallest valence/sea quark masses. Chiral & continuum fits based on NLO mixed action χ PT, including a subset of NNLO terms. Systematic error estimated from spread arising from variations in the fit function.
SWME 11, 11A	[148, 149]	2+1	442/445, 299/325, 237/340, 222/334	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the four lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors), and also including one analytic NNNLO term. Residual error of 0.33% error from doubling the widths of Bayesian priors.
BMW 11	[150]	2+1	219, 182, 120, 131	$M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the four lattice spacings used in the final result. Combined fit to the chiral and continuum behaviour. Systematics investigated by applying cuts to the maximum pion mass used in fits. Uncertainty of 0.1% assigned to chiral fit.
RBC/UKQCD 10B	[151]	2+1	240/330, 220/290	Valence/sea $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the two lattice spacings. Combined chiral and continuum extrapolations.
SWME 10	[152]	2+1	442/445, 299/325, 237/340	Valence/sea $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NLO, including some analytic NNLO terms. SU(3) staggered χ PT as crosscheck. Combined 1.1% error from various different variations in the fit procedure.
Aubin 09	[153]	2+1	240/370	$M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the smallest valence/sea quark masses. Chiral & continuum fits based on NLO mixed action $\chi \rm PT$ at NLO, including a subset of NNLO terms. Systematic error estimated from spread arising from variations in the fit function.

Table 100: (cntd.) Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of B_K .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
RBC/UKQCD 07A, 08	[22, 154]	2+1	330	Fits based on SU(2) PQ χ PT at NLO. Effect of neglecting higher orders estimated at 6% via difference between fits based on LO and NLO expressions.
HPQCD/UKQCD 06	[155]	2+1	360	3% uncertainty from chiral extrapolation quoted, without giving further details.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	400, 280, 300, 280	Each $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing. Simultaneous chiral & continuum extrapolations, based on polynomial and χ PT at NLO, are carried out. Systematic error from several sources, including lattice calibration, quark mass calibration, chiral and continuum extrapolation etc., estimated at 3.0%.
ETM 10A	[157]	2	400, 280, 300	Each $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing. Simultaneous chiral & continuum extrapolations, based on χ PT at NLO, are carried out. Systematic error from several sources, including lattice calibration, quark mass calibration, chiral and continuum extrapolation etc., estimated at 3.1%.
JLQCD 08	[158]	2	290	Fits based on NLO PQ χ PT. Range of validity investigated. Fit error included in statistical uncertainty.
RBC 04	[159]	2	490	Fits based on NLO PQ χ PT. Fit error included in statistical uncertainty.
UKQCD 04	[160]	2	780	Fits to continuum chiral behaviour at fixed sea quark mass. Separate extrapolation in sea quark mass. Fit error included in overall uncertainty.

Table 100: (cntd.) Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of B_K .

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \min} L$	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	2.1–2.8, 2.6, 3.0	3.5, 3.2, 3.2	Each L entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with two volumes at the coarsest lattice spacing; results from these two volumes at $M_{\pi} \sim 280$ MeV are compatible.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1	2.7, 2.7	4.5, 4.0	Finite volume effects are found to be negligible compared to the sys- tematic errors and are thus omitted in the final error budget.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	2.4–3.4, 2.5–5.8, 2.9–3.9, 2.9	≥ 3.8	L entries correspond to the four lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO SU(2) S χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 14I	B [5]	2+1	2.7, 2.7, 2.0, 5.5, 5.3	≥ 3.8	L entries correspond to the five lattice spacings. Finite volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT; negligible with comparison to the statistical error.
SWME 14	[145]	2+1	2.8–5.4, 2.8–3.8, 2.8	5.6, 3.7, 2.9	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	2.4–3.4, 2.8– 3.8, 2.8	3.5, 3.3, 2.9	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
SWME 13	[147]	2+1	2.4–3.3, 2.4– 5.5, 2.8–3.8, 2.8	$\gtrsim 3.2$	L entries correspond to the four lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 124	A [6]	2+1	4.6, 2.7, 2.8	$\gtrsim 3.2$	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Finite volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	2.4, 3.4, 3.8	$\gtrsim 3.5$	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Finite volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
SWME 11, 11A	[148, 149]	2+1	2.4/3.3, 2.4, 2.8, 2.8	$\gtrsim 3.2$	L entries correspond to the four lattice spacings, with two volumes at the coarsest lattice. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.

Table 101: Finite volume effects in determinations of B_K . If partially-quenched fits are used, the quoted $M_{\pi,\min}L$ is for lightest valence (RMS) pion.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
BMW 11	[150]	2+1	6.0, 4.9, 4.2, 3.5	$\gtrsim 3.8, 3.0$	L entries correspond to the four lattice spacings, and are the largest of several volumes at each a . $M_{\pi, \min} L \approx 3.0$ for the ensemble at $a \approx 0.08$ fm. Finite volume effects estimated in $\chi {\rm PT}$ and by combined fit to multiple volumes.
RBC/UKQCD 10B	[151]	2+1	2.7, 2.8	$\gtrsim 3.1$	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Finite volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
SWME 10	[152]	2+1	2.4/3.3, 2.4,2.8	$\gtrsim 3.4$	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings, with two volumes for the coarsest spacing. Finite-volume error of 0.9% estimated from difference obtained these two volumes.
Aubin 09	[153]	2+1	2.4, 3.4	3.5	L entries correspond to the two lattice spacings. Keep $m_{\pi}L\gtrsim 3.5$; no comparison of results from different volumes; 0.6% error estimated from mixed action χ PT correction.
RBC/UKQCD 07A, 08	8[22, 154]	2+1	1.83/2.74	4.60	Each L entry corresponds to a different volume at the same lattice spacing; 1% error from difference in results on two volumes.
HPQCD/UKQCD 06	[155]	2+1	2.46	4.49	Single volume; no error quoted.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	2.1, 2.2/2.9, 2.2, 2.6	5, 3.3/4.3, 3.3, 3.5	Each L entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with two volumes at the second less coarse lattice spacing. Results from these two volumes at $M_{\pi} \sim 300$ MeV are compatible.
ETM 10A	[157]	2	2.1, 2.2/2.9, 2.2	5, 3.3/4.3, 3.3	Each L entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with two volumes at the intermediate lattice spacing. Results from these two volumes at $M_{\pi} \sim 300$ MeV are compatible.
JLQCD 08	[158]	2	1.89	2.75	Single volume; data points with $m_{\rm val} < m_{\rm sea}$ excluded; 5% error quoted as upper bound of PQ χ PT estimate of the effect.
RBC 04	[159]	2	1.87	4.64	Single volume; no error quoted.
UKQCD 04	[160]	2	1.6	6.51	Single volume; no error quoted.

Table 101: (cntd.) Finite volume effects in determinations of $B_K \ \, 43$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	running match.	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	RI	$\mathrm{PT}1\ell$	Uncertainty from RI renormalization estimated at 2% . Additional error of 0.6% for the conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1+1	RI	$\mathrm{PT}1\ell$	Two different RI-SMOM schemes used to estimate 2% systematic error in conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	PT1ℓ	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
RBC/UKQCD 14B	[5]	2+1	RI	$PT1\ell$	Two different RI-SMOM schemes used to estimate 2% systematic error in conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$.
SWME 14	[145]	2+1	$\mathrm{PT1}\ell$	$\mathrm{PT}1\ell$	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	PT1ℓ	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% (in combination with systematic uncertainty from CL and chiral extrapolation fit) by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
SWME 13	[147]	2+1	PT1ℓ	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
RBC/UKQCD 12A	[6]	2+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Two different RI-SMOM schemes used to estimate 2% systematic error in conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$.
Laiho 11	[8]	2+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Total uncertainty in matching & running of 3%. Perturbative truncation error in the conversion to MS, RGI schemes is dominant uncertainty.

Table 102: Running and matching in determinations of B_K for $N_f=2+1+1$ and $N_f=2+1$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	running match.	Description
SWME 11, 11A	[148, 149]	2+1	PT1ℓ	$\mathrm{PT}1\ell$	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
BMW 11	[150]	2+1	RI	$ ext{PT}1\ell$	Uncertainty of 0.05% in the determination of the renormalization factor included. 1% error estimated due to truncation of perturbative matching to $\overline{\rm MS}$ and RGI schemes at NLO.
RBC/UKQCD 10B	[151]	2+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Variety of different RI-MOM schemes including non-exceptional momenta. Residual uncertainty of 2% uncertainty in running & matching.
SWME 10	[152]	2+1	$PT1\ell$	$\mathrm{PT1}\ell$	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 5.5% by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
Aubin 09	[153]	2+1	RI	$\mathrm{PT}1\ell$	Total uncertainty in matching & running of 3.3%, estimated from a number of sources, including chiral extrapolation fit ansatz for n.p. determination, strange sea quark mass dependence, residual chiral symmetry breaking, perturbative matching & running.
RBC/UKQCD 07A, 08	[22, 154]	2+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from n.p. determination of ren. factor included in statistical error; 2% systematic error from perturbative matching to $\overline{\rm MS}$ estimated via size of correction itself.
HPQCD/UKQCD 06	[155]	2+1	PT1ℓ	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty due to neglecting 2- loop order in perturbative matching and running estimated by multiply- ing result by α^2 .

Table 102: (cntd.) Running and matching in determinations of B_K for $N_f=2+1+1$ and $N_f=2+1$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	running match.	Description
ETM 12D	[156]	2	RI	$\mathrm{PT}1\ell$	Uncertainty from RI renormalization estimated at 2.5%.
ETM 10A	[157]	2	RI	$PT1\ell$	Uncertainty from RI renormalization estimated at 2.5%.
JLQCD 08	[158]	2	RI	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from n.p. determination of ren. factor included in statistical error; 2.3% systematic error from perturbative matching to $\overline{\rm MS}$ estimated via size of correction itself.
RBC 04	[159]	2	RI	$PT1\ell$	Uncertainty from n.p. determination of ren. factor included.
UKQCD 04	[160]	2	$PT1\ell$	$PT1\ell$	No error quoted.

Table 103: Running and matching in determinations of B_K for $N_f=2$.

B.4.2 Kaon BSM B-parameters

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	<i>a</i> [fm]	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.08, 0.06	Combined chiral and continuum extrapolation. Systematic errors to B_i from about 4% to 6% are obtained from the distribution of results over analyses which differ by $O(a^2)$ effects.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1	0.111, 0.083	Systematic uncertainty of 1.3% obtained from half the difference between the results on the fine lattice spacing and the continuum limit.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.045	The three finest lattice spacings are used for the combined chiral and continuum extrapolation. Residual combined discretization, sea-quark extrapolation and α_s matching error from about 4.4% to 9.6% is reported for B_i and is obtained from the difference between linear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a fit where α_s dependence is added.
SWME 14C	[161]	2+1	0.082, 0.059, 0.044	Residual combined discretization and sea- quark extrapolationg error of 1–8% from difference between linear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a constrained nighteen-parameter extrapo- lation.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	0.09, 0.06, 0.045	Residual combined discretization, sea- quark extrapolation and α_s matching error for B_i varies from 4.5% to -5.7%, from dif- ference between linear fit in a^2 , $m_{\rm sea}$ and a fit where α_s dependence is added.
RBC/UKQCD 12E	[162]	2+1	0.087	Computation at only one value of the lattice spacing. Estimate for the systematic discretisation error of about 1.5% based on the corresponding estimate from the B_K computation.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	0.1, 0.09, 0.07, 0.05	Four lattice spacings; Estimates of systematic uncertainties obtained from the half difference of the distance between the finest lattice spacing and the continuum limit.

Table 104: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the BSM B_i parameters.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	245, 239, 211	Each $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing. Simultaneous chiral & continuum extrapolations, based on polynomial and χPT at NLO, are carried out leads to systematic errors of $1.1-2.6\%$ depending on the bag parameter.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1	337, 302	Chiral extrapolations based on polynomial and SU(2)- χ PT fits at NLO. A systematic uncertainty of 0.4% is quoted, which is half the difference between the two results.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	222/372, 206/174, 195/222, 206/316	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the four lattice spacings (the last three are used for the chiral-continuum extrapolation). Chiral extrapolations based on SU(2) staggered χ PT at NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors), and also including one analytic NNNLO term. Residual error of 0.4-1.2% depending on the bag parameter from changing the Bayesian priors and fit method.
SWME 14C	[161]	2+1	206/174, 195/222, 207/316	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations performed via B_i -ratios that do not show SU(2) NLO χ PT contribution and assuming various terms up to NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors).
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	207/243, 196/262, 207/316	Valence/sea RMS $M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the three lattice spacings. Chiral extrapolations performed via B_i -ratios that do not show SU(2) NLO χ PT contribution and assuming various terms up to NNLO (with some coefficients fixed by Bayesian priors). Residual error in the valence of about 0.1% from doubling the widths of Bayesian priors. In the sea a combined error with the matching procedure of 4.4-5.6% is reported.
RBC/UKQCD 12E	[162]	2+1	290/290	Chiral extrapolations based on polynomial and χ PT fits at NLO are carried out. Central values are obtained from polynomial fits. Mild dependence on the quark mass. Systematic uncertainties are estimated to about 4% for all B_i 's.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	400, 270, 300, 270	Each $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing. Simultaneous chiral & continuum extrapolations, based on polynomial and χPT at NLO, are carried out.

Table 105: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of the BSM B_i parameters.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	2.1–2.8, 2.6, 3.0	3.5, 3.2, 3.2	Each L entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with two volumes at the coarsest lattice spacing; results from these two volumes at $M_{\pi} \sim 280$ MeV are compatible.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1	2.7, 2.7	4.5, 4.0	Finite volume effects are found to be negligible compared to system- atic errors and are thus omitted in the final error budget.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	2.4–3.4, 2.5–5.8, 2.9–3.9, 2.9	≥ 3.8	L entries correspond to the four lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO SU(2) S χ PT.
SWME 14C	[161]	2+1	2.8–5.4, 2.8– 3.8, 2.8	5.6, 3.7, 2.9	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	2.4–3.4, 2.8– 3.3, 2.8	3.5, 3.3, 2.9	L entries correspond to the three lattice spacings, with several volumes in most cases. Finite-volume effects estimated using NLO χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 12I	E [162]	2+1	2.8	$\gtrsim 4.0$	The L value corresponds to the unique lattice spacing. Finite volume effects, estimated using NLO χ PT are small, as it has also been found in the B_K computation, and they have thus been neglected in the final error budget analysis.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	2.1, 2.2/2.9, 2.2, 2.6	5, 3.3/4.3, 3.3, 3.5	Each L entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with two volumes at the second less coarse lattice spacing. Results from these two volumes at $M_{\pi} \sim 300$ MeV are compatible.

Table 106: Finite volume effects in determinations of the BSM B_i parameters. If partially-quenched fits are used, the quoted $M_{\pi,\min}L$ is for lightest valence (RMS) pion.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	running match.	Description
ETM 15	[142]	2+1+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from RI renormalization combined with discretisation effects estimates are reported to be from about 4% to 6% . Additional error from 1.8 to 3.9% (dependind on the bag parameter) for the conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$ at the scale of 3 GeV.
RBC/UKQCD 16	[143]	2+1+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Two different RI-SMOM schemes used to estimate systematic error in conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$, which varies from 1–4%, depending on the four-quark operator.
SWME 15A	[144]	2+1	PT1ℓ	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated from about 4.4% to 9.6% (depending on the bag parameter) by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
SWME 14C	[161]	2+1	$\mathrm{PT1}\ell$	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
SWME 13A	[146]	2+1	PT1ℓ	PT1ℓ	Uncertainty from neglecting higher orders estimated at 4.4% (in combination with systematic uncertainty from CL and chiral extrapolation fit) by identifying the unknown 2-loop coefficient with result at the smallest lattice spacing.
RBC/UKQCD 12E	[162]	2+1	RI	PT1ℓ	Computation in RI-MOM scheme. Systematic error from the conversion to $\overline{\rm MS}$ is estimated by taking the half of the difference between the LO and the NLO result.
ETM 12D	[156]	2	RI	$PT1\ell$	Uncertainty from RI renormalization estimated at 2.5%.

Table 107: Running and matching in determinations of the BSM B_i parameters.

B.5 Notes to section 7 on D-meson decay constants and form factors

In the following, we summarize the characteristics (lattice actions, pion masses, lattice spacings, etc.) of the recent $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$, $N_f = 2 + 1$ and $N_f = 2$ runs. We also provide brief descriptions of how systematic errors are estimated by the various authors. We focus on calculations with either preliminary or published quantitative results.

B.5.1 $D_{(s)}$ -meson decay constants

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
FNAL/MILC 14A	A [2]	2+1+1	311, 241, 173, 143	The lightest pions (not RMS) are around 130 MeV. Analyses are performed either by interpolating to the physical point or by using HMrAS χ PT formulae to include heavier masses and non-unitary points. The latter procedure gives more accurate, and final, results.
ETM 13F ETM 14E	[74, 77]	2+1+1	245, 239, 211	$f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}$ in ETM 13F and f_{D_s}/m_{D_s} in ETM 14E are extrapolated using both a quadratic and a linear fit in m_l plus $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ terms. Then the double ratio $(f_{D_s}/f_D)/(f_K/f_\pi)$ is fitted in continuum HM χ PT, as no lattice spacing dependence is visible within statistical errors.
FNAL/MILC 12E FNAL/MILC 13	3 [163, 164]	2+1+1	310, 245, 179, 145	Chiral and continuum extrapolations are performed simultaneously. Central values are produced using a fit function quadratic in a^2 and linear in the seaquark mass. In FNAL/MILC 13 terms of $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$ are included.

Table 108: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min}$ [MeV]	Description
χ QCD 14	[48]	2+1	334, 296	Chiral and continuum extrapolations are performed simultaneously using linear fits in m_l (and quadratic or including partially quenched chiral logs, in order to assess the systematic error) plus terms up to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(a^4m_c^4)$.
HPQCD 12A	[165]	2+1	460, 329	Chiral and continuum extrapolations are performed simultaneously using PQHM χ PT augmented by a dependent terms: $c_0(am_c)^2 + c_1(am_c)^4$.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	570, 440, 320	Chiral and continuum extrapolations are performed simultaneously using $HM\chi PT$ for rooted staggered quarks. Effects of hyperfine and flavour splittings are also included.
PACS-CS 11	[167]	2+1	152	Simulations are reweighted in the light- and strange-quark masses to the physical point.
HPQCD 10A	[168]	2+1	542, 460, 329, 258, 334	Chiral and continuum extrapolations are performed simultaneously. Polynomials up to $\left(\frac{m_{q,sea}-m_{q,phys}}{m_{q,phys}}\right)^2$ for $q=s,l$ and up to $(am_c)^8$ are kept.
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	542, 460, 329	Combined chiral and continuum extrapolations using $HM\chi PT$ at NLO augmented by second and third-order polynomial terms in m_q and terms up to a^4 .
FNAL/MILC 05	[169]	2+1	> 440 ,440 ,400	Chiral extrapolations are first performed at each lattice spacing uisng NLO ${\rm HM}\chi{\rm PT}$ for rooted staggered quarks. Lattice artefacts are then extrapolated linearly in a^2 .

Table 109: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in $N_f = 2 + 1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
TWQCD 14	[170]	2	260	Comparison of NLO HM χ PT fits for $f_{D_{(s)}}$ and for $f_{D_{(s)}}\sqrt{m_{D_{(s)}}}$ in order to asses systematic error.
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	190 , 270	Linear fits (in m_{π}^2 and in a^2) and partially quenched HM χ PT functional forms, including terms linear in a^2 , are used in the combined chiral/continuum extrapolation.
ETM 09 ETM 11A ETM 13B	[55, 57, 92]	2	410, 270, 310, 270	$M_{\pi, \rm min}$ refers to the charged pions. NLO $SU(2)$ HM χ PT supplemented by terms linear in a^2 and in m_Da^2 is used in the combined chiral/continuum extrapolation. To estimate the systematic due to chiral extrapolation, once $f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}$ and $f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}/(f_D\sqrt{m_D})$ and once $f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}/f_K$ and $f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}/f_K \times f_{\pi}/(f_D\sqrt{m_D})$ are fitted. In ETM 13 the double ratio $(f_{D_s}/f_D)/(f_K/f_{\pi})$ is fitted in HM χ PT.

Table 110: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in $N_f = 2$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	2.38-4.83, 2.90-5.82, 2.95-5.62, 2.94-5.44	7.6, 7, 4.9, 3.9	3 values of L (2.9, 3.9 and 4.9 fm) at $m_{\pi}=220$ MeV and $a=0.12$ fm.
ETM 13F ETM 14E	[74, 77]	2+1+1	2.13/2.84, 1.96/2.61, 2.97	3.5, 3.2, 3.2	The comparison of two different volumes at the two largest lattice spacings indicates that FV effects are below the statistical errors.
FNAL/MILC 12B FNAL/MILC 13	[163, 164]	2+1+1	2.4/4.8, 2.88/5.76, 2.88/5.76, 2.88/5.76	7.6, 7, 4.9, 3.9	FV errors estimated in χ PT at NLO and, in FNAL/MILC 12B, by analyzing otherwise identical ensembles with three different spatial sizes at $a=0.12$ fm and $m_l/m_s=0.1$.

Table 111: Finite volume effects in $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the RMS masses are used (where available).

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
$\chi { m QCD} \ 14$	[48]	2+1	2.7, 2.7	4.6, 4.1	No explicit discussion of FV effects.
HPQCD 12A	[165]	2+1	2.4/2.8, 2.4/3.4	6.7, 4.2	FV errors estimated by comparing finite and infinite volume χPT .
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	$2.4, 2.4/2.88, \\ 2.52/3.6$	6.9, 6.4, 5.8	FV errors estimated using finite-volume χPT .
PACS-CS 11	[167]	2+1	2.88	2.2 (before reweighting)	No discussion of FV effects.
HPQCD 10A	[168]	2+1	2.4, 2.4/2.88/3.36, 2.52, 2.88, 2.82	6.6, 6.7, 4.2, 3.8, 4.8	FV errors estimated using finite-vs infinite-volume χPT .
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	2.4, 2.4/2.88, 2.52	6.6, 6.7, 4.2	FV errors estimated using finite-vs infinite-volume χPT .
FNAL/MILC 05	[169]	2+1	2.8, 2.9, 2.5	> 6, 6.4, 5	FV errors estimated to be 1.5% or less from χ PT.

Table 112: Finite volume effects in $N_f = 2 + 1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the RMS masses are used (where available).

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \min} L$	Description
TWQCD 14	[170]	2	1.5	1.92	No explicit discussion of FV effects.
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	2.1/3.1/4.2, 2.3/3.1	4, 4.2	No explicit discussion of FV effects, but $m_{\pi}L > 4$ always.
ETM 09 ETM 11A ETM 13B	[55, 57, 92]	2	2.4, 2.0/2.7, 2.1, 2.6	5, 3.7, 3.3, 3.5	FV errors are found to be negligible by comparing results at $m_{\pi}L=3.3$ and $m_{\pi}L=4.3$ for $m_{\pi}\simeq310$ MeV.

Table 113: Finite volume effects in $N_f = 2$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the RMS masses are used (where available).

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale Setting
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09, 0.06	Interpolations around the physical light masses used to fix the ratio of quark masses. Subsequent chiral and continuum extrapolations for the charm decay constants performed simulatenously using different NLO HMrAS χ PT fits.	Relative scale through F_{4ps} , the decay constant at valence masses = 0.4 m_s and physical seaquark masses. Absolute scale set through f_{π} ; the uncertainty is propagated into the final error.
ETM 13F ETM 14E	[74, 77]	2+1+1	0.09, 0.08, 0.06	Chiral and continuum extrapolations performed simultaneously by adding an $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ term to the chiral fits.	Relative scale set through $M_{c's'}$, the mass of a fictitious meson made of valence quarks of mass $r_0m_{s'}=0.22$ and $r_0m_{c'}=2.4$. Absoulte scale through f_{π} .
FNAL/MILC 12B FNAL/MILC 13	[163, 164]	2+1+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09, 0.06	Chiral and continuum extrapolations performed simultaneously. Central values produced using a fit function quadratic in a^2 and linear in the sea quark mass. In FNAL/MILC 13 terms of $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$ are included.	Absolute scale set through f_{π} ; the uncertainty is propagated into the final error.

Table 114: Lattice spacings and description of actions used in $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	<i>a</i> [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale Setting
$\chi { m QCD} \ 14$	[48]	2+1	0.113, 0.085	Chiral and continuum extrapolations performed in global fits including linear terms in m_l and terms up to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(a^4m_c^4)$.	Relative scale set through r_0 , fixed together with the charm and strange quark masses using $m_{D_s}, m_{D_s^*}$ amd $m_{J/\psi}$ as inputs.
HPQCD 12A	[165]	2+1	0.12, 0.09	Chiral and continuum extrapolations performed simultaneously using PQHM χ PT augmentd by a dependent terms: $c_0(am_c)^2 + c_1(am_c)^4$.	Relative scale set through r_1 ; absolute scale from f_{π} , $f_{\rm K}$ and the Υ splitting. Uncertainties from both r_1 and r_1/a propagated.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09	Chiral and continuum extrapolations performed simultaneously using one-loop $HM\chi PT$ for rooted staggered quarks. Effects of hyperfine and flavour splittings are also included.	Relative scale set through r_1 = 0.3117(22). The error in r_1 comes from the spread of different absolute scale determinations using f_{π} , $f_{\rm K}$ and the Υ splitting.
PACS-CS 11	[167]	2+1	0.09	Cutoff effects from the heavy-quark action esti- mated by naive power counting to be at the per- cent level.	Scale set through $m_{\Omega}.$
HPQCD 10A	[168]	2+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.044	Chiral and continuum extrapolations performed simultaneously. Polynomials up to am_c^8 are kept (even powers only).	See the discussion for HPQCD 12A.
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09	Combined chiral and continuum extrapolations using $HM\chi PT$ at NLO augmented by second and third-order polynomial terms in m_q and terms up to a^4 .	Scale set through r_1 obtained from the Υ spectrum using the non-relativistic QCD action for b quarks. Uncertainty propagated among the systematics.
FNAL/MILC 05	[169]	2+1	0.175, 0.121, 0.086	Most light-quark cutoff effects are removed through NLO $\text{HM}\chi\text{PT}$ for rooted staggered quarks. Continuum values are then obtained by averaging the $a\approx 0.12$ and $a\approx 0.09$ fm results.	Scale set through r_1 obtained from the Υ spectrum using the non-relativistic QCD action for b quarks.

Table 115: Lattice spacings and description of actions used in $N_f=2+1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale Setting
TWQCD 14	[170]	2	0.061	Uncertainties associated to scale setting and discretization effects estimated by performing the chiral fits once in physical and once in lattice units ($\approx 2 \text{ MeV}$ on f_{D_s}).	Scale set through the Wilson flow and r_0 set to 0.49 fm.
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	0.065, 0.048	Linear fits (in m_{π}^2 and in a^2) and partially quenched $\text{HM}\chi\text{PT}$ functional forms, including terms linear in a^2 , are used in the combined chiral/continuum extrapolation.	Scale set through f_K .
ETM 09 ETM 11A ETM 13B	[55, 57, 92]	2	0.10, 0.085, 0.065, 0.054	NLO $SU(2)$ HM χ PT supplemented by terms linear in a^2 and in m_Da^2 is used in the combined chiral/continuum extrapolation.	Scale set through f_{π} .

Table 116: Lattice spacings and description of actions used in $N_f=2$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.
ETM 13F, 14E	[74, 77]	2+1+1	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.
FNAL/MILC 12B, 1	3 [163, 164]	2+1+1	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.
χ QCD 14	[48]	2+1	RI	The decay constant is extracted from an exact lattice Ward identity and from the NP renormalized axial current.
HPQCD 12A	[165]	2+1	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	mNPR	Two-loop and higher-order perturbative truncation errors estimated to be the full size of the one-loop term.
PACS-CS 11	[167]	2+1	PT1ℓ+NP	Mass dependent part of the renormalization constant of the axial current computed at one-loop; the NP contribution is added in the chiral limit.
HPQCD 10A	[168]	2+1	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	7 [88]	2+1	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.
FNAL/MILC 05	[169]	2+1	mNPR	Errors due to higher order corrections in the perturbative part are estimated to be 1.3%.
TWQCD 14	[170]	2	-	The decay constant is extracted from an exact lattice Ward identity.
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	SF	NP renormalization and improvement of the axial current (am terms included at 1-loop).
ETM 09, 11A, 13B	[55, 57, 92]	2	_	The axial current is absolutely normalized.

Table 117: Operator renormalization in determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
FNAL/MILC 14A	[2]	2+1+1	HISQ (on HISQ)	$0.22 < am_c < 0.84$. Discretization errors estimated to be ≈ 1 MeV using the spread of 108 different chiral/continuum fits (for example by including or not some NNLO discretization effects in HMrAS χ PT).
ETM 13F, 14E	[74, 77]	2+1+1	${ m tmWil}$	$0.15 \lesssim am_c \lesssim 0.20.$
FNAL/MILC 12B FNAL/MILC 13	[163, 164]	2+1+1	HISQ (on HISQ)	$0.29 < am_c < 0.7$. Discretization errors estimated using different fit ansätze to be $\approx 1.5\%$ for $f_{D_{(s)}}$.

Table 118: Heavy-quark treatment in $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
χ QCD 14	[48]	2+1	Overlap on DW	$0.29 < am_c < 0.75$. Heavy-quark discretization errors estimated by including $(am_c)^2$ and $am_c)^4$ terms in the chiral/continuum extrapolation.
HPQCD 12A	[165]	2+1	HISQ	$0.41 < am_c < 0.62$. Heavy-quark discretization errors estimated using different fit ansätze to be $\approx 1.2\%$.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	Fermilab	Discretization errors from charm quark estimated through a combination of Heavy Quark and Symanzik Effective Theories to be around 3% for $f_{D_{(s)}}$ and negligible for the ratio.
PACS-CS 11	[167]	2+1	Tsukuba	$am_c \approx 0.57$. Heavy-quark discretization errors estimated to be at the percent level by power counting.
HPQCD 10A	[168]	2+1	HISQ	$0.193 < am_c < 0.825$. Heavy-quark discretization errors estimated by changing the fit-inputs to be $\approx 0.4\%$.
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[88]	2+1	HISQ	$0.43 < am_c < 0.85$. Heavy-quark discretization errors estimated from the chiral/continuum fits to be $\approx 0.5\%$.
FNAL/MILC 05	[169]	2+1	Fermilab	Discretization errors from charm quark estimated via heavy-quark power-counting at 4.2% for $f_{D_{(s)}}$ and 0.5% for the ratio.

Table 119: Heavy-quark treatment in $N_f=2+1$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
TWQCD 14	[170]	2	DW	$am_c \leq 0.55$. Optimal Domain Wall fermions [171] preserving chiral symmetry.
ALPHA 13B	[50]	2	npSW	$am_c \leq 0.28$. Axial current non-perturbatively improved $(\mathcal{O}(am))$ at 1-loop).
ETM 09, 11A, 13B	[55, 57, 92]	2	tmWil	$0.16 < am_c < 0.23$. $D(a_{\min}) \approx 5\%$ in ETM 09.

Table 120: Heavy-quark treatment in $N_f=2$ determinations of the D and D_s meson decay constants.

B.5.2 $D \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $D \to K \ell \nu$ form factors

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
HPQCD 10B, 11	[172, 173]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Modified z-expansion fit combining the continuum and chiral extrapolations and the momentum transfer dependence. Leading discretization errors from $(am_c)^n$ charm-mass effects (see Table 125). Subleading $(aE)^n$ discretization corrections estimated to be 1.0% for both $D \to \pi$ and $D \to K$.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static-quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 set from several quantities including f_{π} , f_K , and Υ $2S-1S$ splitting c.f. HPQCD 09B [10]. Scale uncertainty estimated to be 0.7% in $D \to \pi$ and and 0.2% in $D \to K$.
FNAL/MILC 04	[174]	2+1	0.12	Discretization effects from light-quark sector estimated to be 4% by power counting. Discretization effects from final-state pion and kaon energies estimated to be 5%.	Scale set through Υ 2S – 1S splitting c.f. HPQCD 03 [175]. Error in a^{-1} estimated to be 1.2%, but scale error in dimensionless form factor negligible compared to other uncertainties.
ETM 11B	[176]	2	0.068, 0.086, 0.102	Discretization errors estimated to be 5% for $D \rightarrow \pi$ and 3% for $D \rightarrow K$ from comparison of results in the continuum limit to those at the finest lattice spacing.	Scale set through f_{π} c.f. ETM 07A [177] and ETM 09C [128].

Table 121: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the $D \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $D \to K \ell \nu$ form factors.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
HPQCD 10B, 11	[172, 173]	2+1	390, 390	Modified z-expansion fit combining the continuum and chiral extrapolations and the momentum transfer dependence. Contributions to error budget from light valence and sea-quark mass dependence estimated to be 2.0% for $D \to \pi$ and 1.0% for $D \to K$.
FNAL/MILC 04	[174]	2+1	510	Fit to S χ PT, combined with the Becirevic-Kaidalov ansatz for the momentum transfer dependence of form factors. Error estimated to be 3% for $D \to \pi$ and 2% for $D \to K$ by comparing fits with and without one extra analytic term.
ETM 11B	[176]	2	270	$SU(2)$ tmHM χ PT plus Becirevic-Kaidalov ansatz for fits to the momentum transfer dependence of form factors. Fit uncertainty estimated to be 7% for $D \to \pi$ and 5% for $D \to K$ by considering fits with and without NNLO corrections of order $\mathcal{O}(m_\pi^4)$ and/or higher-order terms through E^5 , and by excluding data with $E \gtrsim 1~{\rm GeV}$.

Table 122: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of the $D \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $D \to K \ell \nu$ form factors. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses. The different $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
HPQCD 10B, 11	[172, 173]	2+1	2.4, 2.4/2.9	$\gtrsim 3.8$	Finite volume effects estimated to be 0.04% for $D \to \pi$ and 0.01% for $D \to K$ by comparing the " $m_{\pi}^2 \log(m_{\pi}^2)$ " term in infinite and finite volume.
FNAL/MILC 04	[174]	2+1	2.4/2.9	$\gtrsim 3.8$	No explicit estimate of FV error, but expected to be small for sim- ulation masses and volumes.
ETM 11B	[176]	2	2.2, 2.1/2.8, 2.4	$\gtrsim 3.7$	Finite volume uncertainty estimated to be at most 2% by considering fits with and without the lightest pion mass point at $m_{\pi}L \approx 3.7$.

Table 123: Finite volume effects in determinations of the $D \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $D \to K \ell \nu$ form factors. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the lightest pion masses are quoted.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
HPQCD 10B, 11	[172, 173]	2+1	_	Form factor extracted from absolutely normalized scalar-current matrix element then using kinematic constraint at zero momentum-transfer $f_{+}(0) = f_{0}(0)$.
FNAL/MILC 04	[174]	2+1	mNPR	Size of two-loop correction to current renormalization factor assumed to be negligible.
ETM 11B	[176]	2	_	Form factors extracted from double ratios insensitive to current normalization.

Table 124: Operator renormalization in determinations of the $D \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $D \to K \ell \nu$ form factors.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
HPQCD 10B, 11	[172, 173]	2+1	HISQ	Bare charm-quark mass $am_c \sim 0.41$ –0.63. Errors of $(am_c)^n$ estimated within modified z-expansion to be 1.4% for $D \to K$ and 2.0% for $D \to \pi$. Consistent with expected size of dominant one-loop cutoff effects on the finest lattice spacing, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S(am_c)^2(v/c)) \sim 1.6\%$.
FNAL/MILC 04	[174]	2+1	Fermilab	Discretization errors from charm quark estimated via heavy-quark power-counting to be 7%.
ETM 11B	[176]	2	${ m tmWil}$	Bare charm-quark mass $am_c \sim 0.17$ –0.30. Expected size of $\mathcal{O}((am_c)^2)$ cutoff effects on the finest lattice spacing consistent with quoted 5% continuum-extrapolation uncertainty.

Table 125: Heavy quark treatment in determinations of the $D\to\pi\ell\nu$ and $D\to K\ell\nu$ form factors.

B.6 Notes to section 8 on B-meson decay constants, mixing parameters and form factors

In the following, we summarize the characteristics (lattice actions, pion masses, lattice spacings, etc.) of the recent $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$, $N_f = 2 + 1$ and $N_f = 2$ runs. We also provide brief descriptions of how systematic errors are estimated by the various authors. We focus on calculations with either preliminary or published quantitative results.

B.6.1 $B_{(s)}$ -meson decay constants

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min} [{ m MeV}]$	Description
ETM 13E	[178]	2+1+1	245, 239, 211	$M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ refers to the charged pions. Linear and NLO (full QCD) HM χ PT supplemented by an a^2 term is used for the $SU(3)$ breaking ratios. The chiral fit error is estimated from the difference between the NLO HM χ PT and linear fits with half the difference used as estimate of the systematic error. The ratio z_s is fit using just linear HM χ PT supplemented by an a^2 term.
HPQCD 13	[179]	2+1+1	310, 294, 173	Two or three pion masses at each lattice spacing, one each with a physical mass GB pion. NLO (full QCD) HM χ PT supplemented by generic a^2 and a^4 terms is used to interpolate to the physical pion mass.

Table 126: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ simulations. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
RBC/UKQCD 14 RBC/UKQCD 13A	[180] [181]	2+1	329, 289	Two or three light quark masses per lattice spacing. In RBC/UKQCD 14, three to four light valence-quark masses that are heavier than the sea-quark masses are also employed to have partially-quenched points. NLO $SU(2)$ HM χ PT is used. In RBC/UKQCD 14, the fit with only the unitary points is the central analysis procedure, and the systematic errors in the conbined chiral-continuum extrapolation are estimated to be from 3.1% to 5.9% in the decay constants and the $SU(3)$ breaking ratios.
RBC/UKQCD 14A	[182]	2+1	327, 289	Two or three light quark masses per lattice spacing. NLO $SU(2)$ HM χ PT is used in the combined chiral-continuum extrapolation. The systematic errors in this extrapolation are estimated to be 3.54% for f_B , 1.98% for f_{B_s} , and 2.66% for f_{B_s}/f_B .
HPQCD 12	[183]	2+1	390, 390	Two or three pion masses at each lattice spacing. NLO (full QCD) $\text{HM}\chi\text{PT}$ supplemented by NNLO analytic terms and generic a^2 and a^4 terms is used. The systematic error is estimated by varying the fit Ansatz, in particular for the NNLO analytic terms and the a^{2n} terms.
HPQCD 11A	[184]	2+1	570, 450, 390, 330, 330	One light sea quark mass only at each lattice spacing. The sea-quark mass dependence is assumed to be negligible, based on the calculation of f_{D_s} in Ref. [168], where the sea quark extrapolation error is estimated as 0.34%.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	570, 440, 320	Three to five sea-quark masses per lattice spacing, and $9-12$ valence light quark masses per ensemble. NLO partially quenched HMrS χ PT including $1/m$ terms and supplemented by NNLO analytic and $\alpha_s^2 a^2$ terms is used. The systematic error is estimated by varying the fit Ansatz, in particular the NNLO analytic terms and the chiral scale.
RBC/UKQCD 10C	[185]	2+1	430	Three light quark masses at one lattice spacing. NLO $SU(2)$ χ PT is used. The systematic error is estimated from the difference between NLO χ PT and linear fits as $\sim 7\%$.
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	440, 400	Four or two pion masses per lattice spacing. NLO (full QCD) HMrS χ PT supplemented by NNLO analytic terms and $\alpha_s a^2, a^4$ terms is used. The chiral fit error is estimated by varying the fit Ansatz, in particular, by adding or removing NNLO and discretization terms.

Table 127: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f = 2+1$ simulations. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \min} [\mathrm{MeV}]$	Description
ALPHA 14 ALPHA 13 ALPHA 12A	[187] [188] [189]	2	280, 190, 270	LO and NLO $\text{HM}\chi\text{PT}$ supplemented by a term linear in a^2 are used. In ALPHA 13 and ALPHA 12A, the final result is an average between LO and NLO with half the difference used as estimate of the systematic error. In ALPHA 14, the NLO fit is used as the central analysis procedure, and the LO results are used to estimate the systematic errors (0.9% MeV for f_{B_s} , 1.1% for f_B , and 1.6% for f_{B_s}/f_B).
ETM 13B, 13C ETM 12B ETM 11A	[55, 190] [191] [57]	2	410, 275, 300, 270	$M_{\pi, \rm min}$ refers to the charged pions. Linear and NLO (full QCD) HM χ PT supplemented by an a^2 term is used. The chiral fit error is estimated from the difference between the NLO HM χ PT and linear fits with half the difference used as estimate of the systematic error. For the static limit calculation in ETM 11A, $\Phi_s^{\rm stat}$ is extrapolated assuming a constant in light quark mass. The ratio $\Phi_s^{\rm stat}/\Phi_\ell^{\rm stat}$ is fit using three different chiral fit forms (NLO HM χ PT, linear, and quadratic) to estimate the chiral fir error.
ALPHA 11	[192]	2	331, 268, 267	Linear and NLO (full QCD) HM χ PT supplemented by a term linear in a^2 are used. The final result is an average between linear and NLO fits with half the difference used as estimate of the systematic error.
ETM 09D	[193]	2	410, 275, 300	$M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ refers to the charged pions. Linear and NLO (full QCD) HM χ PT is used. The final result given by the average of NLO HMChiPT and linear $Ans\ddot{a}tze \pm \text{half}$ the difference).

Table 128: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f=2$ simulations. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
ETM 13E	[178]	2+1+1	2.84/2.13, 2.61/1.96, 2.97	3.5, 3.2, 3.2	FV error estimated how?
HPQCD 13	[179]	2+1+1	2.4/3.5/4.7, 2.9/3.8/5.8, 2.8/5.6	7.4, 8.6, 4.9	The analysis uses finite-volume χPT .
RBC/UKQCD 14 RBC/UKQCD 13		2+1	2.64, 2.75	4.4, 4.0	In RBC/UKQCD 14, finite-volume effects are estimated to be neglegible for f_{B_s} , 0.4% for f_{B^0} , 0.5% for f_{B^+} and the $SU(3)$ breaking ratios.
RBC/UKQCD 14	4A [182]	2+1	2.74, 2.76	4.5, 4.0	Finite-volume effects are estimated to be neglegible for f_{B_s} , 0.82% for f_B , and 1% for f_{B_s}/f_B .
HPQCD 12	[183]	2+1	2.4/2.9, 2.5/3.6	5.7, 7.1	FV error is taken from Ref. [88] for HPQCD's D meson analysis, where it was estimated using finite volume $\chi {\rm PT}$.
HPQCD 11A	[184]	2+1	2.4, 2.4, 2.5, 2.9, 2.9	6.9, 5.5, 4.9, 4.8, 4.8	FV error is assumed to negligible.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	2.4, 2.4/2.9, 2.5/3.6	6.9, 6.4, 5.8	FV error is estimated using finite-volume χPT .
RBC/UKQCD 10	OC [185]	2+1	1.8	3.9	FV error estimated using finite-volume χ PT to be 1% for $SU(3)$ breaking ratios.
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	$2.4/2.9,\ 2.5$	6.5, 5.1	FV error is assumed to negligible.
ALPHA 14 ALPHA 13 ALPHA 12A ALPHA 11	[187] [188] [189] [192]	2	2.4/3.6, 2.1/3.1/4.2, 2.3/3.1	5.2, 4.1, 4.2	No explicit estimate of FV errors, but expected to be much smaller than other uncertainties.
ETM 13B, 13C ETM 12B ETM 11A	[55, 190] [191] [57]	2	2.4, 2.0/2.7, 2.1, 1.7/2.6	5.0, 3.7, 3.3, 3.5	FV errors are found to be negligible by comparing results at $m_{\pi}L=3.3$ and $m_{\pi}L=4.3$ for $m_{\pi}\simeq310$ MeV.

Table 129: Finite volume effects in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
ETM 13E	[178]	2+1+1	0.89, 0.82, 0.62	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation, linear in a^2 .	Scale set from f_{π} . Scale setting uncertainty included in combined statistical and systematic error.
HPQCD 13	[179]	2+1+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation. Continuum extrapolation errors estimated to be 0.7%.	Scale set from $\Upsilon(2S-1S)$ splitting, see Ref. [194]. Scale uncertainty included in statistical error.

Table 130: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f=2+1+1$ simulations.

S. Aoki et al., Review	v of law	tice resu a [fm]	llts concerning low-energy po Continuum extrapolation	article physics, 1607.00299 Scale setting
RBC/UKQCD 14 [180] RBC/UKQCD 13A [181]	2+1	0.11, 0.086	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with linear in a^2 term. In RBC/UKQCD 14, the systematic errors from this procedure are estimated to be from 3.1% to 5.9% in the decay constants and the $SU(3)$ -breaking ratios.	Scale set by the Ω baryon mass. In RBC/UKQCD 14, scale uncertainty estimated to be 1.5% in the decay constants, and 0.1% in the $SU(3)$ -breaking ratios.
RBC/UKQCD 14A [182]	2+1	0.11, 0.086	Chiral-continuum extrapolation with linear in a^2 term is employed, with the systematic errors estimated to be from 1.98% to 3.54% in the decay constants and f_{B_s}/f_B . Discretization errors at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a)$ in the static-light system are estimated to be 1% in the decay constants, and 0.2% in f_{B_s}/f_B .	Scale set by the Ω baryon mass.
HPQCD 12 [183]	2+1	0.12, 0.09	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation. Continuum extrapolation errors estimated to be 0.9%.	Relative scale r_1/a from the static-quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 from f_{π} , f_K , and $\Upsilon(2S-1S)$ splitting. Scale uncertainty estimated to be 1.1%.
HPQCD 11A [184]	2+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.045	$am_Q \approx 0.2-0.85$. Combined continuum and HQET fit. Continuum extrapolation error estimated by varying the fit ansatz and the included data points to be 0.63%. Discretization errors appear to decrease with increasing heavymeson mass.	Relative scale r_1/a from the static-quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 from f_{π} , f_K , and $\Upsilon(2S-1S)$ splitting. Scale uncertainty estimated to be 0.74%.
FNAL/MILC 11 [166]	2+1	0.15, 0.12, 0.09	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation. Continuum extrapolation errors estimated to be 1.3%.	Relative scale r_1/a from the static-quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 from f_{π} , f_K , and $\Upsilon(2S-1S)$ splitting. Scale uncertainty estimated to be 1 MeV.
RBC/UKQCD 10C [185]	2+1	0.11	One lattice spacing with discretization errors estimated by power counting as 3%.	Scale set by the Ω baryon mass. Combined scale and mass tuning uncertainties on f_{B_s}/f_B estimated as 1%
HPQCD 09 [186]	2+1	0.12, 0.09	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation. Continuum extrapolation errors estimated to be 3%.	Relative scale r_1/a from the static-quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 from the $\Upsilon(2S-1S)$ splitting. Scale uncertainty estimated to be 2.3%.

Table 131: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f=2+1$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation Scale setting	
ALPHA 14 ALPHA 13 ALPHA 12A ALPHA 11	[187] [188] [189] [192]	2	0.075, 0.065, 0.048	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with linear in a^2 term. Continuum extrapolation errors estimated to be 5 MeV in ALPHA 11. The continuum extrapolation with a term linear in a also inverstigated in ALPHA 14, and within the statistical error no discernable difference was observed.	Relative scale set from r_0 . Absolute scale set from f_K . Scale setting uncertainty included in combined statistical and extrapolation error.
ETM 13B, 13C ETM 12B ETM 11A	[55, 190] [191] [57]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067, 0.054	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation, with a term linear in a^2 . ETM 12 and 13 include a heavier masses than ETM 11A. Discretization error included in combined statistical and systematic error, estimated by dropping the data at the coarsest lattice spacing as $\sim 0.5 - 1\%$.	Scale set from f_{π} . Scale setting uncertainty included in combined statistical and systematic error.
ETM 09D	[193]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with a term linear in a^2 .	Scale set from f_{π} . Scale setting uncertainty included in combined statistical and systematic error.

Table 132: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f=2$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
ETM 13E	[178]	2+1+1	-, PT1ℓ	The current used for the relativistic decay constants is absolutely normalized. The ratio is constructed from the relativistic decay constant data and the heavy-quark pole masses. Ratios of pole-to- $\overline{\rm MS}$ mass conversion factors are included at NLO in continuum perturbation theory.
HPQCD 13	[179]	2+1+1	PT1ℓ	The NRQD effective current is matched through $\mathcal{O}(1/m)$ and renormalized using one-loop PT. Included are all terms though $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a)$, $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s/aM)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M)$. The dominant error is due unknown $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ contributions to the current renormalization. The perturbation theory used in this work is the same as in HPQCD 09 and 12, but is rearranged to match the mNPR method. Using the fact that the heavy-heavy temporal vector current is normalized, and that the light-light HISQ vector current receives a small one-loop correction, the error is estimated as $\sim 1.4\%$.

Table 133: Description of the renormalization/matching procedure adopted in the determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
RBC/UKQCD 14 RBC/UKQCD 13A	[180] [181]	2+1	mNPR	In RBC/UKQCD 14, the error is dominated by the perturbative aspect, and is estimated to be 1.7% for the decay constants by taking the full size of the one-loop correction for the fine lattice.
RBC/UKQCD 14A	[182]	2+1	$ ext{PT1}\ell$	A two-step matching procedure is employed, first from QCD to HQET in the continuum at m_b , then to HQET on the lattice at a^{-1} with $\mathcal{O}(pa)$ and $\mathcal{O}(m_q a)$ errors included. Both matching steps are accurate to one-loop, and the running between m_b and a^{-1} is performed at two-loop accordingly. The error is estimated using a power-counting argument to be 6% for the decay constants.
HPQCD 12/09	[183, 186]	2+1	PT1ℓ	The NRQD effective current is matched through $\mathcal{O}(1/m)$ and renormalized using one-loop PT. Included are all terms though $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a)$, $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s/aM)$, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M)$. The dominant error is due unknown $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ contributions to the current renormalization. The authors take the perturbative error as $\sim 2\rho_0 \alpha_s^2$, where ρ_0 is the coefficient of the one-loop correction to the leading term, which yields an error of $\sim 4\%$.
HPQCD 11A	[184]	2+1		This work uses PCAC together with an absolutely normalized current.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	mNPR	The authors' estimate of the perturbative errors is comparable in size to the actual one-loop corrections.
RBC/UKQCD 10C	[185]	2+1	PT1ℓ	The static-light current is matched through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a, \alpha_s)$ and renormalized using one-loop tadpole improved PT. For massless light quarks, the renormalization factors cancel in the ratio of decay constants.

Table 134: Description of the renormalization/matching procedure adopted in the determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f = 2 + 1$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
ALPHA 14 ALPHA 13 ALPHA 12A ALPHA 11	[187] [188] [189] [192]	2	NPR	The authors use the Schrödinger functional for the NP matching.
ETM 13B, 13C ETM 12B ETM 11A	[55, 190] [191] [57]	2	-, PT1ℓ	The current used for the relativistic decay constants is absolutely normalized. Interpolation method: The static limit current renormalization is calculated in one-loop mean field improved perturbation theory, there half the correction is used to estimate the error. Ratio method: The ratio is constructed from the relativistic decay constant data and the heavy-quark pole masses. Ratios of pole-to- $\overline{\rm MS}$ mass conversion factors are included at NLO in continuum perturbation theory.

Table 135: Description of the renormalization/matching procedure adopted in the determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants for $N_f = 2$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
ETM 13E	[178]	2+1+1	tmWil	The estimate of the discretization effects is described in the continuum table. The relativistic data are matched to HQET using NLO continuum PT in an intermediate step, and converted back to QCD at the end. The error due to HQET matching (estimated by replacing the NLO expressions with LO) is a very small contribution to the systematic error due to the heavy quark mass dependence.
HPQCD 13	[179]	2+1+1	NRQCD	The leading HQ truncation effects are of $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_h^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_h)$, and the errors are at the subpercentage level.

Table 136: Heavy quark treatment in $N_f=2+1+1$ determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
RBC/UKQCD 14 RBC/UKQCD 13A	[180] [181]	2+1	RHQ	In RBC/UKQCD 14, the heavy-quark discretization errors are estimated to be 1.7% in the decay constants, and 0.3% in the $SU(3)$ breaking ratios.
RBC/UKQCD 14A	[182]	2+1	Static	Static-limit computation, with $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_h)$ errors estimated to be 10% for the decay constants, and 2.2% for f_{B_s}/f_B .
HPQCD 12	[183]	2+1	NRQCD	HQ truncation effects estimated as in HPQCD 09 to be 1.0%
HPQCD 11A	[184]	2+1	HISQ	The analysis uses a combined continuum and $1/m$ extrapolation.
FNAL/MILC 11	[166]	2+1	Fermilab	HQ discretization effects are included in the combined chiral and continuum fits, and are estimated by varying the fit Ansatz and excluding the data at the coarsest lattice spacing to be $\sim 2\%$, consistent with simple power counting estimates but larger than the residual discretization errors observed in the data.
RBC/UKQCD 10C	[185]	2+1	Static	Truncation effects of $\mathcal{O}(1/m_h)$ on the $SU(3)$ breaking ratios are estimated by power counting to be 2% .
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	NRQCD	The leading HQ truncation effects are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_h)$ due to the tree-level coefficient of the $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ term. The error is estimated by calculating the $B^* - B$ hyperfine splitting and comparing with experiment as 1%.
ALPHA 14 ALPHA 13 ALPHA 12A ALPHA 11	[187] [188] [189] [192]	2	HQET	NP improved through $\mathcal{O}(1/m_h)$. Truncation errors of $\mathcal{O}\left[\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_h\right)^2\right]$ are not included.
ETM 13B, 13C ETM 12B ETM 11A	[55, 190] [191] [57]	2	${ m tmWil}$	The estimate of the discretization effects is described in the continuum table. In both methods the relativistic data are matched to HQET using NLO continuum PT in an intermediate step, and converted back to QCD at the end. The error due to HQET matching (estimated by replacing the NLO expressions with LO) is a very small contribution to the systematic error due to the heavy quark mass dependence. The variation observed from adding heavier masses to their data and/or including $1/m_h^3$ terms is $0.4-1.3\%$.

Table 137: Heavy quark treatment in $N_f=2+1$ and $N_f=2$ determinations of the B and B_s meson decay constants.

B.6.2 $B_{(s)}$ -meson mixing matrix elements

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
FNAL/MILC 16nty	y[195]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06, 0.045	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO HMrS χ PT and NNLO analytic terms as well as the terms for the heavy quark discretization errors up to a^3 , heavy quark mass mismatch, and renormalization error of α_s^2 .	Relative scale r_1/a is set vistatic-quark potential. Absolute scale is set as $r_1 = 0.3117(22)$ fm. See the description of FNAL/MILC 1: below. The scale uncertainty on ξ e.g. is estimated as 0.6%
RBC/UKQCD 14A	1[182]	2+1	0.11, 0.086	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with $SU(2)$ NLO HM χ PT and linear in quark mass both with $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ terms. The combined continuum and chiral extrapolation uncertainty is estimated as 2.55, 2.13 and 3.08% for $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$, $f_{B_s}\sqrt{B_{B_s}}$ and ξ respectively.	Scale is set using the Ω^- mass as input [14]. The scale uncertainty is estimated as 1.84 1.86 and 0.05% for $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$ and ξ respectively.
FNAL/MILC 12	[196]	2+1	0.12, 0.09	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO rHMS χ PT, NNLO analytic and generic $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 a^2, a^4)$ terms. Combined statistical, chiral and light-quark discretization error is estimated, by examining the variation with different fit Ansätze to be 3.7% on ξ .	Relative scale r_1/a is set via static-quark potential. Absolute scale $r_1 = 0.3117(22)$ fm is determined [166] through averaging the f_{π} input and the estimate of HPQCD collaboration [10]. The scale uncertainty on ξ is estimated as 0.2% .
FNAL/MILC 11A	[197]	2+1	0.12, 0.09, 0.06	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO rHMS χ PT, NNLO analytic and and generic $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 a^2, a^4)$ terms.	See above. The error in r_1 yields a 3% uncertainty or $f_B^2 B_B$.
RBC/UKQCD 10C	C [185]	2+1	0.11	Only one lattice spacing is used. Discretization error is estimated to be 4% on ξ by power counting.	Scale is set using the Ω^- mass as input [22]. The error on ξ due to the combined scale and light quark mass uncertainties is estimated as 1% .
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	0.12, 0.09	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO rHMS χ PT and NNLO analytic terms. Light-quark discretization error is estimated as 3, 2 and 0.3% for $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$, $f_{B_s}\sqrt{B_{B_s}}$ and ξ respectively.	Relative scale r_1/a is set via static-quark potential. Absolute scale $r_1 = 0.321(5)$ fm is determined through Υ mass [198]. The error on $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$ due to the scale uncertainty is estimated as 2.3%.
HPQCD 06A	[199]	2+1	0.12	Only one lattice spacing is used. Light-quark discretization error on $f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$ is estimated as 4% by power counting.	Scale is set using the Υ 2S-1S splitting as input [198]. The error on $f_B^2B_B$ due to the scale uncertainty is estimated as 5%.

Table 138: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the neutral B-meson mixing matrix elements for $N_f=2+1$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
ETM 13B	[55]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067, 0.054	Combined chiral and continuum extrapolation, with a term linear in a^2 . Discretization error is estimated by omitting the coarsest lattice as 0.5, 1.7, 1.3 and 1.0 % for B_{B_s} , B_B , B_{B_s}/B_B and ξ respectively. The heavy-quark masses vary in the range $0.13 \lesssim am_h \lesssim 0.85$.	See below.
ETM 12A, 12B	[191, 200]	2	0.098, 0.085, 0.067	Combined chiral and continuum extrapolation, with a term linear in a^2 . Discretization error included in combined statistical, chiral and continuum extrapolation error and estimated as 4.5%. The heavy-quark masses vary in the range $0.25 \lesssim am_h \lesssim 0.6$.	Relative scale r_0/a set from the static-quark potential Absolute scale set from f_{π} Scale setting uncertainty in cluded in combined statistica and systematic error.

Table 139: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of the neutral B-meson mixing matrix elements for $N_f=2$ simulations.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
FNAL/MILC 16nty	[195]	2+1	464, 280, 257, 332	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO HMrS χ PT, NNLO analytic terms and other discretization errors. See the entry in Table 138. The breakdown of the chiral error on ξ is 0.4% and not the dominant one.
RBC/UKQCD 14A	[182]	2+1	327, 289	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with $SU(2)$ NLO $HM\chi PT$ and linear in quark mass both with $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ terms. The chiral fit error is estimated from difference between the NLO $HM\chi PT$ and linear fits, and further from eliminating the heaviest ud quark mass point.
FNAL/MILC 12	[196]	2+1	440, 320	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO rHMS χ PT and NNLO analytic terms. See the entry in Table 138. The omission of wrong-spin contributions [201] in the HMrS χ PT is treated as a systematic error and estimated to be 3.2% for ξ .
FNAL/MILC 11A	[197]	2+1	440, 320, 250	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO rHMS χ PT and NNLO analytic terms.
RBC/UKQCD 10C	[185]	2+1	430	Linear fit matched with $SU(2)$ NLO $HM\chi PT$ at the lightest ud mass point is used as the preferred fit. Many different fit Ansätze are considered. The systematic error is estimated from the difference between the $SU(2)$ $HM\chi PT$ fit described above and a linear fit.
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	440, 400	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation with NLO rHMS χ PT and NNLO analytic terms.
HPQCD 06A	[199]	2+1	510	Two sea ud quark masses $m_{ud}/m_s = 0.25$ and 0.5 are used to calculate the matrix element for B_s meson at the predetermined value of the strange quark mass. No significant sea quark mass dependence is observed and the value at the lighter sea ud mass is taken as the result.
ETM 13B ETM 12A,12B	[55] [191, 200]	2	410, 275, 300, 270	$M_{\pi, \rm min}$ refers to the charged pions, where 270 MeV on the finest lattice only included in ETM 13B. Linear and NLO (full QCD) HM χ PT supplemented by an a^2 term is used. The chiral fit error is estimated from the difference between the NLO HM χ PT and linear fits.

Table 140: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of the neutral B-meson mixing matrix elements. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available). The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
FNAL/MILC 16n	ty [195]	2+1	2.4/2.9, 2.5/2.9/3.6/5. 2.9/3.4/3.8, 2.9	6.8, 8.2, 5.0, 4.8 8,	FV error is estimated to be less than 0.1% for $SU(3)$ breaking ratios from FV HMrS χ PT.
RBC/UKQCD 14	A [182]	2+1	2.74, 2.76	4.5, 4.0	FV error is estimated from $SU(2)$ χ PT to be 0.76, 0, 1.07% for $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$, $f_{B_s}\sqrt{B_{B_s}}$ and ξ respectively.
FNAL/MILC 12	[196]	2+1	2.4/2.9, 2.5	6.4, 5.1	FV error is estimated to be less than 0.1% for $SU(3)$ breaking ratios from FV HMrS χ PT.
FNAL/MILC 11A	[197]	2+1	2.4/2.9, 2.5/2.9/3.6, 3.8	6.4, 5.8, 4.9	FV error on $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$ is estimated to be less than 1%, which is inferred from the study of the <i>B</i> -meson decay constant using FV HM χ PT [166].
RBC/UKQCD 10	C [185]	2+1	1.8	3.9	FV error estimated through FV $HM\chi PT$ as 1% for $SU(3)$ breaking ratios.
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	2.4/2.9, 2.5	6.4, 5.1	No explicit estimate of FV error, but expected to be much smaller than other uncertainties.
HPQCD 06A	[199]	2+1	2.4	6.2	No explicit estimate of FV error, but expected to be much smaller than other uncertainties.
ETM 13B ETM 12A,12B	[55] [191, 200]	2	2.4, 2.0/2.7, 2.1, 1.7/2.6	5.0, 3.7, 3.3, 3.5	$L=1.7/2.6\mathrm{fm}$ only included in ETM 13B. FV error is assumed to be negligible based on the study of D -meson decay constants in Ref. [92].

Table 141: Finite volume effects in determinations of the neutral B-meson mixing matrix elements. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses (where available).

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
FNAL/MILC 16nty	[195]	2+1	mNPR	mNPR is used with one-loop lattice perturbation theory to renormalize the four-quark operators with heavy quarks rotated to eliminate tree-level $\mathcal{O}(a)$ errors. The error from neglecting higher order corrections is estimated to be 0.5% on ξ .
RBC/UKQCD 14A	[182]	2+1	PT1l	Static-light four-quark operators are renormalized with one-loop mean field improved PT. The errors due to neglected higher order effects are estimated for purely $\mathcal{O}\alpha_s^2$ to be 6% on the matrix elements or 1.2% on ξ and for $\mathcal{O}\alpha_s^2a^2$ to be 1% or 0.2% respectively.
FNAL/MILC 12	[196]	2+1	PT1 <i>l</i>	One-loop mean-field improved PT is used to renormalize the four-quark operators with heavy quarks rotated to eliminate tree-level $\mathcal{O}(a)$ errors. The error from neglecting higher order corrections is estimated to be 0.5% on ξ .
FNAL/MILC 11A	[197]	2+1	PT1 <i>l</i>	One-loop mean-field improved PT is used to renormalize the four-quark operators with heavy quarks rotated to eliminate tree-level $\mathcal{O}(a)$ errors. The error from neglected higher order corrections is estimated to be 4% on $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$.
RBC/UKQCD 10C	[185]	2+1	PT1 <i>l</i>	Static-light four-quark operators are renormalized with one-loop mean field improved PT. The error due to neglected higher order effects is estimated to be 2.2% on ξ .
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	PT1 <i>l</i>	Four-quark operators in lattice NRQCD are matched to QCD through order α_s , $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M$ and $\alpha_s/(aM)$ [202] using one-loop PT. The error due to neglected higher order effects is estimated to be 4% on $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$ and 0.7% on ξ .
HPQCD 06A	[199]	2+1	PT1l	Four-quark operators in lattice NRQCD are matched to full QCD through order α_s , $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M$ and $\alpha_s/(aM)$ [202]. The error is estimated as $\sim 1 \cdot \alpha_s^2$ to be 9% on $f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$
ETM 13B, 12A, 12B	[55, 191, 200]	2	NPR	The bag parameters are nonperturbatively renormalized in the RI'-MOM scheme. They are calculated as functions of the $(\overline{\rm MS})$ heavy-quark mass (renormalized nonperturbatively in RI/MOM).

Table 142: Operator renormalization in determinations of the neutral B-meson mixing matrix elements.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
FNAL/MILC 16nt	y [195]	2+1	Fermilab	The heavy-quark discretization error is a dominant error comparable to the statistical error. It reads 4.6%, 3.2% or 0.7% for the B_d , B_s matrix element or ξ .
RBC/UKQCD 14A	A [182]	2+1	Static	Two different static-quark actions with HYP1 and HYP2 smearings are used and the continuum extrapolation is constrained so the two values converges in the limit. The error due to the missing $1/m_b$ corrections is estimated to be 12% for individual matrix elements or 2.2% on ξ using power-counting.
FNAL/MILC 12	[196]	2+1	Fermilab	The heavy-quark discretization error on ξ is estimated to be 0.3 %. The error on ξ due to the uncertainty in the b -quark mass is estimated to be 0.4 %.
FNAL/MILC 11A	[197]	2+1	Fermilab	The heavy-quark discretization error on $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$ is estimated as 4% using power-counting.
RBC/UKQCD 100	C [185]	2+1	Static	Two different static-quark actions with Ape and HYP smearings are used. The discretization error on ξ is estimated as $\sim 4\%$ and the error due to the missing $1/m_b$ corrections as $\sim 2\%$, both using power-counting.
HPQCD 09	[186]	2+1	NRQCD	Heavy-quark truncation errors due to relativistic corrections are estimated to be 2.5, 2.5 and 0.4 % for $f_B\sqrt{B_B}$, $f_{B_s}\sqrt{B_{B_s}}$ and ξ respectively.
HPQCD 06A	[199]	2+1	NRQCD	Heavy-quark truncation errors due to relativistic corrections are estimated to be 3% for $f_{B_s}^2 B_{B_s}$.
ETM 13B ETM 12A,12B	[55] [191, 200]	2	tmWil	The ratio method is used to perform an interpolation to the physical b quark mass from the simulated heavy mass and the known static limit. In an intermediate step, the ratios include HQET matching factors calculated to tree-level, leading-log, and next-to-leading-log (ETM 13B only) in continuum PT. The interpolation uses a polynomial up to quadratic in the inverse quark-mass. The systematic errors added together with those of the chiral fit are estimated as $1.3-1.6\%$ for bag parameters for ETM 13B, while they are estimated from changing the interpolating polynomial as 2% and from changing the order of HQET matching factors as 3% for ETM 12A and 12B.

Table 143: Heavy-quark treatment in determinations of the neutral B-meson mixing matrix elements.

B.6.3 Form factors entering determinations of $|V_{ub}|$ ($B \to \pi l \nu, B_s \to K l \nu, \Lambda_b \to p l \nu$)

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
FNAL/MILC 15	[203]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12	Fit to HMrS χ PT to remove light-quark discretization errors. Residual heavy-quark discretization errors estimated with power-counting. Total (stat + chiral extrap + HQ discretization + $g_{B^*B\pi}$) error estimated to be 3.1% for f_+ and 3.8% for f_0 at $q^2 = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 , in- cluding related un- certainty estimates, taken from [166].
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to p$	[204]	2+1	0.0849(12), 0.1119(17)	Joint chiral-continuum extrapolation, combined with fit to q^2 dependence of form factors in a "modified" z -expansion. Systematics estimated by varying fit form and $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement parameter values.	Set from $\Upsilon(2S)$ – $\Upsilon(1S)$ splitting, cf. [205].
RBC/UKQCD 15	[206]	2+1	0.086,0.11	Joint chiral-continuum extrapolation using $SU(2)$ hardpion $HM\chi PT$. Systematic uncertainty estimated by varying fit ansatz and form of coefficients, as well as implementing different cuts on data; ranges from 5.0% to 10.9% for $B \to \pi$ form factors, and 2.5% to 5.1% for $B_s \to K$. Lightquark and gluon discretization errors estimated at 1.1% and 1.3%, respectively.	Scale implicitly set in the light-quark sector using the Ω^- mass, cf. [14].
HPQCD 14	[207]	2+1	0.09,0.12	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using hard-pion rHMS χ PT. (No explicit estimate of discretization effects.)	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 set to 0.3133(23) fm.
HPQCD 06	[208]	2+1	0.09,0.12	Central values obtained from data at $a=0.12$ fm. Discretization errors observed to be within the statistical error by comparison with data at $a=0.09$ fm.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 set through Υ 2S - 1S splitting c.f. HPQCD 05B [198].

Table 144: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of $B \to \pi \ell \nu$, $B_s \to K \ell \nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu$ form factors.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
FNAL/MILC 15	[203]	2+1	330, 260, 280, 470	Simultaneous chiral-continuum extrapolation and q^2 interpolation using NNLO $SU(2)$ hard-pion HMrS χ PT. Systematic error estimated by adding higher-order analytic terms and varying the B^* - B - π coupling.
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to p$	[204]	2+1	227, 245 (valence pions)	Joint chiral-continuum extrapolation, combined with fit to q^2 dependence of form factors in a "modified" z-expansion. Only analytic NLO terms $\propto (m_\pi^2 - m_{\pi, \mathrm{phys}}^2)$ included in light mass dependence. Systematic uncertainty estimated by repeating fit with added higher-order terms.
RBC/UKQCD 15	[206]	2+1	289, 329	Joint chiral-continuum extrapolation using $SU(2)$ hard-pion $HM\chi PT$. Systematic uncertainty estimated by varying fit ansatz and form of coefficients, as well as implementing different cuts on data; ranges from 5.0% to 10.9% for $B \to \pi$ form factors, and 2.5% to 5.1% for $B_s \to K$.
HPQCD 14	[207]	2+1	295, 260	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using hard-pion rHMS χ PT. (No explicit estimate of extrapolation systematics.)
HPQCD 06	[208]	2+1	400, 440	First interpolate data at fixed quark mass to fiducial values of E_{π} using the Becirevic-Kaidalov and Ball-Zwicky ansätze, then extrapolate data at fixed E_{π} to physical quark masses using $SU(3)$ rHMS χ PT. Systematic error estimated by varying interpolation and extrapolation fit functions.

Table 145: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of $B \to \pi \ell \nu$, $B_s \to K \ell \nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu$ form factors. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses. The different $M_{\pi, \rm min}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	L [fm]	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
FNAL/MILC 15	5 [203]	2+1	2.9, 2.9/3.4/3.8, 2.5/2.9/3.6/5. 2.4/2.9	$\gtrsim 3.8$	FV effects estimated by replacing infinite-volume chiral logs with sums over discrete momenta, found to be negligible.
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to p$	[204]	2+1	2.7, 2.7	$\gtrsim 3.1$ (valence sector)	FV effect estimated at 3% from experience on χPT estimates of FV effects for heavy-baryon axial couplings.
RBC/UKQCD 1	15[206]	2+1	2.8, 2.6	4.0, 4.4	FV effects estimated by correction to chiral logs due to sums over discrete momenta; quoted 0.3-0.5% for f_+ and 0.4-0.7% for f_0 for $B \to \pi$, and 0.2% for f_+ and 0.1-0.2% for f_0 for $B_s \to K$.
HPQCD 14	[207]	2+1	2.5, 2.4/2.9	$\gtrsim 3.8$	FV effects estimated by shift of pion log, found to be negligible.
HPQCD 06	[208]	2+1	2.4/2.9	≥ 3.8	No explicit estimate of FV error, but expected to be much smaller than other uncertainties.

Table 146: Finite volume effects in determinations of $B \to \pi \ell \nu$, $B_s \to K \ell \nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu$ form factors. Each *L*-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the lightest masses are quoted.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
FNAL/MILC 15	[203]	2+1	mNPR	Perturbative truncation error estimated at 1% with size of 1-loop correction on next-to-finer ensemble.
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to p$	[204]	2+1	mNPR	Perturbative truncation error estimated at 1% with size of 1-loop correction on next-to-finer ensemble.
RBC/UKQCD 15	[206]	2+1	mNPR	Perturbative truncation error estimated as largest of power counting, effect from value of α_s used, numerical integration. Non-perturbative normalization of flavour-diagonal currents computed by fixing values of ratios of meson two-point functions to three-point functions with an extra current inversion, cf. [180]
HPQCD 14	[207]	2+1	mNPR	Currents matched using one-loop HISQ lattice perturbation theory, omitting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b$. Systematic uncertainty resulting from one-loop matching and neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_b^2$ terms estimated at 4% from power counting.
HPQCD 06	[208]	2+1	$ ext{PT1}\ell$	Currents included through $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M, \alpha_S/(aM), \alpha_S a\Lambda_{\rm QCD})$. Perturbative truncation error estimated from power-counting.

Table 147: Operator renormalization in determinations of $B \to \pi \ell \nu$, $B_s \to K \ell \nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu$ form factors.

Collab. Re	N_f	Action	Description
FNAL/MILC 15 [20] 2+1	Fermilab	Total statistical + chiral extrapolation + heavy-quark discretization + $g_{B^*B\pi}$ error estimated to be 3.1% for f_+ and 3.8% for f_0 at $q^2=20~{\rm GeV}^2$.
Detmold 15 [20 $\Lambda_b \to p$] 2+1	Columbia RHQ	Discretization errors discussed as part of combined chiral-continuum- q^2 fit, stemming from $a^2 \mathbf{p} ^2$ terms.
RBC/UKQCD 15[20] 2+1	Columbia RHQ	Discretization errors estimated by power counting to be 1.8% for f_+ and 1.7% for f_0 .
HPQCD 14 [20] 2+1	NRQCD	Currents matched using one-loop HISQ lattice perturbation theory, omitting $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_b$. Systematic uncertainty resulting from one-loop matching and neglecting $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/m_b^2$ terms estimated at 4% from power counting.
HPQCD 06 [20] 2+1	NRQCD	Discretization errors in $f_+(q^2)$ estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s(a\Lambda_{\rm QCD})^2)\sim 3\%$. Relativistic errors estimated to be $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/M)^2)\sim 1\%$.

Table 148: Heavy quark treatment in determinations of $B \to \pi \ell \nu$, $B_s \to K \ell \nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to p \ell \nu$ form factors.

B.6.4 Form factors for $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
FNAL/MILC 15D	[209]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12	Fit to SU(2) HMrS χ PT for the combined chiral-continuum limit extrapolation. Combined stat + chiral extrap + HQ discretization + $g_{B^*B\pi}$ error provided as a function of q^2 for each form factor, ranging between $\sim 1.4\%$ and $\sim 2.8\%$.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 , in- cluding related un- certainty estimates, taken from [166].
HPQCD 13E	[210]	2+1	0.09,0.12	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using rHMS χ PT. Errors provided as a function of q^2 , combined total ranging from $\sim 3\%$ to $\sim 5\%$ in data region.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 set to 0.3133(23) fm.

Table 149: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of form factors for $B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
FNAL/MILC 15D	[209]	2+1	330, 260, 280, 470	Simultaneous chiral-continuum extrapolation and q^2 interpolation using SU(2) HMrS χ PT, with a hard-kaon χ PT treatment of high-energy kaons. Combined stat + chiral extrap + HQ discretization + $g_{B^*B\pi}$ error provided as a function of q^2 for each form factor, ranging between $\sim 1.4\%$ and $\sim 2.8\%$.
HPQCD 13E	[210]	2+1	295, 260	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using rHMS χ PT. Errors provided as a function of q^2 , combined total ranging from $\sim 3\%$ to $\sim 5\%$ in data region.

Table 150: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of form factors for $B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$. For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses. The different $M_{\pi, \text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [\mathrm{fm}]$	$M_{\pi,\min}L$	Description
FNAL/MILC 15D	[209]	2+1	2.9, 2.9/3.8, 2.5/2.9/3.6/5.8, 2.4/2.9	≥ 3.8	FV effects estimated by replacing infinite-volume chiral logs with sums over discrete momenta, found to be negligible.
HPQCD 13E	[210]	2+1	2.5, 2.4/2.9	$\gtrsim 3.8$	FV effects included in combined chiral-continuum extrapolation.

Table 151: Finite volume effects in determinations of form factors for $B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$. Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the lightest masses are quoted.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
FNAL/MILC 15D	[209]	2+1	mNPR	Perturbative truncation error estimated at 1% for f_+ and f_0 and 2% for f_T , using size of 1-loop correction on next-to-finer ensemble.
HPQCD 13E	[210]	2+1	mNPR	Currents matched using one-loop massless-HISQ lattice perturbation theory. Associated systematic uncertainty dominates quoted 4% uncertainty from matching, charm quenching, and electromagnetic and isospin breaking effects.

Table 152: Operator renormalization in determinations of form factors for $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
FNAL/MILC 15E) [209]	2+1	Fermilab	Combined stat + chiral extrap + HQ discretization + $g_{B^*B\pi}$ error provided as a function of q^2 for each form factor, ranging between $\sim 1.4\%$ and $\sim 2.8\%$.
HPQCD 13E	[210]	2+1	NRQCD	Currents matched using one-loop massless-HISQ lattice perturbation theory. Associated systematic uncertainty dominates quoted 4% uncertainty from matching, charm quenching, and electromagnetic and isospin breaking effects.

Table 153: Heavy quark treatment in determinations of form factors for $B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$.

B.6.5 Form factors entering determinations of $|V_{cb}|$ ($B \to D^* l \nu$, $B \to D l \nu$, $B_s \to D_s l \nu$, $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c l \nu$) and R(D))

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	a [fm]	Continuum extrapolation	Scale setting
HPQCD 15	[211]	2+1	0.09, 0.12	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation as part of modified z-expansion of form factors, which also includes uncertainty related to matching of NRQCD and relativistic currents.	Implicitly set from r_1 .
FNAL/MILC 15C	[212]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using HMrS χ PT. Form factors fitted to NLO χ PT, with chiral logs taken from staggered version of the Chow-Wise result, modified to include taste-breaking terms. $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ terms introduced based on power-counting arguments. Total uncertainty estimated at 0.6% for f_+ and 0.5% for f_0 for the largest recoil.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 , including related un- certainty estimates, taken from [166]. Uncertainty related to scale setting estimated at 0.2%.
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$	[204]	2+1	0.0849(12), 0.1119(17)	Joint chiral-continuum extrapolation, combined with fit to q^2 dependence of form factors in a "modified" z -expansion. Systematics estimated by varying fit form and $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improvement parameter values.	Set from $\Upsilon(2S)$ – $\Upsilon(1S)$ splitting, cf. [205].
FNAL/MILC 14	[213]	2+1	0.045, 0.06, 0.09, 0.12, 0.15	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using ${\rm HMrS}\chi{\rm PT}$. Total uncertainty quoted at 0.5%.	Relative scale r_1/a set from the static- quark potential. Absolute scale r_1 , including related un- certainty estimates, taken from [166]. Uncertainty related to scale setting estimated at 0.1%.
Atoui 13	[214]	2	0.054, 0.067, 0.085, 0.098	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation, with linear terms in a^2 and $m_{\rm sea}$. No dependence on a or $m_{\rm sea}$ observed within errors. Stability of results vs fits with no $m_{\rm sea}$ dependence checked.	Scale set through F_{π} .

Table 154: Continuum extrapolations/estimation of lattice artifacts in determinations of $B \to D\ell\nu$, $B \to D^*\ell\nu$, $B_s \to D_s\ell\nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c\ell\nu$ form factors, and of R(D).

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} \left[\mathrm{MeV} \right]$	Description
HPQCD 15	[211]	2+1	295, 260	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation as part of modified z-expansion of form factors. Hardpion χPT for light mass dependence used to estimate systematic uncertainty to be 1.14%.
FNAL/MILC 15C	[212]	2+1	330, 260, 280, 470	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using HMrS χ PT. Form factors fitted to NLO χ PT, with chiral logs taken from staggered version of the Chow-Wise result, modified to include taste-breaking terms. $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ terms introduced based on power-counting arguments. Total uncertainty estimated at 0.6% for f_+ and 0.5% for f_0 for the largest recoil.
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$	[204]	2+1	227, 245 (valence pions)	Joint chiral-continuum extrapolation, combined with fit to q^2 dependence of form factors in a "modified" z-expansion. Only analytic NLO terms $\propto (m_\pi^2 - m_{\pi, \mathrm{phys}}^2)$ included in light mass dependence. Systematic uncertainty estimated by repeating fit with added higher-order terms.
FNAL/MILC 14	[213]	2+1	330, 260, 280, 470, 590	Combined chiral-continuum extrapolation using HMrS χ PT. Systematic errors estimated by adding higher-order analytic terms and varying the D^* - D - π coupling. Total uncertainty quoted at 0.5%.
Atoui 13	[214]	2	270, 300, 270, 410	Combined continuum and chiral extrapolation, with linear terms in a^2 and $m_{\rm sea}$. No dependence on a or $m_{\rm sea}$ observed within errors. Stability of results vs fits with no $m_{\rm sea}$ dependence checked.

Table 155: Chiral extrapolation/minimum pion mass in determinations of $B \to D\ell\nu$, $B \to D^*\ell\nu$, $B_s \to D_s\ell\nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c\ell\nu$ form factors, and of R(D). For actions with multiple species of pions, masses quoted are the RMS pion masses. The different $M_{\pi,\text{min}}$ entries correspond to the different lattice spacings.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$L [{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi, \mathrm{min}} L$	Description
HPQCD 15	[211]	2+1	2.5, 2.4/2.9	$\gtrsim 3.8$	FV effects estimated to be negligible.
FNAL/MILC 15	C[212]	2+1	2.9, 2.9–3.8, 2.5–5.8, 2.4/2.9	≥ 3.8	FV error estimated to be negligible in [215].
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$	[204]	2+1	2.7, 2.7	$\gtrsim 3.1$ (valence sector)	FV effect estimated at 1.5% from experience on χ PT estimates of FV effects for heavy-baryon axial couplings.
FNAL/MILC 14	[213]	2+1	2.9, 2.9–3.8, 2.4–5.5, 2.4/2.9, 2.4	$\gtrsim 3.8$	FV error estimated to be negligible.
Atoui 13	[214]	2	1.7/2.6, 2.1, 2.0/2.7, 2.4	$\gtrsim 3.6$	No volume dependence observed within errors.

Table 156: Finite volume effects in determinations of $B \to D\ell\nu$, $B \to D^*\ell\nu$, $B_s \to D_s\ell\nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c\ell\nu$ form factors, and of R(D). Each L-entry corresponds to a different lattice spacing, with multiple spatial volumes at some lattice spacings. For actions with multiple species of pions, the lightest pion masses are quoted.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Ren.	Description
HPQCD 15	[211]	2+1	One loop.	One-loop matching of currents taken from [216].
FNAL/MILC 15C	[212]	2+1	mNPR	Form factors extracted from ratios of correlators that renormalize with ratios of current normalizations, computed at one-loop in perturbation theory. Dependence of renormalization factor on recoil parameter w neglected. Systematic uncertainty due to perturbative truncation and w -dependence estimated by power counting to 0.7% .
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$	[204]	2+1	mNPR	Perturbative truncation error estimated at 1% with size of 1-loop correction on next-to-finer ensemble.
FNAL/MILC 14	[213]	2+1	mNPR	Majority of current renormalization factor cancels in double ratio of lattice correlation functions. Remaining correction calculated with 1-loop tadpole-improved lattice perturbation theory. Systematic uncertainty estimated at 0.4%.
Atoui 13	[214]	2	_	Observables obtained from ratios that do not require renormalization. Checks performed by comparing with results coming from currents that are renormalized separately with non-perturbative $Z_{\rm V}$.

Table 157: Operator renormalization in determinations of $B \to D\ell\nu$, $B \to D^*\ell\nu$, $B_s \to D_s\ell\nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c\ell\nu$ form factors, and of R(D).

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	Action	Description
HPQCD 15	[211]	2+1	NRQCD for b quark, HISQ for c quark	Discretization errors estimated via power counting to be 2.59%.
FNAL/MILC 15C	[212]	2+1	Fermilab	Discretization errors of form factors estimated via power counting to be 0.4%.
Detmold 15 $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$	[204]	2+1	Columbia RHQ	Discretization errors discussed as part of combined chiral-continuum- q^2 fit, stemming from $a^2 \mathbf{p} ^2$ terms.
FNAL/MILC 14	[213]	2+1	Fermilab	Discretization errors estimated via power counting to be 1%.
Atoui 13	[214]	2	${ m tmWil}$	Results obtained from step-scaling in heavy quark mass via the ratio method. Separate continuum limit extrapolations with mild a^2 dependence carried out for each mass point separately. Result at physical value of m_b obtained by interpolation between data region and known exact HQET limit.

Table 158: Heavy quark treatment in determinations of $B \to D\ell\nu$, $B \to D^*\ell\nu$, $B_s \to D_s\ell\nu$, and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c\ell\nu$ form factors, and of R(D).

B.7 Notes to section 9 on the strong coupling α_s

B.7.1 Renormalization scale and perturbative behaviour

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$lpha_{ ext{eff}}$	n_l	Description
FlowQCD 15	[217]	0	0.09-0.12	2	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(2.63/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling. The physical volume ranges from $2.4\sim3.8{\rm fm}.$
Sternbeck 12	[218]	0	0.11-0.18	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}(p)$ for $p=5-40{\rm GeV}$. Fitted to PT without power corrections. ($\beta=6.0,6.4,6.7,6.92$.)
Ilgenfritz 10	[219]	0	0.07-0.9	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}(p)$ for $p=1-240{\rm GeV}.~(\beta=5.8,6.0,6.2,6.4,9.0.)$
Sternbeck 10	[220]	0	0.07-0.32	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}$ for $p=2.5-140$ GeV, fitted to PT partially on very small lattices.
Brambilla 10	[221]	0	0.22-0.47	3	$\alpha_{\rm qq}(1/r)$ for the range $r/r_0=0.15-0.5$. Fit of $V(r)$ to PT with renormalon subtraction and resummation reproduces the static potential for $r/r_0=0.15-0.45$ well.
Boucaud 08	[222]	0	0.18-0.35	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}(p)$ with $p=3-6$ GeV. Fitted to PT with $1/p^2$ correction.
Boucaud 05	[223]	0	0.22-0.55	3	$\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathrm{MOM}}_{g,c}}$ using gluon and ghost propagators with $2 \le \mu \le 6$ GeV. Fit to perturbation theory.
QCDSF- UKQCD 05	[224]	0	0.10-0.15	2	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(2.63/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
CP-PACS 04	[225]	0	0.08-0.28	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$ step-scaling functions at $\alpha_{\rm eff}=0.08,0.19,$ study of continuum limit. Agreement of continuum limit with ALPHA 98.
Boucaud 01A	[226]	0	0.18-0.45	2	α_{MOM} with $p=2.5-10$ GeV. Consistency check of $n_l=2$ loop perturbation formula with gluon condensate. $\langle A^2 \rangle$ from α_{MOM} and gluon propagator are consistent.
Soto 01	[227]	0	0.25-0.36, 0.3-0.36, 0.19-0.24	2	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}$ for $p=3-10$ GeV. Fit with $n_l=2$ loop formula with gluon condensate. (Without condensate does not fit the lattice data.) ($\beta=6.0, 6.2, 6.8$.)
Boucaud 00A	[228]	0	0.35-0.55, 0.25-0.45, 0.22-0.28, 0.18-0.22	2	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}$ with $p=2-10$ GeV. Fitted to $n_l=2$ loop perturbation theory with power correction. ($\beta=6.0,6.2,6.4,6.8.$)
Boucaud 00B	[229]	0	0.35-0.55, 0.25-0.45, 0.22-0.28, 0.18-0.22	2	α_{MOM} with $2 \leq \mu \leq 10$ GeV. Consistency check of $n_l = 2$ loop perturbation formula with gluon condensate. $\beta_2^{MOM} = 1.5 \times \beta_2^{\widetilde{MOM}}$ is needed. ($\beta = 6.0, 6.2, 6.4, 6.8$.)

Table 159: Renormalization scale and perturbative behaviour of α_s determinations for $N_f=0$.

Collab. Ref.	N_f	$lpha_{ ext{eff}}$	n_l	Description
Becirevic 99A[230]	0	0.25-0.4	2	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}$ with $p=2.5$ - 5.5 GeV.
Becirevic 99B[231]	0	0.18-0.25	2	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}$ from a single lattice spacing with $p=5.6$ - 9.5 GeV.
SESAM 99 [232]	0	0.15	1	$\alpha_{\rm V}(3.41/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
ALPHA 98 [233]	0	0.07-0.28	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$ step scaling, agreement with perturbative running $(n_l=2)$ for $\alpha_{\rm eff}<0.15.$
Boucaud 98A [234]	0	0.35-0.5	1,2	α_{MOM} , with $2.1 \le \mu \le 3.9$ GeV. $n_l = 1$ for α_{MOM} , $n_l = 2$ for α_{MOM} .
Boucaud 98B [235]	0	0.27-0.50	2	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}$ with $\mu = 2.2 - 4.5\text{ GeV}$.
Alles 96 [236]	0	0.35-0.71	1	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}(p)$ with $p = 1.8 - 3.0$ GeV.
Wingate 95 [237]	0	0.15	1	$\alpha_V(3.41/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
Davies 94 [238]	0	0.15	1	$\alpha_V(3.41/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
Lüscher 93 [239]	0	0.09-0.28	1	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$ step scaling, agreement with perturbative running $(n_l=1)$ for $\alpha_{\rm eff}<0.17.$
UKQCD 92 [240]	0	0.17-0.40	1	$\alpha_{\rm qq}(1/r)$ for a single lattice spacing. Fit of $\alpha_{\rm qq}(1/r)$ to a NLO formula.
Bali 92 [241]	0	0.15-0.35	1	$\alpha_{\rm qq}(1/r)$ for the lattice spacing used in the analysis. Box size $L\approx 1.05{\rm fm}$. Fit of $\alpha_{\rm qq}(1/r)$ to a NLO formula. $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ is found to depend on the fit-range.
El-Khadra 92 [242]	0	0.12-0.15	1	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(\pi/a)$ from 1-loop boosted perturbation theory.

Table 159: (contd.) Renormalization scale and perturbative behaviour of α_s determinations for $N_f=0$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$lpha_{ ext{eff}}$	n_l	Description
Karbstein 14	1 [243]	2	0.28 - 0.41	3	$\alpha_V(p)$ for momentum 1.5 < p < 3.0 GeV. Values computed from the quoted Λ parameter with the 2-loop β function; larger values (0.32 – 0.62) are obtained with 3-loop running. As with ETM 11C central values are taken from $a=0.042\mathrm{fm}$ lattice with $L=1.3\mathrm{fm}$ and $m_\pi=350\mathrm{MeV}$.
ALPHA 12	[29]	2	see ALPHA 04	2	Determination of $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}/f_K$ using ALPHA 04
Sternbeck 12	2 [218]	2	0.17-0.23	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}$ for $(r_0p)^2=200-2000$. Fit to PT without condensate. Deviation at higher energy is observed.
ETM 11C	[244]	2	0.26-0.96	3	$\alpha_{\rm qq}(1/r)$ as computed by us from $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}=315{\rm MeV}.$ Fit of $V(r)$ to PT with renormalon subtraction and resummation reproduces the static potential for $r/r_0=0.2-0.6$ well. One fit-range, using $r/a=2-4$ at the smallest lattice spacing corresponds to $\alpha_{\rm eff}=0.26-0.40.$ In the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme one has $\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(1/r)=0.24-0.63$ and for the restricted fit $\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(1/r)=0.24-0.36.$ Central values taken from $a=0.042{\rm fm}$ lattice with $L=1.3{\rm fm}$ and $m_\pi=350{\rm MeV}.$
ETM 10F	[245]	2	0.24-0.45	3	α_T for momentum up to 2.6 - 5.6 GeV. Fitted to PT with gluon condensate correction term.
Sternbeck 10) [220]	2	0.19-0.38	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}$ for $1 \leq (ap)^2 \leq 10$. Fitted with $n_l = 3$ loop formula.
JLQCD 08	[246]	2	0.25-0.30	1	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(Q)$ for $0.65<(aQ)^2<1.32.$ Fit with the perturbative formula with power corrections.
QCDSF- UKQCD 05	[224]	2	0.18-0.20	2	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(1.4/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
ALPHA 04	[247]	2	0.078-0.44	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$ step scaling, agreement with $n_l=2$ loop running for $\alpha_s<0.2$
ALPHA 01	[248]	2	0.078-0.44	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$ step scaling, agreement with $n_l=2$ loop running for $\alpha_s<0.2$
Boucaud 011	3[249]	2	0.25-0.5	3	$\alpha_{\widetilde{\text{MOM}}}$ for momentum up to 7 GeV. Fitted with $n_l=3$ loop formula with and without power correction, leading to different results for $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(2)}$. Extrapolation of $\alpha_s(1.3 \text{ GeV})$ in N_f from $N_f=0,2$ to $N_f=3$ is made.
SESAM 99	[232]	2	0.17	1	The boosted coupling $\alpha_{\rm P}(3.41/a)$.
Wingate 95	[237]	2	0.18	1	$\alpha_{\rm V}(3.41/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
Aoki 94	[250]	2	0.14	1	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(\pi/a)$ computed from the boosted coupling.
Davies 94	[238]	2	0.18	1	$\alpha_{\rm V}(3.41/a)$ computed from $\ln W_{11}$.

Table 160: Renormalization scale and perturbative behaviour of α_s determinations for $N_f=2$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$lpha_{ ext{eff}}$	n_l	Description
Bazavov 14	[251]	2+1	0.19-0.41	3	Update of Bazavov 12 including finer lattices down to $a = 0.041 \text{fm}$. Fit range $r/r_1 = 0.12 - 0.50 (r/r_0 = 0.08 - 0.33)$. Perturbative expansion of the force $F(r)$ integrated to determine potential.
Bazavov 12	[252]	2+1	0.23-0.57	3	$\alpha_{\rm qq}$ computed by us from $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}} r_0 = 0.70$. Fit of $V(r)$ to PT with renormalon subtraction and resummation reproduces the static potential for $r/r_0 = 0.135 - 0.5$ well.
Sternbeck 12	[218]	2+1	0.19-0.25	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}$ for $(pr_0)^2=200-2000$. Comparison with 4-loop formula.
JLQCD 10	[253]	2+1	0.29-0.35	2	$\alpha_{\overline{\rm MS}}(Q)$ for $0.4<(aQ)^2<1.0$. Fit with the perturbative formula with power corrections.
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1		2	Uses method of section 9.6. Update of r_1 and r_1/a in HPQCD 08A.
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	0.12-0.42	2	Uses method of section 9.7. α_{eff} from R_4 and R_6/R_8 . Fit of R_n , $n=4\ldots 10$ to PT including $(am)^{2i}$ terms with $i\leq 10$; coefficients constrained by priors.
PACS-CS 09.	A[254]	2+1	0.08-0.27	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$ step scaling, agreement with 3-loop running for $\alpha_s \leq 0.27$
HPQCD 08B	[49]	2+1	0.38	2	Fit of the ratios to PT at the charm mass including $(am)^{2i}$ terms with $i \leq 2 \dots 4$; coefficients constrained by priors.
HPQCD 08A	[255]	2+1	0.15-0.4	2	$\alpha_{\rm V}(q^*)$ for a variety of short-distance quantities, using same method as in HPQCD 05A.
Maltman 08	[256]	2+1		2	Re-analysis of HPQCD 05A for a restricted set of short-distance quantities with similar results.
HPQCD 05A	. [257]	2+1	0.2-0.4	2	$\alpha_{ m V}(q^*)$ for a variety of short-distance quantities.

Table 161: Renormalization scale and perturbative behaviour of α_s determinations for $N_f=3$.

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$lpha_{ ext{eff}}$	n_l	Description
HPQCD 14A [1] 2+1+1		0.11-0.33	2	Range given for α_{eff} from \tilde{R}_4 . Fit of ratios R_n $n=4\ldots 10$ to perturbation theory including $(am)^{2i}$ terms with $i \leq 10-20$ and higher-order perturbative terms; coefficients constrained by priors.	
ETM 13D	[258]	2+1+1	0.26-0.7	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}(p)$ for $p=1.6-6.5$ GeV. Update of [259] with improved power law determination.
ETM 12C	[259]	2+1+1	0.24-0.38	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}(p)$ for $p=1.7-6.8$ GeV. Fit to PT with gluon condensate correction or higher power.
ALPHA 10	A [260]	4	0.07-0.28	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$. Comparison to PT with 2-, 3-loop β -function.
ETM 11D	[261]	2+1+1	0.24-0.4	3	$\alpha_{\rm T}(p)$ for $p=3.8-7.1{\rm GeV}$ with H(4)-procedure. Fit to PT with gluon condensate correction.
Perez 10	[262]	4	0.06-0.28	2	$\alpha_{\rm SF}(1/L)$. Comparison with 1-, 2-, 3-loop β -function.

Table 162: Renormalization scale and perturbative behaviour of α_s determinations for $N_f=4$.

B.7.2 Continuum limit

Collaboration	Ref.	N_f	$a\mu$	Description
FlowQCD 15	[217]	0	9 lattice spacings with $a = 0.06$ - 0.02 fm.	$w_{0.4}/a$, together with $r_0 = 0.5$ fm and conversion factor $r_0/w_{0.4} = 2.587(45)$.
Sternbeck 12	[218]	0	4 lattice spacings $a \leq 0.1 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s = 0.18$, $ap = 2.7$, 1.5 for $\beta = 6.0$, 6.4.
Brambilla 10	[221]	0	At least 3 lattice spacings with $0.2 \le 2a/r \le 1.1$	Extrapolation of potential differences $V(r) - V(0.51r_0)$ linear in a^2 performed in [263] with several lattice spacings.
Ilgenfritz 10	[219]	0	$a=0.136,\ 0.093,\ 0.068,\ 0.051\mathrm{fm}\ (\beta=5.8,\ 6.0,\ 6.2,\ 6.4),$ while no value of a is given for $\beta=9.0$	At $\alpha_s = 0.3$, $ap = 2.0$, 1.4, 1.0, 0.8 ($\beta = 5.8$, 6.0, 6.2, 6.4). For $\beta = 9.0$ at $ap = 1.4$, $\alpha_s = 0.082$.
Sternbeck 10	[220]	0	8 lattice spacings $a = 0.004$ - 0.087 fm ($r_0 = 0.467$ fm)	$\sqrt{3} < ap < \sqrt{12}.$
Boucaud 08	[222]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s = 0.3$ the data have $ap = 2.6, 1.9, 1.5$.
QCDSF/UKQCI	0 05[224]	0	7 lattice spacings with $a = 0.10$ - 0.028 fm.	r_0/a , together with $r_0 = 0.467$ fm.
Boucaud 05	[223]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3 \ ap = 1.9, 1.4, 1.0.$
CP-PACS 04	[225]	0	4 spacings, $a/L = 1/12 - 1/4$.	Iwasaki and Lüscher Weisz tree-level improved bulk actions; boundary improvement at tree-level, 1-loop and with two different choices of implementation.
Soto 01	[227]	0	$a = 0.07, 0.05, 0.03 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \leq 0.3$, the data have $ap = 1.4, 1.0, 0.6$.
Boucaud 01A	[226]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05, 0.03 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3 \ ap = 1.9, 1.4, 1.0, 0.6.$
Boucaud 00A	[228]	0	a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05, 0.03 fm	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3$ $ap = 1.9, 1.4, 1.0, 0.6$.
Boucaud 00B	[229]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05, 0.03 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3$ $ap = 1.9, 1.4, 1.0, 0.6$.

Table 163: Continuum limit for α_s determinations with $N_f=0.$

Collaboration	Ref.	N_f	$a\mu$	Description
SESAM 99	[232]	0	1 lattice spacing with $a=0.086\mathrm{fm}$	Υ spectrum splitting.
Becirevic 99A	[230]	0	$a = 0.07, 0.05 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3 \ ap = 1.4, 1.0.$
Becirevic 99B	[231]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.03 \mathrm{fm}$	Only $a=0.03 \mathrm{fm}$ used to extract α_s . At $\alpha_s \leq 0.3$, $ap=0.6-1.5$.
ALPHA 98	[233]	0	4 to 6 spacings, $a/L = 1/12 - 1/5$ in step-scaling functions (SSF)	1-loop $\mathcal{O}(a)$ boundary improvement, linear extrapolation in a/L . $a/L = 1/8 - 1/5$ for $\alpha_s \leq 0.11$ SSF, $a/L = 1/12 - 1/5$ for $0.12 \leq \alpha_s \leq 0.20$ SSF. $L_{\rm max}/r_0$ from [264], where several lattice spacings were used.
Boucaud 98A	[234]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3$, $ap = 1.9, 1.4, 1.0$.
Boucaud 98B	[235]	0	$a = 0.1, 0.07, 0.05 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3$, $ap = 1.9, 1.4, 1.0$.
Alles 96	[236]	0	$a \le 0.1 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s = 0.35$, $ap = 1.5$.
Wingate 95	[237]	0	1 lattice spacing with $a = 0.11 \mathrm{fm}$	Charmonium $1S$ - $1P$ splitting.
Davies 94	[238]	0	1 lattice spacing with $a=0.077\mathrm{fm}$	Υ spectrum splitting.
Lüscher 93	[239]	0	4 or 5 lattice spacings, $a/L=1/12-1/5$ in stepscaling functions	1-loop $\mathcal{O}(a)$ boundary improvement, linear extrapolation in a/L . $a/L=1/8-1/5$ for $\alpha_s \leq 0.11$ SSF, $a/L=1/10-1/5$ for $0.11 \leq \alpha_s \leq 0.22$ SSF, $a/L=1/12-1/6$ for $0.22 \leq \alpha_s \leq 0.28$ SSF, $a/L=1/8.5-1/4.5$ for continuum extrapolation of $L_{\rm max}/\sqrt{K}$.
UKQCD 92	[240]	0	One lattice spacing with $0.44 \le 2a/r \le 1.6$	No continuum limit.
Bali 92	[241]	0	One lattice spacing with $0.4 \le 2a/r \le 1.6$	No continuum limit.
El-Khadra 92	[242]	0	3 lattice spacings with $a=0.17,\ 0.11,\ 0.08\mathrm{fm}$	Charmonium $1S$ - $1P$ splitting.

Table 163: Continuum limit for α_s determinations with $N_f=0$ continued.

Collab. Ref.	N_f	α μ	Description
Karbstein 14 [243]	2	0.32 - 1.19 $0.63 - 1.19$	at $p = 1.5 \text{GeV}$ at $p = 3 \text{GeV}$, roughly coincides with $\alpha_s = 0.3$.
ALPHA 12 [29]	2	$a = 0.049, 0.066, 0.076 \text{fm}$ from f_K	2-loop $\mathcal{O}(a)$ boundary improvement, linear extrapolation of $L_{\max}f_{\mathrm{K}}$ in a^2 .
Sternbeck 12 [218]	2	$a = 0.073, 0.07, 0.06 \mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s = 0.23$, $ap = 2.1, 2.0, 1.7$.
ETM 11C [244]	2	$0.30 \le 2a/r \le 1.0$ $0.67 \le 2a/r \le 1.26$ when $\alpha_s = 0.3$	Four lattice spacings; continuum limit studied with a particular range in r ; central result from the smallest lattice spacing, $a=0.042 {\rm fm}$.
ETM 10F [245]	2	a=0.05, 0.07, 0.08 fm. Different lattice spacings are patched together.	At $\alpha_s = 0.3$, $ap = 1.6, 1.3, 1.1$.
Sternbeck 10 [220]	2	a = 0.068, 0.076, 0.082 fm	At $\alpha_s \le 0.3, ap \ge 1.7.$
JLQCD 08 [246]	2	$a = 0.12 \text{ fm from } r_0 = 0.49 \text{ fm}$	Single lattice spacing, $0.64 < (aQ)^2 < 1.32$. At $\alpha_s = 0.3, \ ap = 0.81$.
QCDSF- [224] UKQCD 05	2	4 lattice spacings with $a = 0.10$ - $0.066 \mathrm{fm}$	r_0 , together with $r_0 = 0.467$ fm.
ALPHA 04 [247]	2	a/L = 1/8, 1/6, 1/5, 1/4	1-loop (at weak coupling) and 2-loop $\mathcal{O}(a)$ boundary improvement, linear extrapolation of SSF in $(a/L)^2$
ALPHA 01A [248]	2	a/L = 1/6, 1/5, 1/4	1-loop (at weak coupling) and 2-loop $\mathcal{O}(a)$ boundary improvement, weighted average of SSF with $a/L=1/5,1/6.$
Boucaud 01B[249]	2	$a=0.05,0.07,0.09\mathrm{fm}.$ Data at different lattice spacings are patched together	At $\alpha_s = 0.3$, $ap = 1.6, 1.3, 0.9$; plain Wilson action with $\mathcal{O}(a)$ errors.
SESAM 99 [232]	2	1 lattice spacing with $a = 0.079 \mathrm{fm}$	Υ spectrum splitting.
Wingate 95 [237]	2	1 lattice spacing with $a=0.11\mathrm{fm}$	Charmonium $1S$ - $1P$ splitting.
Aoki 94 [250]	2	1 lattice spacing with $a=0.10\mathrm{fm}$	Charmonium $1P - 1S$ splitting
Davies 94 [238]	2	1 lattice spacing with $a = 0.08 \mathrm{fm}$	Υ spectrum splitting.

Table 164: Continuum limit for α_s determinations with $N_f=2.$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$a\mu$	Description
Bazavov 14	[251]	2+1	2a/r = 0.52 - 3.2	5 lattice spacings; 3 used for determination. At $\alpha_{\rm eff}=0.3$, then $0.86 < a\mu=2a/r < 1.3$. $m_\pi L=2.4, 2.6, 2.2$ at smallest three lattice spacings of $a=0.060, 0.049, 0.041 {\rm fm}$ respectively [265]; adequate coverage of topological sectors is not clear.
Bazavov 12	[252]	2+1	2a/r = 0.6 - 2.0	7 lattice spacings; 4 lattice spacings with $1.14 \le 2a/r \le 1.5$ when $\alpha_s(1/r) = 0.3$. $2a/r = 2$ when $\alpha_s(1/r) = 0.23$ (on the finest lattice).
Sternbeck 12	[218]	2+1	$a=0.07\mathrm{fm}$	At $\alpha_s = 0.23$, $ap = 2.1$.
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1	$a\mu = 2a\bar{m}_h = 0.61 - 1.75$	5 lattice spacings; 3 lattice spacings with $1.0 \le a\mu \le 1.5$ when $\alpha_{R_4}(\mu) \le 0.3$; 3 lattice spacings with $1.0 \le a\mu \le 1.5$ when $\alpha_{R_6/R_8}(\mu) \le 0.33$.
JLQCD 10	[253]	2+1	$a = 0.11 \text{fm from } r_0 = 0.49 \text{fm}$	Single lattice spacing, $0.4 < (aQ)^2 < 1.0$ for the momentum fit range. At $\alpha_s = 0.3$, $ap = 0.89$.
HPQCD 10	[18]	2+1		Update of r_1 and r_1/a in HPQCD 08A.
PACS-CS 09A	A[254]	2+1	a/L = 1/8, 1/6, 1/4	Tree-level $\mathcal{O}(a)$ boundary improvement, which has been seen to behave better than 1-loop in simulations [225]; weighted average of $a/L=1/8,1/6$ for step-scaling function which agrees with a linear extrapolation in a/L of all data points of the SSF. Linear extrapolation in a/L of $L_{\text{max}}m_{\rho}$ with $a/L_{\text{max}}=1/8,1/6,1/4$.
HPQCD 08B	[49]	2+1	$a\mu = 2a\bar{m}_h = 0.8, 1.2, 1.7,$ 2.1	4 lattice spacings with heavy quark mass approximately the charm mass, where $\alpha_{R_4}(\mu) = 0.38$.
HPQCD 08A	[255]	2+1	6 lattice spacings with $a = 0.18$ - $0.045 \mathrm{fm}$	r_1 using Υ spectrum splitting.
Maltman 08	[256]	2+1	5 lattice spacings with $a = 0.18 - 0.06 \mathrm{fm}$	Re-analysis of HPQCD 05A with additional lattice spacings $a=0.06,0.15\mathrm{fm}.$
HPQCD 05A	[257]	2+1	3 lattice spacings with $a = 0.18 - 0.09 \mathrm{fm}$	r_1 using Υ spectrum splitting.

Table 165: Continuum limit for α_s determinations with $N_f=3.$

Collab.	Ref.	N_f	$a\mu$	Description
HPQCD 14	A [1]	2+1+1	$a\mu = 2a\bar{m}_h = 0.78 - 2.09$	4 lattice spacings; 2 lattice spacings with $a\mu \le 1.5$ and one more lattice spacing with $a\mu \lesssim 1.6$ when $\alpha_{R_4}(\mu) \le 0.3$.
ETM 13D	[258]	2+1+1	$a = 0.060, 0.068 \text{ fm from } f_{\pi}$	For $\alpha_s \le 0.3$, $ap = 1.5, 1.7$. Update of [259].
ETM 12C	[259]	2+1+1	$a = 0.061, 0.078 \text{ fm from } f_{\pi}$	Global fit with $(ap)^2$ discretization effects. For $\alpha_s \leq 0.3, \ ap = 1.5, 2.2.$
ETM 11D	[261]	2+1+1	$a = 0.061, 0,078 \mathrm{fm}$	For $\alpha_s \le 0.3$, $ap = 1.5, 2.0$.
ALPHA 10	A [260]	4	a/L = 1/4, 1/6, 1/8	Constant or global linear fit in $(a/L)^2$.
Perez 10	[262]	4	a/L = 1/4, 1/6, 1/8	Linear extrapolation in $(a/L)^2$. 1-loop improvement at the boundary.

Table 166: Continuum limit for α_s determinations with $N_f=4.$

References

- [1] [HPQCD 14A] B. Chakraborty, C. T. H. Davies, G. C. Donald, R. J. Dowdall, B. Galloway, P. Knecht et al., *High-precision quark masses and QCD coupling from* $n_f = 4 \ lattice \ QCD, \ Phys.Rev. \ D91 \ (2015) \ 054508, \ [1408.4169].$
- [2] [FNAL/MILC 14A] A. Bazavov et al., Charmed and light pseudoscalar meson decay constants from four-flavor lattice QCD with physical light quarks, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 074509, [1407.3772].
- [3] [ETM 14] N. Carrasco et al., Up, down, strange and charm quark masses with $N_f = 2+1+1$ twisted mass lattice QCD, Nucl. Phys. B887 (2014) 19–68, [1403.4504].
- [4] [QCDSF/UKQCD 15] R. Horsley et al., Isospin splittings of meson and baryon masses from three-flavor lattice QCD + QED, J. Phys. G43 (2016) 10LT02, [1508.06401].
- [5] [RBC/UKQCD 14B] T. Blum et al., Domain wall QCD with physical quark masses, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 074505, [1411.7017].
- [6] [RBC/UKQCD 12] R. Arthur et al., Domain wall QCD with near-physical pions, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 094514, [1208.4412].
- [7] [PACS-CS 12] S. Aoki, K.-I. Ishikawa, N. Ishizuka, K. Kanaya, Y. Kuramashi et al., 1+1+1 flavor QCD + QED simulation at the physical point, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 034507, [1205.2961].
- [8] J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, Pseudoscalar decay constants, light-quark masses and B_K from mixed-action lattice QCD, PoS LATTICE2011 (2011) 293, [1112.4861].
- [9] [MILC 10A] A. Bazavov et al., Staggered chiral perturbation theory in the two-flavor case and SU(2) analysis of the MILC data, PoS LAT2010 (2010) 083, [1011.1792].
- [10] [HPQCD 09B] C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, I. Kendall, G. P. Lepage and C. McNeile, Precise determination of the lattice spacing in full lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. D81 (2010) 034506, [0910.1229].
- [11] [PACS-CS 10] S. Aoki et al., Non-perturbative renormalization of quark mass in $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD with the Schrödinger functional scheme, JHEP 1008 (2010) 101, [1006.1164].
- [12] [BMW 10A] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., Lattice QCD at the physical point: light quark masses, Phys.Lett. B701 (2011) 265–268, [1011.2403].
- [13] [BMW 10B] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., Lattice QCD at the physical point: simulation and analysis details, JHEP 1108 (2011) 148, [1011.2711].
- [14] [RBC/UKQCD 10A] Y. Aoki et al., Continuum limit physics from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD, Phys.Rev. **D83** (2011) 074508, [1011.0892].
- [15] T. Blum et al., Electromagnetic mass splittings of the low lying hadrons and quark masses from 2+1 flavor lattice QCD+QED, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 094508, [1006.1311].

- [16] [PACS-CS 09] S. Aoki et al., Physical point simulation in 2+1 flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 074503, [0911.2561].
- [17] [HPQCD 09A] C. T. H. Davies et al., Precise charm to strange mass ratio and light quark masses from full lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 132003, [0910.3102].
- [18] [HPQCD 10] C. McNeile, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, K. Hornbostel and G. P. Lepage, High-precision c and b masses and QCD coupling from current-current correlators in lattice and continuum QCD, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 034512, [1004.4285].
- [19] [MILC 09A] A. Bazavov et al., *MILC results for light pseudoscalars*, *PoS* **CD09** (2009) 007, [0910.2966].
- [20] [MILC 09] A. Bazavov et al., Full nonperturbative QCD simulations with 2+1 flavors of improved staggered quarks, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 1349–1417, [0903.3598].
- [21] [PACS-CS 08] S. Aoki et al., 2+1 flavor lattice QCD toward the physical point, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 034503, [0807.1661].
- [22] [RBC/UKQCD 08] C. Allton et al., Physical results from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD and SU(2) chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 114509, [0804.0473].
- [23] [CP-PACS/JLQCD 07] T. Ishikawa et al., Light quark masses from unquenched lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 011502, [0704.1937].
- [24] [HPQCD 05] Q. Mason, H. D. Trottier, R. Horgan, C. T. H. Davies and G. P. Lepage, High-precision determination of the light-quark masses from realistic lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 114501, [hep-ph/0511160].
- [25] [HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04] C. Aubin et al., First determination of the strange and light quark masses from full lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 031504, [hep-lat/0405022].
- [26] [MILC 04] C. Aubin et al., Light pseudoscalar decay constants, quark masses and low energy constants from three-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 114501, [hep-lat/0407028].
- [27] [ETM 14D] A. Abdel-Rehim, C. Alexandrou, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, K. Jansen et al., *Progress in Simulations with Twisted Mass Fermions at the Physical Point*, *PoS* **LATTICE2014** (2014) 119, [1411.6842].
- [28] [RM123 13] G. M. de Divitiis, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli, R. Petronzio et al., Leading isospin breaking effects on the lattice, Phys.Rev. **D87** (2013) 114505, [1303.4896].
- [29] [ALPHA 12] P. Fritzsch, F. Knechtli, B. Leder, M. Marinkovic, S. Schaefer et al., The strange quark mass and the Λ parameter of two flavor QCD, Nucl. Phys. B865 (2012) 397–429, [1205.5380].
- [30] [RM123 11] G. M. de Divitiis, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli et al., Isospin breaking effects due to the up-down mass difference in lattice QCD, JHEP 1204 (2012) 124, [1110.6294].

- [31] S. Dürr and G. Koutsou, The ratio m_c/m_s with Wilson fermions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 122003, [1108.1650].
- [32] [ETM 10B] B. Blossier et al., Average up/down, strange and charm quark masses with $N_f = 2$ twisted mass lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 114513, [1010.3659].
- [33] [JLQCD/TWQCD 08A] J. Noaki et al., Convergence of the chiral expansion in two-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 202004, [0806.0894].
- [34] [RBC 07] T. Blum, T. Doi, M. Hayakawa, T. Izubuchi and N. Yamada, Determination of light quark masses from the electromagnetic splitting of pseudoscalar meson masses computed with two flavors of domain wall fermions, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 114508, [0708.0484].
- [35] [ETM 07] B. Blossier et al., Light quark masses and pseudoscalar decay constants from $N_f = 2$ lattice QCD with twisted mass fermions, JHEP 04 (2008) 020, [0709.4574].
- [36] [QCDSF/UKQCD 06] M. Göckeler et al., Estimating the unquenched strange quark mass from the lattice axial Ward identity, Phys. Rev. **D73** (2006) 054508, [hep-lat/0601004].
- [37] [SPQcdR 05] D. Bećirević et al., Non-perturbatively renormalised light quark masses from a lattice simulation with $N_f = 2$, Nucl. Phys. B734 (2006) 138–155, [hep-lat/0510014].
- [38] [ALPHA 05] M. Della Morte et al., Non-perturbative quark mass renormalization in two-flavor QCD, Nucl. Phys. B729 (2005) 117–134, [hep-lat/0507035].
- [39] [QCDSF/UKQCD 04] M. Göckeler et al., Determination of light and strange quark masses from full lattice QCD, Phys. Lett. **B639** (2006) 307–311, [hep-ph/0409312].
- [40] [JLQCD 02] S. Aoki et al., Light hadron spectroscopy with two flavors of O(a)-improved dynamical quarks, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 054502, [hep-lat/0212039].
- [41] [CP-PACS 01] A. Ali Khan et al., Light hadron spectroscopy with two flavors of dynamical quarks on the lattice, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 054505, [hep-lat/0105015].
- [42] O. Bär, C. Bernard, G. Rupak and N. Shoresh, Chiral perturbation theory for staggered sea quarks and Ginsparg-Wilson valence quarks, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 054502, [hep-lat/0503009].
- [43] G. Colangelo, S. Dürr and C. Haefeli, Finite volume effects for meson masses and decay constants, Nucl. Phys. B721 (2005) 136–174, [hep-lat/0503014].
- [44] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Light quarks at low temperatures, Phys. Lett. **B184** (1987) 83.
- [45] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Thermodynamics of chiral symmetry*, *Phys. Lett.* **B188** (1987) 477.
- [46] [ETM 14A] C. Alexandrou, V. Drach, K. Jansen, C. Kallidonis and G. Koutsou, Baryon spectrum with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted mass fermions, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 074501, [1406.4310].

- [47] [JLQCD 15B] K. Nakayama, B. Fahy and S. Hashimoto, Charmonium current-current correlators with Mobius domain-wall fermion, in Proceedings, 33rd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2015), vol. LATTICE2015, p. 267, 2016. 1511.09163.
- [48] [χ QCD 14] Y. Yi-Bo et al., Charm and strange quark masses and f_D_s from overlap fermions, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 034517, [1410.3343].
- [49] [HPQCD 08B] I. Allison et al., High-precision charm-quark mass from current-current correlators in lattice and continuum QCD, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 054513, [0805.2999].
- [50] [ALPHA 13B] J. Heitger, G. M. von Hippel, S. Schaefer and F. Virotta, Charm quark mass and D-meson decay constants from two-flavour lattice QCD, PoS LATTICE2013 (2014) 475, [1312.7693].
- [51] [ETM 11F] K. Jansen, M. Petschlies and C. Urbach, *Charm Current-Current Correlators in Twisted Mass Lattice QCD*, *PoS* **LATTICE2011** (2011) 234, [1111.5252].
- [52] [HPQCD 14B] B. Colquhoun, R. J. Dowdall, C. T. H. Davies, K. Hornbostel and G. P. Lepage, Υ and Υ' Leptonic Widths, a^b_μ and m_b from full lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 074514, [1408.5768].
- [53] [ETM 14B] A. Bussone et al., Heavy flavour precision physics from $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ lattice simulations, in International Conference on High Energy Physics 2014 (ICHEP 2014) Valencia, Spain, July 2-9, 2014, vol. 273-275, pp. 273-275, 2016. 1411.0484. DOI.
- [54] [HPQCD 13B] A.J. Lee et al., Mass of the b quark from lattice NRQCD and lattice perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 074018, [1302.3739].
- [55] [ETM 13B] N. Carrasco et al., B-physics from $N_f = 2$ tmQCD: the Standard Model and beyond, JHEP 1403 (2014) 016, [1308.1851].
- [56] [ALPHA 13C] F. Bernardoni et al., The b-quark mass from non-perturbative $N_f=2$ Heavy Quark Effective Theory at $O(1/m_h)$, Phys. Lett. B730 (2014) 171–177, [1311.5498].
- [57] [ETM 11A] P. Dimopoulos et al., Lattice QCD determination of m_b , f_B and f_{B_s} with twisted mass Wilson fermions, JHEP 1201 (2012) 046, [1107.1441].
- [58] [ETM 16] N. Carrasco, P. Lami, V. Lubicz, L. Riggio, S. Simula and C. Tarantino, $K \to \pi$ semileptonic form factors with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted mass fermions, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 114512, [1602.04113].
- [59] [FNAL/MILC 13E] A. Bazavov et al., Determination of $|V_{us}|$ from a lattice-QCD calculation of the $K \to \pi \ell \nu$ semileptonic form factor with physical quark masses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 112001, [1312.1228].

- [60] [FNAL/MILC 13C] E. Gamiz, A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. Bouchard, C. DeTar et al., K semileptonic form factor with HISQ fermions at the physical point, PoS LATTICE2013 (2013) 395, [1311.7264].
- [61] JLQCD 16] T. Kaneko, S. Aoki, G. Cossu, X. Feng, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto et al., Chiral behavior of light meson form factors in 2+1 flavor QCD with exact chiral symmetry, PoS LATTICE2015 (2016) 325, [1601.07658].
- [62] [RBC/UKQCD 15A] P.A. Boyle et al., The kaon semileptonic form factor in $N_f = 2 + 1$ domain wall lattice QCD with physical light quark masses, JHEP 1506 (2015) 164, [1504.01692].
- [63] [FNAL/MILC 12I] A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. Bouchard, C. DeTar, D. Du et al., Kaon semileptonic vector form factor and determination of $|V_{us}|$ using staggered fermions, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 073012, [1212.4993].
- [64] [RBC/UKQCD 13] P. A. Boyle, J. M. Flynn, N. Garron, A. Jüttner, C. T. Sachrajda et al., The kaon semileptonic form factor with near physical domain wall quarks, *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 132, [1305.7217].
- [65] [JLQCD 12] T. Kaneko et al., Chiral behavior of kaon semileptonic form factors in lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry, PoS LAT2012 (2012) 111, [1211.6180].
- [66] [JLQCD 11] T. Kaneko et al., Kaon semileptonic form factors in QCD with exact chiral symmetry, PoS LAT2011 (2011) 284, [1112.5259].
- [67] [RBC/UKQCD 07] P. A. Boyle, A. Jüttner, R. Kenway, C. Sachrajda, S. Sasaki et al., K_{l3} semileptonic form-factor from 2+1 flavour lattice QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. 100 (2008) 141601, [0710.5136].
- [68] [RBC/UKQCD 10] P. A. Boyle et al., $K \to \pi$ form factors with reduced model dependence, Eur.Phys.J. C69 (2010) 159–167, [1004.0886].
- [69] [ETM 10D] V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, L. Orifici, S. Simula and C. Tarantino, Improved analysis of the scalar and vector form factors of kaon semileptonic decays with $N_f = 2$ twisted-mass fermions, PoS LAT2010 (2010) 316, [1012.3573].
- [70] [ETM 09A] V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, S. Simula and C. Tarantino, $K \to \pi \ell \nu$ semileptonic form factors from two-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 111502, [0906.4728].
- [71] [QCDSF 07] D. Brömmel et al., Kaon semileptonic decay form factors from $N_f = 2$ non-perturbatively O(a)-improved Wilson fermions, PoS LAT2007 (2007) 364, [0710.2100].
- [72] [RBC 06] C. Dawson, T. Izubuchi, T. Kaneko, S. Sasaki and A. Soni, Vector form factor in K_{l3} semileptonic decay with two flavors of dynamical domain-wall quarks, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 114502, [hep-ph/0607162].
- [73] [JLQCD 05] N. Tsutsui et al., Kaon semileptonic decay form factors in two-flavor QCD, PoS LAT2005 (2006) 357, [hep-lat/0510068].

- [74] [ETM 14E] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, P. Lami, V. Lubicz et al., Leptonic decay constants f_K , f_D and f_{D_s} with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted-mass lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. **D91** (2015) 054507, [1411.7908].
- [75] [HPQCD 13A] R. Dowdall, C. Davies, G. Lepage and C. McNeile, V_{us} from π and K decay constants in full lattice QCD with physical u, d, s and c quarks, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 074504, [1303.1670].
- [76] [MILC 13A] A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. DeTar, J. Foley, W. Freeman et al., Leptonic decay-constant ratio f_{K^+}/f_{π^+} from lattice QCD with physical light quarks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 172003, [1301.5855].
- [77] [ETM 13F] P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, P. Lami, V. Lubicz, E. Picca et al., Pseudoscalar decay constants f_K/f_{π} , f_D and f_{D_s} with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ ETMC configurations, PoS LATTICE2013 (2014) 314, [1311.3080].
- [78] [ETM 10E] F. Farchioni, G. Herdoiza, K. Jansen, M. Petschlies, C. Urbach et al., Pseudoscalar decay constants from $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted mass lattice QCD, PoS LAT2010 (2010) 128, [1012.0200].
- [79] [ETM 11] R. Baron et al., Light hadrons from $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ dynamical twisted mass fermions, PoS LAT2010 (2010) 123, [1101.0518].
- [80] [MILC 11] A. Bazavov et al., Properties of light pseudoscalars from lattice QCD with HISQ ensembles, PoS LAT2011 (2011) 107, [1111.4314].
- [81] [BMW 16] S. Dürr et al., Leptonic decay-constant ratio f_K/f_{π} from lattice QCD using 2+1 clover-improved fermion flavors with 2-HEX smearing, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2017) 054513, [1601.05998].
- [82] E. E. Scholz and S. Dürr, Leptonic decay-constant ratio f_K/f_{π} from clover-improved $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD, PoS LATTICE2016 (2016) 283, [1610.00932].
- [83] [JLQCD/TWQCD 10] J. Noaki et al., Chiral properties of light mesons in $N_f = 2 + 1$ overlap QCD, PoS LAT2010 (2010) 117.
- [84] [MILC 10] A. Bazavov et al., Results for light pseudoscalar mesons, PoS **LAT2010** (2010) 074, [1012.0868].
- [85] [BMW 10] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., The ratio F_K/F_{π} in QCD, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 054507, [1001.4692].
- [86] C. Aubin, J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, Light pseudoscalar meson masses and decay constants from mixed action lattice QCD, PoS LAT2008 (2008) 105, [0810.4328].
- [87] [PACS-CS 08A] Y. Kuramashi, PACS-CS results for 2+1 flavor lattice QCD simulation on and off the physical point, PoS LAT2008 (2008) 018, [0811.2630].
- [88] [HPQCD/UKQCD 07] E. Follana, C. T. H. Davies, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, High precision determination of the π, K, D and D_s decay constants from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 062002, [0706.1726].

- [89] [NPLQCD 06] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, K. Orginos and M. J. Savage, f_K/f_{π} in full QCD with domain wall valence quarks, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 094501, [hep-lat/0606023].
- [90] [ALPHA 13A] S. Lottini, Chiral behaviour of the pion decay constant in $N_f = 2$ QCD, PoS LATTICE2013 (2013) 315, [1311.3081].
- [91] [BGR 11] G. P. Engel, C. Lang, M. Limmer, D. Mohler and A. Schäfer, QCD with two light dynamical chirally improved quarks: mesons, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 034508, [1112.1601].
- [92] [ETM 09] B. Blossier et al., Pseudoscalar decay constants of kaon and D-mesons from $N_f = 2$ twisted mass lattice QCD, JHEP **0907** (2009) 043, [0904.0954].
- [93] [QCDSF/UKQCD 07] G. Schierholz et al., Probing the chiral limit with clover fermions I: the meson sector, talk given at Lattice 2007, Regensburg, Germany, PoS LAT2007, 133.
- [94] G. Herdoiza, private communication, 2011.
- [95] R. Brower, S. Chandrasekharan, J. W. Negele and U. Wiese, *QCD at fixed topology*, *Phys.Lett.* **B560** (2003) 64–74, [hep-lat/0302005].
- [96] C. Aubin and C. Bernard, Pseudoscalar decay constants in staggered chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 074011, [hep-lat/0306026].
- [97] C. Aubin and C. Bernard, Pion and kaon masses in staggered chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 034014, [hep-lat/0304014].
- [98] [HPQCD 15B] J. Koponen, F. Bursa, C. T. H. Davies, R. J. Dowdall and G. P. Lepage, The Size of the Pion from Full Lattice QCD with Physical u, d, s and c Quarks, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 054503, [1511.07382].
- [99] [ETM 13] K. Cichy, E. Garcia-Ramos and K. Jansen, *Chiral condensate from the twisted mass Dirac operator spectrum*, *JHEP* 1310 (2013) 175, [1303.1954].
- [100] [ETM 10] R. Baron et al., Light hadrons from lattice QCD with light (u,d), strange and charm dynamical quarks, JHEP 1006 (2010) 111, [1004.5284].
- [101] [JLQCD 16B] Cossu, Guido, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko and J.-I. Noaki, Stochastic calculation of the Dirac spectrum on the lattice and a determination of chiral condensate in 2+1-flavor QCD, PTEP 2016 (2016) 093B06, [1607.01099].
- [102] [JLQCD 15A] S. Aoki, G. Cossu, X. Feng, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, J. Noaki et al., Light meson electromagnetic form factors from three-flavor lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 034504, [1510.06470].
- [103] [RBC/UKQCD 15E] P. A. Boyle et al., Low energy constants of SU(2) partially quenched chiral perturbation theory from $N_f = 2+1$ domain wall QCD, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 054502, [1511.01950].

- [104] P. A. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, N. Garron, R. J. Hudspith, E. Kerrane, K. Maltman et al., Combined NNLO lattice-continuum determination of L_10^r, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 094510, [1403.6729].
- [105] [BMW 13] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Krieg, T. Kurth et al., Lattice QCD at the physical point meets SU(2) chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 114504, [1310.3626].
- [106] S. Borsanyi, S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, S. Krieg, A. Schäfer et al., SU(2) chiral perturbation theory low-energy constants from 2+1 flavor staggered lattice simulations, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 014513, [1205.0788].
- [107] [NPLQCD 11] S. R. Beane, W. Detmold, P. Junnarkar, T. Luu, K. Orginos et al., SU(2) low-energy constants from mixed-action lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 094509, [1108.1380].
- [108] [JLQCD/TWQCD 08B] T.-W. Chiu et al., Topological susceptibility in (2+1)-flavor lattice QCD with overlap fermion, PoS LAT2008 (2008) 072, [0810.0085].
- [109] [JLQCD 09] H. Fukaya et al., Determination of the chiral condensate from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 122002, [0911.5555].
- [110] [JLQCD/TWQCD 10A] H. Fukaya et al., Determination of the chiral condensate from QCD Dirac spectrum on the lattice, Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 074501, [1012.4052].
- [111] [JLQCD 14] H. Fukaya, S. Aoki, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, H. Matsufuru and J. Noaki, Computation of the electromagnetic pion form factor from lattice QCD in the ε regime, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 034506, [1405.4077].
- [112] [RBC/UKQCD 09] P. A. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, J. Wennekers and J. M. Zanotti, *The S Parameter in QCD from Domain Wall Fermions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 014504, [0909.4931].
- [113] [TWQCD 08] T.-W. Chiu, T.-H. Hsieh and P.-K. Tseng, Topological susceptibility in 2+1 flavors lattice QCD with domain-wall fermions, Phys. Lett. **B671** (2009) 135–138, [0810.3406].
- [114] [PACS-CS 11A] O. H. Nguyen, K.-I. Ishikawa, A. Ukawa and N. Ukita, Electromagnetic form factor of pion from $N_f = 2 + 1$ dynamical flavor QCD, JHEP **04** (2011) 122, [1102.3652].
- [115] [RBC/UKQCD 08A] P. A. Boyle et al., The pion's electromagnetic form factor at small momentum transfer in full lattice QCD, JHEP 07 (2008) 112, [0804.3971].
- [116] [LHP 04] F. D. R. Bonnet, R. G. Edwards, G. T. Fleming, R. Lewis and D. G. Richards, Lattice computations of the pion form factor, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 054506, [hep-lat/0411028].
- [117] [ETM 15A] A. Abdel-Rehim et al., Simulating QCD at the physical point with $N_f = 2$ Wilson twisted mass fermions at maximal twist, Phys. Rev. **D95** (2015) 094515, [1507.05068].

- [118] V. Gülpers, G. von Hippel and H. Wittig, The scalar radius of the pion from lattice QCD in the continuum limit, Eur. Phys. J. A51 (2015) 158, [1507.01749].
- [119] G. P. Engel, L. Giusti, S. Lottini and R. Sommer, Spectral density of the Dirac operator in two-flavor QCD, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 054505, [1411.6386].
- [120] V. Gülpers, G. von Hippel and H. Wittig, The scalar pion form factor in two-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 094503, [1309.2104].
- [121] B. B. Brandt, A. Jüttner and H. Wittig, The pion vector form factor from lattice QCD and NNLO chiral perturbation theory, JHEP 1311 (2013) 034, [1306.2916].
- [122] [QCDSF 13] R. Horsley, Y. Nakamura, A. Nobile, P. Rakow, G. Schierholz et al., Nucleon axial charge and pion decay constant from two-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Lett. B732 (2014) 41–48, [1302.2233].
- [123] F. Bernardoni, N. Garron, P. Hernandez, S. Necco and C. Pena, *Light quark correlators in a mixed-action setup*, *PoS* **LAT2011** (2011) 109, [1110.0922].
- [124] [TWQCD 11A] T.-W. Chiu, T. H. Hsieh and Y. Y. Mao, Topological susceptibility in two flavors lattice QCD with the optimal domain-wall fermion, Phys.Lett. B702 (2011) 131–134, [1105.4414].
- [125] [TWQCD 11] T.-W. Chiu, T.-H. Hsieh and Y.-Y. Mao, Pseudoscalar meson in two flavors QCD with the optimal domain-wall fermion, Phys.Lett. **B717** (2012) 420–424, [1109.3675].
- [126] F. Bernardoni, P. Hernandez, N. Garron, S. Necco and C. Pena, *Probing the chiral regime of* $N_f = 2$ *QCD with mixed actions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 054503, [1008.1870].
- [127] [ETM 09B] K. Jansen and A. Shindler, The ϵ -regime of chiral perturbation theory with Wilson-type fermions, PoS LAT2009 (2009) 070, [0911.1931].
- [128] [ETM 09C] R. Baron et al., Light meson physics from maximally twisted mass lattice QCD, JHEP 08 (2010) 097, [0911.5061].
- [129] [ETM 12] F. Burger, V. Lubicz, M. Muller-Preussker, S. Simula and C. Urbach, Quark mass and chiral condensate from the Wilson twisted mass lattice quark propagator, Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 034514, [1210.0838].
- [130] [ETM 08] R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz and S. Simula, Electromagnetic form factor of the pion from twisted-mass lattice QCD at $N_f = 2$, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 074506, [0812.4042].
- [131] [JLQCD/TWQCD 07A] S. Aoki et al., Topological susceptibility in two-flavor lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry, Phys. Lett. **B665** (2008) 294–297, [0710.1130].
- [132] [JLQCD/TWQCD 07] H. Fukaya et al., Lattice study of meson correlators in the ϵ -regime of two-flavor QCD, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 074503, [0711.4965].
- [133] [JLQCD/TWQCD 09] S. Aoki et al., Pion form factors from two-flavor lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 034508, [0905.2465].

- [134] [JLQCD 08A] E. Shintani et al., S-parameter and pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson mass from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 242001, [0806.4222].
- [135] [CERN 08] L. Giusti and M. Lüscher, Chiral symmetry breaking and the Banks-Casher relation in lattice QCD with Wilson quarks, JHEP 03 (2009) 013, [0812.3638].
- [136] A. Hasenfratz, R. Hoffmann and S. Schaefer, Low energy chiral constants from ϵ -regime simulations with improved Wilson fermions, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 054511, [0806.4586].
- [137] O. Bär, S. Necco and S. Schaefer, The ϵ -regime with Wilson fermions, JHEP 03 (2009) 006, [0812.2403].
- [138] [CERN-TOV 06] L. Del Debbio, L. Giusti, M. Lüscher, R. Petronzio and N. Tantalo, QCD with light Wilson quarks on fine lattices (I): first experiences and physics results, JHEP 02 (2007) 056, [hep-lat/0610059].
- [139] [QCDSF/UKQCD 06A] D. Brömmel et al., The pion form factor from lattice QCD with two dynamical flavours, Eur. Phys. J. C51 (2007) 335–345, [hep-lat/0608021].
- [140] S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto and T. Onogi, Finite volume QCD at fixed topological charge, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 054508, [0707.0396].
- [141] T. Bunton, F.-J. Jiang and B. Tiburzi, Extrapolations of lattice meson form factors, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 034514, [hep-lat/0607001].
- [142] [ETM 15] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. C. Rossi, S. Simula et al., S=2 and C=2 bag parameters in the standard model and beyond from $N_f=2+1+1$ twisted-mass lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 034516, [1505.06639].
- [143] [RBC/UKQCD 16] N. Garron, R. J. Hudspith and A. T. Lytle, Neutral Kaon Mixing Beyond the Standard Model with $n_f = 2 + 1$ Chiral Fermions Part 1: Bare Matrix Elements and Physical Results, JHEP 11 (2016) 001, [1609.03334].
- [144] [SWME 15A] Y.-C. Jang et al., Kaon BSM B-parameters using improved staggered fermions from $N_f = 2 + 1$ unquenched QCD, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 014511, [1509.00592].
- [145] [SWME 14] T. Bae et al., Improved determination of B_K with staggered quarks, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 074504, [1402.0048].
- [146] [SWME 13A] T. Bae et al., Neutral kaon mixing from new physics: matrix elements in $N_f = 2 + 1$ lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 071503, [1309.2040].
- [147] [SWME 13] T. Bae et al., Update on B_K and ε_K with staggered quarks, PoS LATTICE2013 (2013) 476, [1310.7319].
- [148] [SWME 11] J. Kim, C. Jung, H.-J. Kim, W. Lee and S. R. Sharpe, Finite volume effects in B_K with improved staggered fermions, Phys.Rev. **D83** (2011) 117501, [1101.2685].

- [149] [SWME 11A] T. Bae et al., Kaon B-parameter from improved staggered fermions in $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. 109 (2012) 041601, [1111.5698].
- [150] [BMW 11] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., *Precision computation of the kaon bag parameter*, *Phys.Lett.* **B705** (2011) 477–481, [1106.3230].
- [151] [RBC/UKQCD 10B] Y. Aoki et al., Continuum limit of B_K from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD, Phys.Rev. D84 (2011) 014503, [1012.4178].
- [152] [SWME 10] T. Bae et al., B_K using HYP-smeared staggered fermions in $N_f = 2 + 1$ unquenched QCD, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 114509, [1008.5179].
- [153] C. Aubin, J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, The neutral kaon mixing parameter B_K from unquenched mixed-action lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 014507, [0905.3947].
- [154] [RBC/UKQCD 07A] D. J. Antonio et al., Neutral kaon mixing from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 032001, [hep-ph/0702042].
- [155] [HPQCD/UKQCD 06] E. Gamiz et al., Unquenched determination of the kaon parameter B_K from improved staggered fermions, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 114502, [hep-lat/0603023].
- [156] [ETM 12D] V. Bertone et al., Kaon Mixing Beyond the SM from $N_f=2$ tmQCD and model independent constraints from the UTA, JHEP **03** (2013) 089, [1207.1287].
- [157] [ETM 10A] M. Constantinou et al., BK-parameter from $N_f = 2$ twisted mass lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 014505, [1009.5606].
- [158] [JLQCD 08] S. Aoki et al., B_K with two flavors of dynamical overlap fermions, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 094503, [0801.4186].
- [159] [RBC 04] Y. Aoki et al., Lattice QCD with two dynamical flavors of domain wall fermions, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 114505, [hep-lat/0411006].
- [160] [UKQCD 04] J. M. Flynn, F. Mescia and A. S. B. Tariq, Sea quark effects in B_K from $N_f = 2$ clover-improved Wilson fermions, JHEP 11 (2004) 049, [hep-lat/0406013].
- [161] [SWME 14C] J. Leem et al., Calculation of BSM Kaon B-parameters using Staggered Quarks, PoS LATTICE2014 (2014) 370, [1411.1501].
- [162] [RBC/UKQCD 12E] P. A. Boyle, N. Garron and R. J. Hudspith, Neutral kaon mixing beyond the standard model with $n_f = 2 + 1$ chiral fermions, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 054028, [1206.5737].
- [163] [FNAL/MILC 12B] A. Bazavov et al., Pseudoscalar meson physics with four dynamical quarks, PoS LAT2012 (2012) 159, [1210.8431].
- [164] [FNAL/MILC 13] A. Bazavov et al., Charmed and strange pseudoscalar meson decay constants from HISQ simulations, PoS LATTICE2013 (2014) 405, [1312.0149].
- [165] [HPQCD 12A] H. Na, C. T. Davies, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, $|V_{cd}|$ from D meson leptonic decays, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 054510, [1206.4936].

- [166] [FNAL/MILC 11] A. Bazavov et al., B- and D-meson decay constants from three-flavor lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. D85 (2012) 114506, [1112.3051].
- [167] [PACS-CS 11] Y. Namekawa et al., Charm quark system at the physical point of 2+1 flavor lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. D84 (2011) 074505, [1104.4600].
- [168] [HPQCD 10A] C. T. H. Davies, C. McNeile, E. Follana, G. Lepage, H. Na et al., Update: precision D_s decay constant from full lattice QCD using very fine lattices, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 114504, [1008.4018].
- [169] [FNAL/MILC 05] C. Aubin, C. Bernard, C. E. DeTar, M. Di Pierro, E. D. Freeland et al., Charmed meson decay constants in three-flavor lattice QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95 (2005) 122002, [hep-lat/0506030].
- [170] [TWQCD 14] W. Chen et al., Decay Constants of Pseudoscalar D-mesons in Lattice QCD with Domain-Wall Fermion, Phys.Lett. B736 (2014) 231–236, [1404.3648].
- [171] T.-W. Chiu, Optimal domain wall fermions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 071601, [hep-lat/0209153].
- [172] [HPQCD 10B] H. Na, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, The $D \to K\ell\nu$ semileptonic decay scalar form factor and $|V_{cs}|$ from lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. **D82** (2010) 114506, [1008.4562].
- [173] [HPQCD 11] H. Na et al., $D \to \pi \ell \nu$ semileptonic decays, $|V_{cd}|$ and 2^{nd} row unitarity from lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. **D84** (2011) 114505, [1109.1501].
- [174] [FNAL/MILC 04] C. Aubin et al., Semileptonic decays of D mesons in three-flavor lattice QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94 (2005) 011601, [hep-ph/0408306].
- [175] [HPQCD 03] M. Wingate, C. T. Davies, A. Gray, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, The B_s and D_s decay constants in three flavor lattice QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. **92** (2004) 162001, [hep-ph/0311130].
- [176] [ETM 11B] S. Di Vita, B. Haas, V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, S. Simula and C. Tarantino, Form factors of the $D \to \pi$ and $D \to K$ semileptonic decays with $N_f = 2$ twisted mass lattice QCD, PoS LATTICE2010 (2010) 301, [1104.0869].
- [177] [ETM 07A] Ph. Boucaud et al., Dynamical twisted mass fermions with light quarks, Phys.Lett. **B650** (2007) 304–311, [hep-lat/0701012].
- [178] [ETM 13E] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Giménez, P. Lami et al., A $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ 'twisted' determination of the b-quark mass, f_B and f_{B_s} , PoS **LATTICE2013** (2014) 313, [1311.2837].
- [179] [HPQCD 13] R. J. Dowdall, C. Davies, R. Horgan, C. Monahan and J. Shigemitsu, B-meson decay constants from improved lattice NRQCD and physical u, d, s and c sea quarks, Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 (2013) 222003, [1302.2644].
- [180] [RBC/UKQCD 14] N. H. Christ, J. M. Flynn, T. Izubuchi, T. Kawanai, C. Lehner et al., B-meson decay constants from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and relativistic heavy quarks, Phys.Rev. **D91** (2015) 054502, [1404.4670].

- [181] [RBC/UKQCD 13A] O. Witzel, B-meson decay constants with domain-wall light quarks and nonperturbatively tuned relativistic b-quarks, PoS LATTICE2013 (2014) 377, [1311.0276].
- [182] [RBC/UKQCD 14A] Y. Aoki, T. Ishikawa, T. Izubuchi, C. Lehner and A. Soni, Neutral B meson mixings and B meson decay constants with static heavy and domain-wall light quarks, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 114505, [1406.6192].
- [183] [HPQCD 12] H. Na, C. J. Monahan, C. T. Davies, R. Horgan, G. P. Lepage et al., The B and B_s meson decay constants from lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 034506, [1202.4914].
- [184] [HPQCD 11A] C. McNeile, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, K. Hornbostel and G. P. Lepage, High-precision f_{B_s} and HQET from relativistic lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. **D85** (2012) 031503, [1110.4510].
- [185] [RBC/UKQCD 10C] C. Albertus et al., Neutral B-meson mixing from unquenched lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and static b-quarks, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 014505, [1001.2023].
- [186] [HPQCD 09] E. Gamiz, C. T. Davies, G. P. Lepage, J. Shigemitsu and M. Wingate, Neutral B meson mixing in unquenched lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. **D80** (2009) 014503, [0902.1815].
- [187] [ALPHA 14] F. Bernardoni et al., Decay constants of B-mesons from non-perturbative HQET with two light dynamical quarks, Phys.Lett. B735 (2014) 349–356, [1404.3590].
- [188] [ALPHA 13] F. Bernardoni, B. Blossier, J. Bulava, M. Della Morte, P. Fritzsch et al., B-physics with $N_f = 2$ Wilson fermions, PoS **LATTICE2013** (2014) 381, [1309.1074].
- [189] [ALPHA 12A] F. Bernardoni, B. Blossier, J. Bulava, M. Della Morte, P. Fritzsch et al., B-physics from HQET in two-flavour lattice QCD, PoS LAT2012 (2012) 273, [1210.7932].
- [190] [ETM 13C] N. Carrasco et al., *B-physics computations from Nf=2 tmQCD*, *PoS* LATTICE2013 (2014) 382, [1310.1851].
- [191] [ETM 12B] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Gimenez, G. Herdoiza et al., B-physics from the ratio method with Wilson twisted mass fermions, PoS LAT2012 (2012) 104, [1211.0568].
- [192] [ALPHA 11] B. Blossier, J. Bulava, M. Della Morte, M. Donnellan, P. Fritzsch et al., M_b and f_B from non-perturbatively renormalized HQET with $N_f = 2$ light quarks, PoS LAT2011 (2011) 280, [1112.6175].
- [193] [ETM 09D] B. Blossier et al., A proposal for B-physics on current lattices, JHEP 1004 (2010) 049, [0909.3187].
- [194] [HPQCD 11B] R. J. Dowdall et al., The upsilon spectrum and the determination of the lattice spacing from lattice QCD including charm quarks in the sea, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 054509, [1110.6887].

- [195] [FNAL/MILC 16] A. Bazavov et al., $B_{(s)}^0$ -mixing matrix elements from lattice QCD for the Standard Model and beyond, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 113016, [1602.03560].
- [196] [FNAL/MILC 12] A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. Bouchard, C. DeTar, M. Di Pierro et al., Neutral B-meson mixing from three-flavor lattice QCD: determination of the SU(3)-breaking ratio ξ, Phys.Rev. **D86** (2012) 034503, [1205.7013].
- [197] [FNAL/MILC 11A] C. M. Bouchard, E. Freeland, C. Bernard, A. El-Khadra, E. Gamiz et al., Neutral B mixing from 2 + 1 flavor lattice-QCD: the Standard Model and beyond, PoS LAT2011 (2011) 274, [1112.5642].
- [198] [HPQCD 05B] A. Gray et al., The upsilon spectrum and m_b from full lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 094507, [hep-lat/0507013].
- [199] [HPQCD 06A] E. Dalgic, A. Gray, E. Gamiz, C. T. Davies, G. P. Lepage et al., $B_s^0 \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing parameters from unquenched lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. **D76** (2007) 011501, [hep-lat/0610104].
- [200] [ETM 12A] N. Carrasco et al., Neutral meson oscillations in the Standard Model and beyond from $N_f = 2$ twisted mass lattice QCD, PoS **LAT2012** (2012) 105, [1211.0565].
- [201] [MILC 13B] C. Bernard, Neutral B mixing in staggered chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 114503, [1303.0435].
- [202] [HPQCD 08] E. Gamiz, J. Shigemitsu and H. Trottier, Four fermion operator matching with NRQCD heavy and AsqTad light quarks, Phys.Rev. D77 (2008) 114505, [0804.1557].
- [203] [FNAL/MILC 15] J. A. Bailey et al., $|V_{ub}|$ from $B \to \pi \ell \nu$ decays and (2+1)-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 014024, [1503.07839].
- [204] W. Detmold, C. Lehner and S. Meinel, $\Lambda_b \to p\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ form factors from lattice QCD with relativistic heavy quarks, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 034503, [1503.01421].
- [205] S. Meinel, Bottomonium spectrum at order v^6 from domain-wall lattice QCD: Precise results for hyperfine splittings, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 114502, [1007.3966].
- [206] [RBC/UKQCD 15] J. M. Flynn, T. Izubuchi, T. Kawanai, C. Lehner, A. Soni, R. S. Van de Water et al., $B \to \pi \ell \nu$ and $B_s \to K \ell \nu$ form factors and $|V_{ub}|$ from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and relativistic heavy quarks, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 074510, [1501.05373].
- [207] [HPQCD 14] C. M. Bouchard, G. P. Lepage, C. Monahan, H. Na and J. Shigemitsu, $B_s \to K\ell\nu$ form factors from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 054506, [1406.2279].
- [208] [HPQCD 06] E. Dalgic et al., B meson semileptonic form-factors from unquenched lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 074502, [hep-lat/0601021].

- [209] [FNAL/MILC 15D] J. A. Bailey et al., $B \to K l^+ l^-$ decay form factors from three-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 025026, [1509.06235].
- [210] [HPQCD 13D] C. Bouchard, G. P. Lepage, C. Monahan, H. Na and J. Shigemitsu, Standard Model predictions for $B \to Kll$ with form factors from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 162002, [1306.0434].
- [211] [HPQCD 15] H. Na, C. M. Bouchard, G. P. Lepage, C. Monahan and J. Shigemitsu, BDl form factors at nonzero recoil and extraction of $-V_{cb}-$, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 054510, [1505.03925].
- [212] [FNAL/MILC 15C] J. A. Bailey et al., BD form factors at nonzero recoil and $-V_{cb}$ —from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 034506, [1503.07237].
- [213] [FNAL/MILC 14] J. A. Bailey et al., Update of $|V_{cb}|$ from the $\bar{B} \to D^*\ell\bar{\nu}$ form factor at zero recoil with three-flavor lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 114504, [1403.0635].
- [214] M. Atoui, V. Morenas, D. Becirevic and F. Sanfilippo, $b_s \to d_s \ell \nu_\ell$ near zero recoil in and beyond the standard model, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 2861, [1310.5238].
- [215] [FNAL/MILC 12C] J. A. Bailey et al., $B_s \to D_s/B \to D$ semileptonic form-factor ratios and their application to $BR(B_s^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-)$, Phys.Rev. **D85** (2012) 114502, [1202.6346].
- [216] [HPQCD 12D] C. Monahan, J. Shigemitsu and R. Horgan, Matching lattice and continuum axial-vector and vector currents with NRQCD and HISQ quarks, Phys.Rev. **D87** (2013) 034017, [1211.6966].
- [217] [FlowQCD 15] M. Asakawa, T. Iritani, M. Kitazawa and H. Suzuki, Determination of Reference Scales for Wilson Gauge Action from Yang-Mills Gradient Flow, 1503.06516.
- [218] A. Sternbeck, K. Maltman, M. Müller-Preussker and L. von Smekal, Determination of $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ from the gluon and ghost propagators in Landau gauge, PoS **LAT2012** (2012) 243, [1212.2039].
- [219] E.-M. Ilgenfritz, C. Menz, M. Müller-Preussker, A. Schiller and A. Sternbeck, SU(3) Landau gauge gluon and ghost propagators using the logarithmic lattice gluon field definition, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 054506, [1010.5120].
- [220] A. Sternbeck, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, K. Maltman, M. Müller-Preussker, L. von Smekal et al., QCD Lambda parameter from Landau-gauge gluon and ghost correlations, PoS LAT2009 (2009) 210, [1003.1585].
- [221] N. Brambilla, X. Garcia i Tormo, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Precision determination of $r_0 \Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ from the QCD static energy, Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 212001, [1006.2066].
- [222] Ph. Boucaud, F. De Soto, J. Leroy, A. Le Yaouanc, J. Micheli et al., Ghost-gluon running coupling, power corrections and the determination of $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 014508, [0811.2059].

- [223] P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, A. Le Yaouanc, A. Lokhov, J. Micheli et al., Asymptotic behavior of the ghost propagator in SU(3) lattice gauge theory, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 114503, [hep-lat/0506031].
- [224] [QCDSF/UKQCD 05] M. Göckeler, R. Horsley, A. Irving, D. Pleiter, P. Rakow, G. Schierholz et al., A determination of the Lambda parameter from full lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 014513, [hep-ph/0502212].
- [225] [CP-PACS 04] S. Takeda, S. Aoki, M. Fukugita, K.-I. Ishikawa, N. Ishizuka et al., A scaling study of the step scaling function in SU(3) gauge theory with improved gauge actions, Phys.Rev. **D70** (2004) 074510, [hep-lat/0408010].
- [226] [Boucaud 01A] P. Boucaud, A. Le Yaouanc, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene et al., Testing Landau gauge OPE on the lattice with a $\langle A^2 \rangle$ condensate, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 114003, [hep-ph/0101302].
- [227] F. De Soto and J. Rodriguez-Quintero, Notes on the determination of the Landau gauge OPE for the asymmetric three gluon vertex, Phys.Rev. **D64** (2001) 114003, [hep-ph/0105063].
- [228] [Boucaud 00A] P. Boucaud, G. Burgio, F. Di Renzo, J. Leroy, J. Micheli et al., Lattice calculation of $1/p^2$ corrections to α_s and of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ in the MOM scheme, JHEP **0004** (2000) 006, [hep-ph/0003020].
- [229] [Boucaud 00B] P. Boucaud, A. Le Yaouanc, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene et al., Consistent OPE description of gluon two point and three point Green function?, Phys. Lett. **B493** (2000) 315–324, [hep-ph/0008043].
- [230] [Becirevic 99A] D. Becirevic, P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene et al., Asymptotic behavior of the gluon propagator from lattice QCD, Phys.Rev. D60 (1999) 094509, [hep-ph/9903364].
- [231] [Becirevic 99B] D. Bećirević, P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene et al., Asymptotic scaling of the gluon propagator on the lattice, Phys. Rev. D61 (2000) 114508, [hep-ph/9910204].
- [232] [SESAM 99] A. Spitz et al., α_s from upsilon spectroscopy with dynamical Wilson fermions, Phys.Rev. **D60** (1999) 074502, [hep-lat/9906009].
- [233] [ALPHA 98] S. Capitani, M. Lüscher, R. Sommer and H. Wittig, Nonperturbative quark mass renormalization in quenched lattice QCD, Nucl. Phys. B544 (1999) 669–698, [hep-lat/9810063].
- [234] [Boucaud 98A] P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene and C. Roiesnel, *Lattice calculation of* α_s *in momentum scheme*, *JHEP* **9810** (1998) 017, [hep-ph/9810322].
- [235] [Boucaud 98B] P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene and C. Roiesnel, Three loop beta function and nonperturbative α_s in asymmetric momentum scheme, JHEP 9812 (1998) 004, [hep-ph/9810437].

- [236] B. Alles, D. Henty, H. Panagopoulos, C. Parrinello, C. Pittori et al., α_s from the nonperturbatively renormalised lattice three gluon vertex, Nucl. Phys. **B502** (1997) 325–342, [hep-lat/9605033].
- [237] M. Wingate, T. A. DeGrand, S. Collins and U. M. Heller, From spectroscopy to the strong coupling constant with heavy Wilson quarks, Phys.Rev. **D52** (1995) 307–319, [hep-lat/9501034].
- [238] C. T. H. Davies, K. Hornbostel, G. Lepage, A. Lidsey, J. Shigemitsu et al., A precise determination of α_s from lattice QCD, Phys.Lett. **B345** (1995) 42–48, [hep-ph/9408328].
- [239] M. Lüscher, R. Sommer, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, A precise determination of the running coupling in the SU(3) Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. **B413** (1994) 481–502, [hep-lat/9309005].
- [240] [UKQCD 92] S. P. Booth et al., The running coupling from SU(3) lattice gauge theory, Phys. Lett. **B294** (1992) 385–390, [hep-lat/9209008].
- [241] G. S. Bali and K. Schilling, Running coupling and the Λ -parameter from SU(3) lattice simulations, Phys.Rev. **D47** (1993) 661–672, [hep-lat/9208028].
- [242] A. X. El-Khadra, G. Hockney, A. S. Kronfeld and P. B. Mackenzie, A determination of the strong coupling constant from the charmonium spectrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 729–732.
- [243] [Karbstein 14] F. Karbstein, A. Peters and M. Wagner, $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(n_f=2)}$ from a momentum space analysis of the quark-antiquark static potential, JHEP 1409 (2014) 114, [1407.7503].
- [244] [ETM 11C] K. Jansen, F. Karbstein, A. Nagy and M. Wagner, $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$ from the static potential for QCD with $N_f=2$ dynamical quark flavors, JHEP **1201** (2012) 025, [1110.6859].
- [245] [ETM 10F] B. Blossier et al., Ghost-gluon coupling, power corrections and $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ from twisted-mass lattice QCD at $N_f=2$, Phys.Rev. D82 (2010) 034510, [1005.5290].
- [246] [JLQCD/TWQCD 08C] E. Shintani et al., Lattice study of the vacuum polarization function and determination of the strong coupling constant, Phys. Rev. **D79** (2009) 074510, [0807.0556].
- [247] [ALPHA 04] M. Della Morte et al., Computation of the strong coupling in QCD with two dynamical flavours, Nucl. Phys. B713 (2005) 378–406, [hep-lat/0411025].
- [248] [ALPHA 01A] A. Bode et al., First results on the running coupling in QCD with two massless flavors, Phys.Lett. **B515** (2001) 49–56, [hep-lat/0105003].
- [249] [Boucaud 01B] P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, H. Moutarde, J. Micheli, O. Pene et al., Preliminary calculation of α_s from Green functions with dynamical quarks, JHEP **0201** (2002) 046, [hep-ph/0107278].

- [250] S. Aoki, M. Fukugita, S. Hashimoto, N. Ishizuka, H. Mino et al., Manifestation of sea quark effects in the strong coupling constant in lattice QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. **74** (1995) 22–25, [hep-lat/9407015].
- [251] [Bazavov 14] A. Bazavov, N. Brambilla, X. Garcia i Tormo, P. Petreczky, S. J. and A. Vairo, Determination of α_s from the QCD static energy: An update, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 074038, [1407.8437].
- [252] A. Bazavov, N. Brambilla, X. Garcia i Tormo, P. Petreczky, J. Soto et al., Determination of α_s from the QCD static energy, Phys.Rev. **D86** (2012) 114031, [1205.6155].
- [253] [JLQCD 10] E. Shintani, S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko et al., Strong coupling constant from vacuum polarization functions in three-flavor lattice QCD with dynamical overlap fermions, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 074505, Erratum-ibid. D89 (2014) 099903, [1002.0371].
- [254] [PACS-CS 09A] S. Aoki et al., Precise determination of the strong coupling constant in $N_f = 2 + 1$ lattice QCD with the Schrödinger functional scheme, JHEP 0910 (2009) 053, [0906.3906].
- [255] [HPQCD 08A] C. T. H. Davies et al., Update: accurate determinations of α_s from realistic lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 114507, [0807.1687].
- [256] K. Maltman, D. Leinweber, P. Moran and A. Sternbeck, *The realistic lattice determination of* $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ *revisited, Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 114504, [0807.2020].
- [257] [HPQCD 05A] Q. Mason et al., Accurate determinations of α_s from realistic lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 052002, [hep-lat/0503005].
- [258] [ETM 13D] B. Blossier et al., High statistics determination of the strong coupling constant in Taylor scheme and its OPE Wilson coefficient from lattice QCD with a dynamical charm, Phys.Rev. **D89** (2014) 014507, [1310.3763].
- [259] [ETM 12C] B. Blossier, P. Boucaud, M. Brinet, F. De Soto, X. Du et al., The strong running coupling at τ and Z_0 mass scales from lattice QCD, Phys.Rev.Lett. 108 (2012) 262002, [1201.5770].
- [260] [ALPHA 10A] F. Tekin, R. Sommer and U. Wolff, *The running coupling of QCD with four flavors*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B840** (2010) 114–128, [1006.0672].
- [261] [ETM 11D] B. Blossier, P. Boucaud, M. Brinet, F. De Soto, X. Du et al., Ghost-gluon coupling, power corrections and $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ from lattice QCD with a dynamical charm, Phys.Rev. **D85** (2012) 034503, [1110.5829].
- [262] P. Perez-Rubio and S. Sint, Non-perturbative running of the coupling from four flavour lattice QCD with staggered quarks, PoS LAT2010 (2010) 236, [1011.6580].
- [263] S. Necco and R. Sommer, The $N_f = 0$ heavy quark potential from short to intermediate distances, Nucl. Phys. B622 (2002) 328–346, [hep-lat/0108008].

- [264] [ALPHA 98B] M. Guagnelli, R. Sommer and H. Wittig, Precision computation of a low-energy reference scale in quenched lattice QCD, Nucl. Phys. B535 (1998) 389–402, [hep-lat/9806005].
- [265] [HotQCD 14] A. Bazavov et al., Equation of state in (2+1)-flavor QCD, Phys.Rev. **D90** (2014) 094503, [1407.6387].