

## A Glossary

### A.1 Lattice actions

In this appendix we give brief descriptions of the lattice actions used in the simulations and summarize their main features.

#### A.1.1 Gauge actions

The simplest and most widely used discretization of the Yang-Mills part of the QCD action is the Wilson plaquette action [1]:

$$S_G = \beta \sum_x \sum_{\mu < \nu} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re Tr } W_{\mu\nu}^{1 \times 1}(x) \right), \quad (284)$$

where  $\beta \equiv 6/g_0^2$  (with  $g_0$  the bare gauge coupling) and the plaquette  $W_{\mu\nu}^{1 \times 1}(x)$  is the product of link variables around an elementary square of the lattice, i.e.

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1 \times 1}(x) \equiv U_\mu(x) U_\nu(x + a\hat{\mu}) U_\mu(x + a\hat{\nu})^{-1} U_\nu(x)^{-1}. \quad (285)$$

This expression reproduces the Euclidean Yang-Mills action in the continuum up to corrections of order  $a^2$ . There is a general formalism, known as the ‘‘Symanzik improvement programme’’ [2, 3], which is designed to cancel the leading lattice artifacts, such that observables have an accelerated rate of convergence to the continuum limit. The improvement programme is implemented by adding higher-dimensional operators, whose coefficients must be tuned appropriately in order to cancel the leading lattice artifacts. The effectiveness of this procedure depends largely on the method with which the coefficients are determined. The most widely applied methods (in ascending order of effectiveness) include perturbation theory, tadpole-improved (partially resummed) perturbation theory, renormalization group methods, and the nonperturbative evaluation of improvement conditions.

In the case of Yang-Mills theory, the simplest version of an improved lattice action is obtained by adding rectangular  $1 \times 2$  loops to the plaquette action, i.e.

$$S_G^{\text{imp}} = \beta \sum_x \left\{ c_0 \sum_{\mu < \nu} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re Tr } W_{\mu\nu}^{1 \times 1}(x) \right) + c_1 \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re Tr } W_{\mu\nu}^{1 \times 2}(x) \right) \right\}, \quad (286)$$

where the coefficients  $c_0, c_1$  satisfy the normalization condition  $c_0 + 8c_1 = 1$ . The *Symanzik-improved* [4], *Iwasaki* [5], and *DBW2* [6, 7] actions are all defined through Eq. (286) via particular choices for  $c_0, c_1$ . Details are listed in Table 51 together with the abbreviations used in the summary tables. Another widely used variant is the *tadpole Symanzik-improved* [8, 9] action which is obtained by adding additional 6-link parallelogram loops  $W_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{1 \times 1 \times 1}(x)$  to the action in Eq. (286), i.e.

$$S_G^{\text{tadSym}} = S_G^{\text{imp}} + \beta \sum_x c_2 \sum_{\mu < \nu < \sigma} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re Tr } W_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{1 \times 1 \times 1}(x) \right), \quad (287)$$

where

$$W_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{1 \times 1 \times 1}(x) \equiv U_\mu(x) U_\nu(x + a\hat{\mu}) U_\sigma(x + a\hat{\mu} + a\hat{\nu}) U_\mu(x + a\hat{\sigma} + a\hat{\nu})^{-1} U_\nu(x + a\hat{\sigma})^{-1} U_\sigma(x)^{-1} \quad (288)$$

allows for one-loop improvement [4].

Abbrev.	$c_1$	Description
Wilson	0	Wilson plaquette action
tlSym	-1/12	tree-level Symanzik-improved gauge action
tadSym	variable	tadpole Symanzik-improved gauge action
Iwasaki	-0.331	Renormalization group improved (“Iwasaki”) action
DBW2	-1.4088	Renormalization group improved (“DBW2”) action

Table 51: Summary of lattice gauge actions. The leading lattice artifacts are  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$  or better for all discretizations.

### A.1.2 Light-quark actions

If one attempts to discretize the quark action, one is faced with the fermion doubling problem: the naive lattice transcription produces a 16-fold degeneracy of the fermion spectrum.

#### *Wilson fermions*

Wilson’s solution to the fermion doubling problem is based on adding a dimension-5 (irrelevant) operator to the lattice action. The Wilson-Dirac operator for the massless case reads [1, 10]

$$D_w = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\mu(\nabla_\mu + \nabla_\mu^*) + a\nabla_\mu^*\nabla_\mu, \quad (289)$$

where  $\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\mu^*$  denote the covariant forward and backward lattice derivatives, respectively. The addition of the Wilson term  $a\nabla_\mu^*\nabla_\mu$ , results in fermion doublers acquiring a mass proportional to the inverse lattice spacing; close to the continuum limit these extra degrees of freedom are removed from the low-energy spectrum. However, the Wilson term also results in an explicit breaking of chiral symmetry even at zero bare quark mass. Consequently, it also generates divergences proportional to the UV cutoff (inverse lattice spacing), besides the usual logarithmic ones. Therefore the chiral limit of the regularized theory is not defined simply by the vanishing of the bare quark mass but must be appropriately tuned. As a consequence quark-mass renormalization requires a power subtraction on top of the standard multiplicative logarithmic renormalization. The breaking of chiral symmetry also implies that the nonrenormalization theorem has to be applied with care [11, 12], resulting in a normalization factor for the axial current which is a regular function of the bare coupling. On the other hand, vector symmetry is unaffected by the Wilson term and thus a lattice (point split) vector current is conserved and obeys the usual nonrenormalization theorem with a trivial (unity) normalization factor. Thus, compared to lattice fermion actions which preserve chiral symmetry, or a subgroup of it, the Wilson regularization typically results in more complicated renormalization patterns.

Furthermore, the leading-order lattice artifacts are of order  $a$ . With the help of the Symanzik improvement programme, the leading artifacts can be cancelled in the action by

adding the so-called ‘‘Clover’’ or Sheikholeslami-Wohlert (SW) term [13]. The resulting expression in the massless case reads

$$D_{\text{sw}} = D_{\text{w}} + \frac{ia}{4} c_{\text{sw}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (290)$$

where  $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ , and  $\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}$  is a lattice transcription of the gluon field strength tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}$ . The coefficient  $c_{\text{sw}}$  can be determined perturbatively at tree-level ( $c_{\text{sw}} = 1$ ; tree-level improvement or tISW for short), via a mean field approach [8] (mean-field improvement or mfSW) or via a nonperturbative approach [14] (nonperturbatively improved or npSW). Hadron masses, computed using  $D_{\text{sw}}$ , with the coefficient  $c_{\text{sw}}$  determined nonperturbatively, will approach the continuum limit with a rate proportional to  $a^2$ ; with tISW for  $c_{\text{sw}}$  the rate is proportional to  $g_0^2 a$ .

Other observables require additional improvement coefficients [13]. A common example consists in the computation of the matrix element  $\langle \alpha | Q | \beta \rangle$  of a composite field  $Q$  of dimension- $d$  with external states  $|\alpha\rangle$  and  $|\beta\rangle$ . In the simplest cases, the above bare matrix element diverges logarithmically and a single renormalization parameter  $Z_Q$  is adequate to render it finite. It then approaches the continuum limit with a rate proportional to the lattice spacing  $a$ , even when the lattice action contains the Clover term. In order to reduce discretization errors to  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ , the lattice definition of the composite operator  $Q$  must be modified (or ‘‘improved’’), by the addition of all dimension- $(d+1)$  operators with the same lattice symmetries as  $Q$ . Each of these terms is accompanied by a coefficient which must be tuned in a way analogous to that of  $c_{\text{sw}}$ . Once these coefficients are determined nonperturbatively, the renormalized matrix element of the improved operator, computed with a npSW action, converges to the continuum limit with a rate proportional to  $a^2$ . A tISW improvement of these coefficients and  $c_{\text{sw}}$  will result in a rate proportional to  $g_0^2 a$ .

It is important to stress that the improvement procedure does not affect the chiral properties of Wilson fermions; chiral symmetry remains broken.

Finally, we mention ‘‘twisted-mass QCD’’ as a method which was originally designed to address another problem of Wilson’s discretization: the Wilson-Dirac operator is not protected against the occurrence of unphysical zero modes, which manifest themselves as ‘‘exceptional’’ configurations. They occur with a certain frequency in numerical simulations with Wilson quarks and can lead to strong statistical fluctuations. The problem can be cured by introducing a so-called ‘‘chirally twisted’’ mass term. The most common formulation applies to a flavour doublet  $\bar{\psi} = (u \ d)$  of mass-degenerate quarks, with the fermionic part of the QCD action in the continuum assuming the form [15]

$$S_{\text{F}}^{\text{tm;cont}} = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) (\gamma_\mu D_\mu + m + i\mu_{\text{q}} \gamma_5 \tau^3) \psi(x). \quad (291)$$

Here,  $\mu_{\text{q}}$  is the twisted-mass parameter, and  $\tau^3$  is a Pauli matrix in flavour space. The standard action in the continuum can be recovered via a global chiral field rotation. The physical quark mass is obtained as a function of the two mass parameters  $m$  and  $\mu_{\text{q}}$ . The corresponding lattice regularization of twisted-mass QCD (tmWil) for  $N_f = 2$  flavours is defined through the fermion matrix

$$D_{\text{w}} + m_0 + i\mu_{\text{q}} \gamma_5 \tau^3. \quad (292)$$

Although this formulation breaks physical parity and flavour symmetries, resulting in non-degenerate neutral and charged pions, it has a number of advantages over standard Wilson

fermions. Firstly, the presence of the twisted-mass parameter  $\mu_q$  protects the discretized theory against unphysical zero modes. A second attractive feature of twisted-mass lattice QCD is the fact that, once the bare mass parameter  $m_0$  is tuned to its “critical value” (corresponding to massless pions in the standard Wilson formulation), the leading lattice artifacts are of order  $a^2$  without the need to add the Sheikholeslami-Wohlert term in the action, or other improving coefficients [16]. A third important advantage is that, although the problem of explicit chiral symmetry breaking remains, quantities computed with twisted fermions with a suitable tuning of the mass parameter  $\mu_q$ , are subject to renormalization patterns which are simpler than the ones with standard Wilson fermions. Well known examples are the pseudoscalar decay constant and  $B_K$ .

### *Staggered Fermions*

An alternative procedure to deal with the doubling problem is based on so-called “staggered” or Kogut-Susskind fermions [17–20]. Here the degeneracy is only lifted partially, from 16 down to 4. It has become customary to refer to these residual doublers as “tastes” in order to distinguish them from physical flavours. Taste changing interactions can occur via the exchange of gluons with one or more components of momentum near the cutoff  $\pi/a$ . This leads to the breaking of the  $SU(4)$  vector symmetry among tastes, thereby generating order  $a^2$  lattice artifacts.

The residual doubling of staggered quarks (four tastes per flavour) is removed by taking a fractional power of the fermion determinant [21] — the “fourth-root procedure,” or, sometimes, the “fourth root trick.” This procedure would be unproblematic if the action had full  $SU(4)$  taste symmetry, which would give a Dirac operator that was block-diagonal in taste space. However, the breaking of taste symmetry at nonzero lattice spacing leads to a variety of problems. In fact, the fourth root of the determinant is not equivalent to the determinant of any local lattice Dirac operator [22]. This in turn leads to violations of unitarity on the lattice [23–26].

According to standard renormalization group lore, the taste violations, which are associated with lattice operators of dimension greater than four, might be expected to go away in the continuum limit, resulting in the restoration of locality and unitarity. However, there is a problem with applying the standard lore to this nonstandard situation: the usual renormalization group reasoning assumes that the lattice action is local. Nevertheless, Shamir [27, 28] shows that one may apply the renormalization group to a “nearby” local theory, and thereby gives a strong argument that the desired local, unitary theory of QCD is reproduced by the rooted staggered lattice theory in the continuum limit.

A version of chiral perturbation that includes the lattice artifacts due to taste violations and rooting (“rooted staggered chiral perturbation theory”) can also be worked out [29–31] and shown to correctly describe the unitarity-violating lattice artifacts in the pion sector [24, 32]. This provides additional evidence that the desired continuum limit can be obtained. Further, it gives a practical method for removing the lattice artifacts from simulation results. Versions of rooted staggered chiral perturbation theory exist for heavy-light mesons with staggered light quarks but nonstaggered heavy quarks [33], heavy-light mesons with staggered light and heavy quarks [34, 35], staggered baryons [36], and mixed actions with a staggered sea [37, 38], as well as the pion-only version referenced above.

There is also considerable numerical evidence that the rooting procedure works as desired. This includes investigations in the Schwinger model [39–41], studies of the eigenvalues of the

Dirac operator in QCD [42–45], and evidence for taste restoration in the pion spectrum as  $a \rightarrow 0$  [46, 47].

Issues with the rooting procedure have led Creutz [48–54] to argue that the continuum limit of the rooted staggered theory cannot be QCD. These objections have however been answered in Refs. [45, 55–61]. In particular, a claim that the continuum ’t Hooft vertex [62, 63] could not be properly reproduced by the rooted theory has been refuted [45, 57].

Overall, despite the lack of rigorous proof of the correctness of the rooting procedure, we think the evidence is strong enough to consider staggered QCD simulations on a par with simulations using other actions. See the following reviews for further evidence and discussion: Refs. [47, 56, 58, 61, 64].

### *Improved Staggered Fermions*

An improvement program can be used to suppress taste-changing interactions, leading to “improved staggered fermions,” with the so-called “Asqtad” [65], “HISQ” [66], “Stout-smear” [67], and “HYP” [68] actions as the most common versions. All these actions smear the gauge links in order to reduce the coupling of high-momentum gluons to the quarks, with the main goal of decreasing taste-violating interactions. In the Asqtad case, this is accomplished by replacing the gluon links in the derivatives by averages over 1-, 3-, 5-, and 7-link paths. The other actions reduce taste changing even further by smearing more. In addition to the smearing, the Asqtad and HISQ actions include a three-hop term in the action (the “Naik term” [69]) to remove order  $a^2$  errors in the dispersion relation, as well as a “Lepage term” [70] to cancel other order  $a^2$  artifacts introduced by the smearing. In both the Asqtad and HISQ actions, the leading taste violations are of order  $\alpha_S^2 a^2$ , and “generic” lattices artifacts (those associated with discretization errors other than taste violations) are of order  $\alpha_S a^2$ . The overall coefficients of these errors are, however, significantly smaller with HISQ than with Asqtad. With the Stout-smear and HYP actions, the errors are formally larger (order  $\alpha_S a^2$  for taste violations and order  $a^2$  for generic lattices artifacts). Nevertheless, the smearing seems to be very efficient, and the actual size of errors at accessible lattice spacings appears to be at least as small as with HISQ.

Although logically distinct from the light-quark improvement program for these actions, it is customary with the HISQ action to include an additional correction designed to reduce discretization errors for heavy quarks (in practice, usually charm quarks) [66]. The Naik term is adjusted to remove leading  $(am_c)^4$  and  $\alpha_S(am_c)^2$  errors, where  $m_c$  is the charm-quark mass and “leading” in this context means leading in powers of the heavy-quark velocity  $v$  ( $v/c \sim 1/3$  for  $D_s$ ). With these improvements, the claim is that one can use the staggered action for charm quarks, although it must be emphasized that it is not obvious *a priori* how large a value of  $am_c$  may be tolerated for a given desired accuracy, and this must be studied in the simulations.

### *Ginsparg-Wilson fermions*

Fermionic lattice actions, which do not suffer from the doubling problem whilst preserving chiral symmetry go under the name of “Ginsparg-Wilson fermions”. In the continuum the massless Dirac operator ( $D$ ) anti-commutes with  $\gamma_5$ . At nonzero lattice spacing a chiral

symmetry can be realized if this condition is relaxed to [71–73]

$$\{D, \gamma_5\} = aD\gamma_5D, \quad (293)$$

which is now known as the Ginsparg-Wilson relation [74]. The Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [75], which states that any lattice formulation for which  $D$  anticommutes with  $\gamma_5$  necessarily has doubler fermions, is circumvented since  $\{D, \gamma_5\} \neq 0$ .

A lattice Dirac operator which satisfies Eq. (293) can be constructed in several ways. The so-called “overlap” or Neuberger-Dirac operator [76] acts in four space-time dimensions and is, in its simplest form, defined by

$$D_N = \frac{1}{\bar{a}}(1 - \epsilon(A)), \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon(A) \equiv A(A^\dagger A)^{-1/2}, \quad A = 1 + s - aD_w, \quad \bar{a} = \frac{a}{1+s}, \quad (294)$$

$D_w$  is the massless Wilson-Dirac operator and  $|s| < 1$  is a tunable parameter. The overlap operator  $D_N$  removes all doublers from the spectrum, and can readily be shown to satisfy the Ginsparg-Wilson relation. The occurrence of the sign function  $\epsilon(A)$  in  $D_N$  renders the application of  $D_N$  in a computer program potentially very costly, since it must be implemented using, for instance, a polynomial approximation.

The most widely used approach to satisfying the Ginsparg-Wilson relation Eq. (293) in large-scale numerical simulations is provided by *Domain Wall Fermions* (DWF) [77–79] and we therefore describe this in some more detail. Following early exploratory studies [80], this approach has been developed into a practical formulation of lattice QCD with good chiral and flavour symmetries leading to results which contribute significantly to this review. In this formulation, the fermion fields  $\psi(x, s)$  depend on a discrete fifth coordinate  $s = 1, \dots, N$  as well as the physical 4-dimensional space-time coordinates  $x_\mu$ ,  $\mu = 1 \dots 4$  (the gluon fields do not depend on  $s$ ). The lattice on which the simulations are performed, is therefore a five-dimensional one of size  $L^3 \times T \times N$ , where  $L$ ,  $T$  and  $N$  represent the number of points in the spatial, temporal and fifth dimensions respectively. The remarkable feature of DWF is that for each flavour there exists a physical light mode corresponding to the field  $q(x)$ :

$$q(x) = \frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\psi(x, 1) + \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\psi(x, N) \quad (295)$$

$$\bar{q}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x, N)\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} + \bar{\psi}(x, 1)\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}. \quad (296)$$

The left and right-handed modes of the physical field are located on opposite boundaries in the 5th dimensional space which, for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , allows for independent transformations of the left and right components of the quark fields, that is for chiral transformations. Unlike Wilson fermions, where for each flavour the quark-mass parameter in the action is fine-tuned requiring a subtraction of contributions of  $\mathcal{O}(1/a)$  where  $a$  is the lattice spacing, with DWF no such subtraction is necessary for the physical modes, whereas the unphysical modes have masses of  $\mathcal{O}(1/a)$  and decouple.

In actual simulations  $N$  is finite and there are small violations of chiral symmetry which must be accounted for. The theoretical framework for the study of the residual breaking of chiral symmetry has been a subject of intensive investigation (for a review and references to the original literature see e.g. [81]). The breaking requires one or more *crossings* of the fifth dimension to couple the left and right-handed modes; the more crossings that are required the smaller the effect. For many physical quantities the leading effects of chiral symmetry breaking due to finite  $N$  are parameterized by a *residual* mass,  $m_{\text{res}}$ . For example, the PCAC relation (for degenerate quarks of mass  $m$ )  $\partial_\mu A_\mu(x) = 2mP(x)$ , where  $A_\mu$  and  $P$  represent

the axial current and pseudoscalar density respectively, is satisfied with  $m = m^{\text{DWF}} + m_{\text{res}}$ , where  $m^{\text{DWF}}$  is the bare mass in the DWF action. The mixing of operators which transform under different representations of chiral symmetry is found to be negligibly small in current simulations. The important thing to note is that the chiral symmetry breaking effects are small and that there are techniques to mitigate their consequences.

The main price which has to be paid for the good chiral symmetry is that the simulations are performed in 5 dimensions, requiring approximately a factor of  $N$  in computing resources and resulting in practice in ensembles at fewer values of the lattice spacing and quark masses than is possible with other formulations. The current generation of DWF simulations is being performed at physical quark masses so that ensembles with good chiral and flavour symmetries are being generated and analysed [82]. For a discussion of the equivalence of DWF and overlap fermions see Refs. [83, 84].

A third example of an operator which satisfies the Ginsparg-Wilson relation is the so-called fixed-point action [85–87]. This construction proceeds via a renormalization group approach. A related formalism are the so-called “chirally improved” fermions [88].

### *Smearing*

A simple modification which can help improve the action as well as the computational performance is the use of smeared gauge fields in the covariant derivatives of the fermionic action. Any smearing procedure is acceptable as long as it consists of only adding irrelevant (local) operators. Moreover, it can be combined with any discretization of the quark action. The “Asqtad” staggered quark action mentioned above [65] is an example which makes use of so-called “Asqtad” smeared (or “fat”) links. Another example is the use of n-HYP smeared [68, 89], stout smeared [90, 91] or HEX (hypercubic stout) smeared [92] gauge links in the tree-level clover improved discretization of the quark action, denoted by “n-HYP t1SW”, “stout t1SW” and “HEX t1SW” in the following.

In Table 52 we summarize the most widely used discretizations of the quark action and their main properties together with the abbreviations used in the summary tables. Note that in order to maintain the leading lattice artifacts of the actions as given in the table in nonspectral observables (like operator matrix elements) the corresponding nonspectral operators need to be improved as well.

### **A.1.3 Heavy-quark actions**

Charm and bottom quarks are often simulated with different lattice-quark actions than up, down, and strange quarks because their masses are large relative to typical lattice spacings in current simulations; for example,  $am_c \sim 0.4$  and  $am_b \sim 1.3$  at  $a = 0.06$  fm. Therefore, for the actions described in the previous section, using a sufficiently small lattice spacing to control generic  $(am_h)^n$  discretization errors is computationally costly, and in fact prohibitive at the physical  $b$ -quark mass.

One approach for lattice heavy quarks is direct application of effective theory. In this case the lattice heavy-quark action only correctly describes phenomena in a specific kinematic regime, such as Heavy-Quark Effective Theory (HQET) [93–95] or Nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [96, 97]. One can discretize the effective Lagrangian to obtain, for example, Lattice HQET [98] or Lattice NRQCD [99, 100], and then simulate the effective theory numerically.

Abbrev.	Discretization	Leading lattice artifacts	Chiral symmetry	Remarks
Wilson	Wilson	$\mathcal{O}(a)$	broken	
tmWil	twisted-mass Wilson	$\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ at maximal twist	broken	flavour-symmetry breaking: $(M_{\text{PS}}^0)^2 - (M_{\text{PS}}^\pm)^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(a^2)$
tlSW	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(g^2 a)$	broken	tree-level impr., $c_{\text{sw}} = 1$
n-HYP tlSW	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(g^2 a)$	broken	tree-level impr., $c_{\text{sw}} = 1$ , n-HYP smeared gauge links
stout tlSW	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(g^2 a)$	broken	tree-level impr., $c_{\text{sw}} = 1$ , stout smeared gauge links
HEX tlSW	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(g^2 a)$	broken	tree-level impr., $c_{\text{sw}} = 1$ , HEX smeared gauge links
mfSW	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(g^2 a)$	broken	mean-field impr.
npSW	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	broken	nonperturbatively impr.
KS	Staggered	$\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	$U(1) \times U(1)$ subgr. unbroken	rooting for $N_f < 4$
Asqtad	Staggered	$\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	$U(1) \times U(1)$ subgr. unbroken	Asqtad smeared gauge links, rooting for $N_f < 4$
HISQ	Staggered	$\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	$U(1) \times U(1)$ subgr. unbroken	HISQ smeared gauge links, rooting for $N_f < 4$
DW	Domain Wall	asymptotically $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	remnant breaking exponentially suppr.	exact chiral symmetry and $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr. only in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$
oDW	optimal Domain Wall	asymptotically $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	remnant breaking exponentially suppr.	exact chiral symmetry and $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr. only in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$
M-DW	Moebius Domain Wall	asymptotically $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	remnant breaking exponentially suppr.	exact chiral symmetry and $\mathcal{O}(a)$ impr. only in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$
overlap	Neuberger	$\mathcal{O}(a^2)$	exact	

Table 52: The most widely used discretizations of the quark action and some of their properties. Note that in order to maintain the leading lattice artifacts of the action in nonspectral observables (like operator matrix elements) the corresponding nonspectral operators need to be improved as well.



The coefficients of the operators in the lattice-HQET and lattice-NRQCD actions are free parameters that must be determined by matching to the underlying theory (QCD) through the chosen order in  $1/m_h$  or  $v_h^2$ , where  $m_h$  is the heavy-quark mass and  $v_h$  is the heavy-quark velocity in the heavy-light meson rest frame.

Another approach is to interpret a relativistic quark action such as those described in the previous section in a manner suitable for heavy quarks. One can extend the standard Symanzik improvement program, which allows one to systematically remove lattice cutoff effects by adding higher-dimension operators to the action, by allowing the coefficients of the dimension 4 and higher operators to depend explicitly upon the heavy-quark mass. Different prescriptions for tuning the parameters correspond to different implementations: those in common use are often called the Fermilab action [101], the relativistic heavy-quark action (RHQ) [102], and the Tsukuba formulation [103]. In the Fermilab approach, HQET is used to match the lattice theory to continuum QCD at the desired order in  $1/m_h$ .

More generally, effective theory can be used to estimate the size of cutoff errors from the various lattice heavy-quark actions. The power counting for the sizes of operators with heavy quarks depends on the typical momenta of the heavy quarks in the system. Bound-state dynamics differ considerably between heavy-heavy and heavy-light systems. In heavy-light systems, the heavy quark provides an approximately static source for the attractive binding force, like the proton in a hydrogen atom. The typical heavy-quark momentum in the bound-state rest frame is  $|\vec{p}_h| \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , and heavy-light operators scale as powers of  $(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^n$ . This is often called “HQET power-counting”, although it applies to heavy-light operators in HQET, NRQCD, and even relativistic heavy-quark actions described below. Heavy-heavy systems are similar to positronium or the deuteron, with the typical heavy-quark momentum  $|\vec{p}_h| \sim \alpha_S m_h$ . Therefore motion of the heavy quarks in the bound state rest frame cannot be neglected. Heavy-heavy operators have complicated power counting rules in terms of  $v_h^2$  [100]; this is often called “NRQCD power counting.”

Alternatively, one can simulate bottom or charm quarks with the same action as up, down, and strange quarks provided that (1) the action is sufficiently improved, and (2) the lattice spacing is sufficiently fine. These qualitative criteria do not specify precisely how large a numerical value of  $am_h$  can be allowed while obtaining a given precision for physical quantities; this must be established empirically in numerical simulations. At present, both the HISQ and twisted-mass Wilson actions discussed previously are being used to simulate charm quarks. Simulations with HISQ quarks have employed heavier-quark masses than those with twisted-mass Wilson quarks because the action is more highly improved, but neither action can be used to simulate at the physical  $am_b$  for current lattice spacings. Therefore calculations of heavy-light decay constants with these actions still rely on effective theory to reach the  $b$ -quark mass: the ETM Collaboration interpolates between twisted-mass Wilson data generated near  $am_c$  and the static point [104], while the HPQCD Collaboration extrapolates HISQ data generated below  $am_b$  up to the physical point using an HQET-inspired series expansion in  $(1/m_h)^n$  [105].

### *Heavy-quark effective theory*

HQET was introduced by Eichten and Hill in Ref. [94]. It provides the correct asymptotic description of QCD correlation functions in the static limit  $m_h/|\vec{p}_h| \rightarrow \infty$ . Subleading effects are described by higher dimensional operators whose coupling constants are formally of  $\mathcal{O}((1/m_h)^n)$ . The HQET expansion works well for heavy-light systems in which the heavy-

quark momentum is small compared to the mass.

The HQET Lagrangian density at the leading (static) order in the rest frame of the heavy quark is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{stat}}(x) = \bar{\psi}_h(x) D_0 \psi_h(x) , \quad (297)$$

with

$$P_+ \psi_h = \psi_h , \quad \bar{\psi}_h P_+ = \bar{\psi}_h , \quad P_+ = \frac{1 + \gamma_0}{2} . \quad (298)$$

A bare quark mass  $m_{\text{bare}}^{\text{stat}}$  has to be added to the energy levels  $E^{\text{stat}}$  computed with this Lagrangian to obtain the physical ones. For example, the mass of the  $B$  meson in the static approximation is given by

$$m_B = E^{\text{stat}} + m_{\text{bare}}^{\text{stat}} . \quad (299)$$

At tree-level  $m_{\text{bare}}^{\text{stat}}$  is simply the (static approximation of the)  $b$ -quark mass, but in the quantized lattice formulation it has to further compensate a divergence linear in the inverse lattice spacing. Weak composite fields are also rewritten in terms of the static fields, e.g.

$$A_0(x)^{\text{stat}} = Z_A^{\text{stat}} (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_0 \gamma_5 \psi_h(x)) , \quad (300)$$

where the renormalization factor of the axial current in the static theory  $Z_A^{\text{stat}}$  is scale-dependent. Recent lattice-QCD calculations using static  $b$  quarks and dynamical light quarks [104, 106] perform the operator matching at one-loop in mean-field improved lattice perturbation theory [107, 108]. Therefore the heavy-quark discretization, truncation, and matching errors in these results are of  $\mathcal{O}(a^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2, \alpha_s^2 a \Lambda_{\text{QCD}})$ .

In order to reduce heavy-quark truncation errors in  $B$ -meson masses and matrix elements to the few-percent level, state-of-the-art lattice-HQET computations now include corrections of  $\mathcal{O}(1/m_h)$ . Adding the  $1/m_h$  terms, the HQET Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{HQET}}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{stat}}(x) - \omega_{\text{kin}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{kin}}(x) - \omega_{\text{spin}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{spin}}(x) , \quad (301)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{kin}}(x) = \bar{\psi}_h(x) \mathbf{D}^2 \psi_h(x) , \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{spin}}(x) = \bar{\psi}_h(x) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \psi_h(x) . \quad (302)$$

At this order, two other parameters appear in the Lagrangian,  $\omega_{\text{kin}}$  and  $\omega_{\text{spin}}$ . The normalization is such that the tree-level values of the coefficients are  $\omega_{\text{kin}} = \omega_{\text{spin}} = 1/(2m_h)$ . Similarly the operators are formally expanded in inverse powers of the heavy-quark mass. The time component of the axial current, relevant for the computation of mesonic decay constants is given by

$$A_0^{\text{HQET}}(x) = Z_A^{\text{HQET}} \left( A_0^{\text{stat}}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^2 c_A^{(i)} A_0^{(i)}(x) \right) , \quad (303)$$

$$A_0^{(1)}(x) = \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \gamma_k (\nabla_k - \overleftarrow{\nabla}_k) \psi_h(x) , \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (304)$$

$$A_0^{(2)} = -\partial_k A_k^{\text{stat}}(x) , \quad A_k^{\text{stat}} = \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_k \gamma_5 \psi_h(x) , \quad (305)$$

and depends on two additional parameters  $c_A^{(1)}$  and  $c_A^{(2)}$ .

A framework for nonperturbative HQET on the lattice has been introduced in Refs. [98, 109]. As pointed out in Refs. [110, 111], since  $\alpha_s(m_h)$  decreases logarithmically with  $m_h$ , whereas corrections in the effective theory are power-like in  $\Lambda/m_h$ , it is possible that the leading errors in a calculation will be due to the perturbative matching of the action and the

currents at a given order  $(\Lambda/m_h)^l$  rather than to the missing  $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda/m_h)^{l+1})$  terms. Thus, in order to keep matching errors below the uncertainty due to truncating the HQET expansion, the matching is performed nonperturbatively beyond leading order in  $1/m_h$ . The asymptotic convergence of HQET in the limit  $m_h \rightarrow \infty$  indeed holds only in that case.

The higher dimensional interaction terms in the effective Lagrangian are treated as space-time volume insertions into static correlation functions. For correlators of some multi-local fields  $\mathcal{Q}$  and up to the  $1/m_h$  corrections to the operator, this means

$$\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle_{\text{stat}} + \omega_{\text{kin}} a^4 \sum_x \langle \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}_{\text{kin}}(x) \rangle_{\text{stat}} + \omega_{\text{spin}} a^4 \sum_x \langle \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}_{\text{spin}}(x) \rangle_{\text{stat}} , \quad (306)$$

where  $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle_{\text{stat}}$  denotes the static expectation value with  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{stat}}(x) + \mathcal{L}^{\text{light}}(x)$ . Nonperturbative renormalization of these correlators guarantees the existence of a well-defined continuum limit to any order in  $1/m_h$ . The parameters of the effective action and operators are then determined by matching a suitable number of observables calculated in HQET (to a given order in  $1/m_h$ ) and in QCD in a small volume (typically with  $L \simeq 0.5$  fm), where the full relativistic dynamics of the  $b$ -quark can be simulated and the parameters can be computed with good accuracy. In Refs. [109, 112] the Schrödinger Functional (SF) setup has been adopted to define a set of quantities, given by the small volume equivalent of decay constants, pseudoscalar-vector splittings, effective masses and ratio of correlation functions for different kinematics, that can be used to implement the matching conditions. The kinematical conditions are usually modified by changing the periodicity in space of the fermions, i.e. by directly exploiting a finite-volume effect. The new scale  $L$ , which is introduced in this way, is chosen such that higher orders in  $1/m_h L$  and in  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h$  are of about the same size. At the end of the matching step the parameters are known at lattice spacings which are of the order of 0.01 fm, significantly smaller than the resolutions used for large volume, phenomenological, applications. For this reason a set of SF-step scaling functions is introduced in the effective theory to evolve the parameters to larger lattice spacings. The whole procedure yields the nonperturbative parameters with an accuracy which allows to compute phenomenological quantities with a precision of a few percent (see Refs. [113, 114] for the case of the  $B_{(s)}$  decay constants). Such an accuracy can not be achieved by performing the nonperturbative matching in large volume against experimental measurements, which in addition would reduce the predictivity of the theory. For the lattice-HQET action matched nonperturbatively through  $\mathcal{O}(1/m_h)$ , discretization and truncation errors are of  $\mathcal{O}(a\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2/m_h, a^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^2)$ .

The noise-to-signal ratio of static-light correlation functions grows exponentially in Euclidean time,  $\propto e^{\mu x_0}$ . The rate  $\mu$  is nonuniversal but diverges as  $1/a$  as one approaches the continuum limit. By changing the discretization of the covariant derivative in the static action one may achieve an exponential reduction of the noise to signal ratio. Such a strategy led to the introduction of the  $S_{\text{HYP}1,2}^{\text{stat}}$  actions [115], where the thin links in  $D_0$  are replaced by HYP-smearred links [68]. These actions are now used in all lattice applications of HQET.

### *Nonrelativistic QCD*

Nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [99, 100] is an effective theory that can be matched to full QCD order by order in the heavy-quark velocity  $v_h^2$  (for heavy-heavy systems) or in  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h$  (for heavy-light systems) and in powers of  $\alpha_s$ . Relativistic corrections appear as higher-dimensional operators in the Hamiltonian.

As an effective field theory, NRQCD is only useful with an ultraviolet cutoff of order  $m_h$  or less. On the lattice this means that it can be used only for  $am_h > 1$ , which means that  $\mathcal{O}(a^n)$  errors cannot be removed by taking  $a \rightarrow 0$  at fixed  $m_h$ . Instead heavy-quark discretization errors are systematically removed by adding additional operators to the lattice Hamiltonian. Thus, while strictly speaking no continuum limit exists at fixed  $m_h$ , continuum physics can be obtained at finite lattice spacing to arbitrarily high precision provided enough terms are included, and provided that the coefficients of these terms are calculated with sufficient accuracy. Residual discretization errors can be parameterized as corrections to the coefficients in the nonrelativistic expansion, as shown in Eq. (309). Typically they are of the form  $(a|\vec{p}_h|)^n$  multiplied by a function of  $am_h$  that is smooth over the limited range of heavy-quark masses (with  $am_h > 1$ ) used in simulations, and can therefore be represented by a low-order polynomial in  $am_h$  by Taylor's theorem (see Ref. [116] for further discussion). Power-counting estimates of these effects can be compared to the observed lattice spacing dependence in simulations. Provided that these effects are small, such comparisons can be used to estimate and correct the residual discretization effects.

An important feature of the NRQCD approach is that the same action can be applied to both heavy-heavy and heavy-light systems. This allows, for instance, the bare  $b$ -quark mass to be fixed via experimental input from  $\Upsilon$  so that simulations carried out in the  $B$  or  $B_s$  systems have no adjustable parameters left. Precision calculations of the  $B_s$ -meson mass (or of the mass splitting  $M_{B_s} - M_\Upsilon/2$ ) can then be used to test the reliability of the method before turning to quantities one is trying to predict, such as decay constants  $f_B$  and  $f_{B_s}$ , semileptonic form factors or neutral  $B$  mixing parameters.

Given the same lattice-NRQCD heavy-quark action, simulation results will not be as accurate for charm quarks as for bottom ( $1/m_b < 1/m_c$ , and  $v_b < v_c$  in heavy-heavy systems). For charm, however, a more serious concern is the restriction that  $am_h$  must be greater than one. This limits lattice-NRQCD simulations at the physical  $am_c$  to relatively coarse lattice spacings for which light-quark and gluon discretization errors could be large. Thus recent lattice-NRQCD simulations have focused on bottom quarks because  $am_b > 1$  in the range of typical lattice spacings between  $\approx 0.06$  and  $0.15$  fm.

In most simulations with NRQCD  $b$ -quarks during the past decade one has worked with an NRQCD action that includes tree-level relativistic corrections through  $\mathcal{O}(v_h^4)$  and discretization corrections through  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ ,

$$S_{\text{NRQCD}} = a^4 \sum_x \left\{ \Psi_t^\dagger \Psi_t - \Psi_t^\dagger \left(1 - \frac{a\delta H}{2}\right)_t \left(1 - \frac{aH_0}{2n}\right)_t^n \right. \\ \left. \times U_t^\dagger(t-a) \left(1 - \frac{aH_0}{2n}\right)_{t-a}^n \left(1 - \frac{a\delta H}{2}\right)_{t-a} \Psi_{t-a} \right\}, \quad (307)$$

where the subscripts “ $t$ ” and “ $t-a$ ” denote that the heavy-quark, gauge,  $\mathbf{E}$ , and  $\mathbf{B}$ -fields are on time slices  $t$  or  $t-a$ , respectively.  $H_0$  is the nonrelativistic kinetic energy operator,

$$H_0 = -\frac{\Delta^{(2)}}{2m_h}, \quad (308)$$

and  $\delta H$  includes relativistic and finite-lattice-spacing corrections,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta H = & -c_1 \frac{(\Delta^{(2)})^2}{8m_h^3} + c_2 \frac{ig}{8m_h^2} \left( \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \right) \\
& -c_3 \frac{g}{8m_h^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\tilde{\nabla} \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \tilde{\nabla}) \\
& -c_4 \frac{g}{2m_h} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} + c_5 \frac{a^2 \Delta^{(4)}}{24m_h} - c_6 \frac{a(\Delta^{(2)})^2}{16nm_h^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{309}$$

$m_h$  is the bare heavy-quark mass,  $\Delta^{(2)}$  the lattice Laplacian,  $\nabla$  the symmetric lattice derivative and  $\Delta^{(4)}$  the lattice discretization of the continuum  $\sum_i D_i^4$ .  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the improved symmetric lattice derivative and the  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$  fields have been improved beyond the usual clover leaf construction. The stability parameter  $n$  is discussed in Ref. [100]. In most cases the  $c_i$ 's have been set equal to their tree-level values  $c_i = 1$ . With this implementation of the NRQCD action, errors in heavy-light-meson masses and splittings are of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^3)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$ , with coefficients that are functions of  $am_h$ . One-loop corrections to many of the coefficients in Eq. (309) have now been calculated, and are starting to be included in simulations [117–119].

Most of the operator matchings involving heavy-light currents or four-fermion operators with NRQCD  $b$ -quarks and AsqTad or HISQ light quarks have been carried out at one-loop order in lattice perturbation theory. In calculations published to date of electroweak matrix elements, heavy-light currents with massless light quarks have been matched through  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s, \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h, \alpha_s/(am_h), \alpha_s \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)$ , and four-fermion operators through  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s, \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h, \alpha_s/(am_h))$ . NRQCD/HISQ currents with massive HISQ quarks are also of interest, e.g. for the bottom-charm currents in  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}$ ,  $l\nu$  semileptonic decays and the relevant matching calculations have been performed at one-loop order in Ref. [120]. Taking all the above into account, the most significant systematic error in electroweak matrix elements published to date with NRQCD  $b$ -quarks is the  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  perturbative matching uncertainty. Work is therefore underway to use current-current correlator methods combined with very high order continuum perturbation theory to do current matchings nonperturbatively [121].

### Relativistic heavy quarks

An approach for relativistic heavy-quark lattice formulations was first introduced by El-Khadra, Kronfeld, and Mackenzie in Ref. [101]. Here they showed that, for a general lattice action with massive quarks and non-Abelian gauge fields, discretization errors can be factorized into the form  $f(m_h a)(a|\vec{p}_h|)^n$ , and that the function  $f(m_h a)$  is bounded to be of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  or less for all values of the quark mass  $m_h$ . Therefore cutoff effects are of  $\mathcal{O}(a\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})^n$  and  $\mathcal{O}((a|\vec{p}_h|)^n)$ , even for  $am_h \gtrsim 1$ , and can be controlled using a Symanzik-like procedure. As in the standard Symanzik improvement program, cutoff effects are systematically removed by introducing higher-dimension operators to the lattice action and suitably tuning their coefficients. In the relativistic heavy-quark approach, however, the operator coefficients are allowed to depend explicitly on the quark mass. By including lattice operators through dimension  $n$  and adjusting their coefficients  $c_{n,i}(m_h a)$  correctly, one enforces that matrix elements in the lattice theory are equal to the analogous matrix elements in continuum QCD through  $(a|\vec{p}_h|)^n$ , such that residual heavy-quark discretization errors are of  $\mathcal{O}(a|\vec{p}_h|)^{n+1}$ .

The relativistic heavy-quark approach can be used to compute the matrix elements of states containing heavy quarks for which the heavy-quark spatial momentum  $|\vec{p}_h|$  is small compared to the lattice spacing. Thus it is suitable to describe bottom and charm quarks in

both heavy-light and heavy-heavy systems. Calculations of bottomonium and charmonium spectra serve as nontrivial tests of the method and its accuracy.

At fixed lattice spacing, relativistic heavy-quark formulations recover the massless limit when  $(am_h) \ll 1$ , recover the static limit when  $(am_h) \gg 1$ , and smoothly interpolate between the two; thus they can be used for any value of the quark mass, and, in particular, for both charm and bottom. Discretization errors for relativistic heavy-quark formulations are generically of the form  $\alpha_s^k f(am_h)(a|\vec{p}_h|)^n$ , where  $k$  reflects the order of the perturbative matching for operators of  $\mathcal{O}((a|\vec{p}_h|)^n)$ . For each  $n$ , such errors are removed completely if the operator matching is nonperturbative. When  $(am_h) \sim 1$ , this gives rise to nontrivial lattice-spacing dependence in physical quantities, and it is prudent to compare estimates based on power-counting with a direct study of scaling behaviour using a range of lattice spacings. At fixed quark mass, relativistic heavy-quark actions possess a smooth continuum limit without power-divergences. Of course, as  $m_h \rightarrow \infty$  at fixed lattice spacing, the power divergences of the static limit are recovered (see, e.g. Ref. [122]).

The relativistic heavy-quark formulations in use all begin with the anisotropic Sheikholeslami-Wohlert (“clover”) action [123]:

$$S_{\text{lat}} = a^4 \sum_{x,x'} \bar{\psi}(x') \left( m_0 + \gamma_0 D_0 + \zeta \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{D} - \frac{a}{2} (D^0)^2 - \frac{a}{2} \zeta (\vec{D})^2 + \sum_{\mu,\nu} \frac{ia}{4} c_{\text{SW}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \right)_{x'x} \psi(x), \quad (310)$$

where  $D_\mu$  is the lattice covariant derivative and  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the lattice field-strength tensor. Here we show the form of the action given in Ref. [102]. The introduction of a space-time anisotropy, parameterized by  $\zeta$  in Eq. (310), is convenient for heavy-quark systems because the characteristic heavy-quark four-momenta do not respect space-time axis exchange ( $\vec{p}_h < m_h$  in the bound-state rest frame). Further, the Sheikholeslami-Wohlert action respects the continuum heavy-quark spin and flavour symmetries, so HQET can be used to interpret and estimate lattice discretization effects [122, 124, 125]. We discuss three different prescriptions for tuning the parameters of the action in common use below. In particular, we focus on aspects of the action and operator improvement and matching relevant for evaluating the quality of the calculations discussed in the main text.

The meson energy-momentum dispersion relation plays an important role in relativistic heavy-quark formulations:

$$E(\vec{p}) = M_1 + \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2M_2} + \mathcal{O}(\vec{p}^4), \quad (311)$$

where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are known as the rest and kinetic masses, respectively. Because the lattice breaks Lorentz invariance, there are corrections proportional to powers of the momentum. Further, the lattice rest masses and kinetic masses are not equal ( $M_1 \neq M_2$ ), and only become equal in the continuum limit.

The Fermilab interpretation [101] is suitable for calculations of mass splittings and matrix elements of systems with heavy quarks. The Fermilab action is based on the hopping-parameter form of the Wilson action, in which  $\kappa_h$  parameterizes the heavy-quark mass. In practice,  $\kappa_h$  is tuned such that the kinetic meson mass equals the experimentally-measured heavy-strange meson mass ( $m_{B_s}$  for bottom and  $m_{D_s}$  for charm). In principle, one could also tune the anisotropy parameter such that  $M_1 = M_2$ . This is not necessary, however, to obtain mass splittings and matrix elements, which are not affected by  $M_1$  [124]. Therefore in the Fermilab action the anisotropy parameter is set equal to unity. The clover coefficient in the Fermilab action is fixed to the value  $c_{\text{SW}} = 1/u_0^3$  from mean-field improved lattice pertur-

bation theory [8]. With this prescription, discretization effects are of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a |\vec{p}_h|, (a |\vec{p}_h|)^2)$ . Calculations of electroweak matrix elements also require improving the lattice current and four-fermion operators to the same order, and matching them to the continuum. Calculations with the Fermilab action remove tree-level  $\mathcal{O}(a)$  errors in electroweak operators by rotating the heavy-quark field used in the matrix element and setting the rotation coefficient to its tadpole-improved tree-level value (see e.g. Eqs. (7.8) and (7.10) of Ref. [101]). Finally, electroweak operators are typically renormalized using a mostly nonperturbative approach in which the flavour-conserving light-light and heavy-heavy current renormalization factors  $Z_V^l$  and  $Z_V^{hh}$  are computed nonperturbatively [126]. The flavour-conserving factors account for most of the heavy-light current renormalization. The remaining correction is expected to be close to unity due to the cancellation of most of the radiative corrections including tadpole graphs [122]; therefore it can be reliably computed at one-loop in mean-field improved lattice perturbation theory with truncation errors at the percent to few-percent level.

The relativistic heavy-quark (RHQ) formulation developed by Li, Lin, and Christ builds upon the Fermilab approach, but tunes all the parameters of the action in Eq. (310) nonperturbatively [102]. In practice, the three parameters  $\{m_0 a, c_{\text{SW}}, \zeta\}$  are fixed to reproduce the experimentally-measured  $B_s$  meson mass and hyperfine splitting ( $m_{B_s^*} - m_{B_s}$ ), and to make the kinetic and rest masses of the lattice  $B_s$  meson equal [127]. This is done by computing the heavy-strange meson mass, hyperfine splitting, and ratio  $M_1/M_2$  for several sets of bare parameters  $\{m_0 a, c_{\text{SW}}, \zeta\}$  and interpolating linearly to the physical  $B_s$  point. By fixing the  $B_s$ -meson hyperfine splitting, one loses a potential experimental prediction with respect to the Fermilab formulation. However, by requiring that  $M_1 = M_2$ , one gains the ability to use the meson rest masses, which are generally more precise than the kinetic masses, in the RHQ approach. The nonperturbative parameter-tuning procedure eliminates  $\mathcal{O}(a)$  errors from the RHQ action, such that discretization errors are of  $\mathcal{O}((a |\vec{p}_h|)^2)$ . Calculations of  $B$ -meson decay constants and semileptonic form factors with the RHQ action are in progress [128, 129], as is the corresponding one-loop mean-field improved lattice perturbation theory [130]. For these works, cutoff effects in the electroweak vector and axial-vector currents will be removed through  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a)$ , such that the remaining discretization errors are of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 a |\vec{p}_h|, (a |\vec{p}_h|)^2)$ . Matching the lattice operators to the continuum will be done following the mostly nonperturbative approach described above.

The Tsukuba heavy-quark action is also based on the Sheikholeslami-Wohlert action in Eq. (310), but allows for further anisotropies and hence has additional parameters: specifically the clover coefficients in the spatial ( $c_B$ ) and temporal ( $c_E$ ) directions differ, as do the anisotropy coefficients of the  $\vec{D}$  and  $\vec{D}^2$  operators [103]. In practice, the contribution to the clover coefficient in the massless limit is computed nonperturbatively [131], while the mass-dependent contributions, which differ for  $c_B$  and  $c_E$ , are calculated at one-loop in mean-field improved lattice perturbation theory [132]. The hopping parameter is fixed nonperturbatively to reproduce the experimentally-measured spin-averaged  $1S$  charmonium mass [133]. One of the anisotropy parameters ( $r_t$  in Ref. [133]) is also set to its one-loop perturbative value, while the other ( $\nu$  in Ref. [133]) is fixed nonperturbatively to obtain the continuum dispersion relation for the spin-averaged charmonium  $1S$  states (such that  $M_1 = M_2$ ). For the renormalization and improvement coefficients of weak current operators, the contributions in the chiral limit are obtained nonperturbatively [134, 135], while the mass-dependent contributions are estimated using one-loop lattice perturbation theory [136]. With these choices, lattice cutoff effects from the action and operators are of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 a |\vec{p}|, (a |\vec{p}_h|)^2)$ .

*Light-quark actions combined with HQET*

The heavy-quark formulations discussed in the previous sections use effective field theory to avoid the occurrence of discretization errors of the form  $(am_h)^n$ . In this section we describe methods that use improved actions that were originally designed for light-quark systems for  $B$  physics calculations. Such actions unavoidably contain discretization errors that grow as a power of the heavy-quark mass. In order to use them for heavy-quark physics, they must be improved to at least  $\mathcal{O}(am_h)^2$ . However, since  $am_b > 1$  at the smallest lattice spacings available in current simulations, these methods also require input from HQET to guide the simulation results to the physical  $b$ -quark mass.

The ETM collaboration has developed two methods, the “ratio method” [137] and the “interpolation method” [138, 139]. They use these methods together with simulations with twisted-mass Wilson fermions, which have discretization errors of  $\mathcal{O}(am_h)^2$ . In the interpolation method  $\Phi_{hs}$  and  $\Phi_{hl}$  (or  $\Phi_{hs}/\Phi_{hl}$ ) are calculated for a range of heavy-quark masses in the charm region and above, while roughly keeping  $am_h \lesssim 0.5$ . The relativistic results are combined with a separate calculation of the decay constants in the static limit, and then interpolated to the physical  $b$  quark mass. In ETM’s implementation of this method, the heavy Wilson decay constants are matched to HQET using NLO in continuum perturbation theory. The static limit result is renormalized using one-loop mean-field improved lattice perturbation theory, while for the relativistic data PCAC is used to calculate absolutely normalized matrix elements. Both, the relativistic and static limit data are then run to the common reference scale  $\mu_b = 4.5 \text{ GeV}$  at NLO in continuum perturbation theory. In the ratio method, one constructs physical quantities  $P(m_h)$  from the relativistic data that have a well-defined static limit ( $P(m_h) \rightarrow \text{const.}$  for  $m_h \rightarrow \infty$ ) and evaluates them at the heavy-quark masses used in the simulations. Ratios of these quantities are then formed at a fixed ratio of heavy quark masses,  $z = P(m_h)/P(m_h/\lambda)$  (where  $1 < \lambda \lesssim 1.3$ ), which ensures that  $z$  is equal to unity in the static limit. Hence, a separate static limit calculation is not needed with this method. In ETM’s implementation of the ratio method for the  $B$ -meson decay constant,  $P(m_h)$  is constructed from the decay constants and the heavy-quark pole mass as  $P(m_h) = f_{hl}(m_h) \cdot (m_h^{\text{pole}})^{1/2}$ . The corresponding  $z$ -ratio therefore also includes ratios of perturbative matching factors for the pole mass to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  conversion. For the interpolation to the physical  $b$ -quark mass, ratios of perturbative matching factors converting the data from QCD to HQET are also included. The QCD-to-HQET matching factors improve the approach to the static limit by removing the leading logarithmic corrections. In ETM’s implementation of this method (ETM 11 and 12) both conversion factors are evaluated at NLO in continuum perturbation theory. The ratios are then simply fit to a polynomial in  $1/m_h$  and interpolated to the physical  $b$ -quark mass. The ratios constructed from  $f_{hl}$  ( $f_{hs}$ ) are called  $z$  ( $z_s$ ). In order to obtain the  $B$  meson decay constants, the ratios are combined with relativistic decay constant data evaluated at the smallest reference mass.

The HPQCD collaboration has introduced a method in Ref. [105] which we shall refer to as the “heavy HISQ” method. The first key ingredient is the use of the HISQ action for the heavy and light valence quarks, which has leading discretization errors of  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s(v/c)(am_h)^2, (v/c)^2(am_h)^4)$ . With the same action for the heavy and light valence quarks it is possible to use PCAC to avoid renormalization uncertainties. Another key ingredient is the availability of gauge ensembles over a large range of lattice spacings, in this case in the form of the library of  $N_f = 2 + 1$  asqtad ensembles made public by the MILC



collaboration which includes lattice spacings as small as  $a \approx 0.045$  fm. Since the HISQ action is so highly improved and with lattice spacings as small as 0.045 fm, HPQCD is able to use a large range of heavy-quark masses, from below the charm region to almost up to the physical  $b$  quark mass with  $am_h \lesssim 0.85$ . They then fit their data in a combined continuum and HQET fit (i.e. using a fit function that is motivated by HQET) to a polynomial in  $1/m_H$  (the heavy pseudo scalar meson mass of a meson containing a heavy ( $h$ ) quark).

In Table 53 we list the discretizations of the quark action most widely used for heavy  $c$  and  $b$  quarks together with the abbreviations used in the summary tables. We also summarize the main properties of these actions and the leading lattice discretization errors for calculations of heavy-light meson matrix quantities with them. Note that in order to maintain the leading lattice artifacts of the actions as given in the table in nonspectral observables (like operator matrix elements) the corresponding nonspectral operators need to be improved as well.

## A.2 Setting the scale

In simulations of lattice QCD quantities such as hadron masses and decay constants are obtained in “lattice units” i.e. as dimensionless numbers. In order to convert them into physical units they must be expressed in terms of some experimentally known, dimensionful reference quantity  $Q$ . This procedure is called “setting the scale”. It amounts to computing the nonperturbative relation between the bare gauge coupling  $g_0$  (which is an input parameter in any lattice simulation) and the lattice spacing  $a$  expressed in physical units. To this end one chooses a value for  $g_0$  and computes the value of the reference quantity in a simulation: This yields the dimensionless combination,  $(aQ)|_{g_0}$ , at the chosen value of  $g_0$ . The calibration of the lattice spacing is then achieved via

$$a^{-1} [\text{MeV}] = \frac{Q|_{\text{exp}} [\text{MeV}]}{(aQ)|_{g_0}}, \quad (312)$$

where  $Q|_{\text{exp}}$  denotes the experimentally known value of the reference quantity. Common choices for  $Q$  are the mass of the nucleon, the  $\Omega$  baryon or the decay constants of the pion and the kaon. Vector mesons, such as the  $\rho$  or  $K^*$ -meson, are unstable and therefore their masses are not very well suited for setting the scale, despite the fact that they have been used over many years for that purpose.

Another widely used quantity to set the scale is the hadronic radius  $r_0$ , which can be determined from the force between static quarks via the relation [140]

$$F(r_0)r_0^2 = 1.65. \quad (313)$$

If the force is derived from potential models describing heavy quarkonia, the above relation determines the value of  $r_0$  as  $r_0 \approx 0.5$  fm. A variant of this procedure is obtained [141] by using the definition  $F(r_1)r_1^2 = 1.00$ , which yields  $r_1 \approx 0.32$  fm. It is important to realize that both  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  are not directly accessible in experiment, so that their values derived from phenomenological potentials are necessarily model-dependent. In spite of the inherent ambiguity whenever hadronic radii are used to calibrate the lattice spacing, they are very useful quantities for performing scaling tests and continuum extrapolations of lattice data. Furthermore, they can be easily computed with good statistical accuracy in lattice simulations.

Abbrev.	Discretization	Leading lattice artifacts and truncation errors for heavy-light mesons	Remarks
tmWil	twisted-mass Wilson	$\mathcal{O}((am_h)^2)$	PCAC relation for axial-vector current
HISQ	Staggered	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S(am_h)^2(v/c), (am_h)^4(v/c)^2)$	PCAC relation for axial-vector current; Ward identity for vector current
static	static effective action	$\mathcal{O}(a^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2, \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h, \alpha_s^2, \alpha_s^2 a \Lambda_{\text{QCD}})$	implementations use APE, HYP1, and HYP2 smearing
HQET	Heavy-Quark Effective Theory	$\mathcal{O}(a\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2/m_h, a^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2, (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^2)$	Nonperturbative matching through $\mathcal{O}(1/m_h)$
NRQCD	Nonrelativistic QCD	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h, \alpha_S(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^2, (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_h)^3, \alpha_S a^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$	Tree-level relativistic corrections through $\mathcal{O}(v_h^4)$ and discretization corrections through $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$
Fermilab	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s a \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, (a\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})^2)$	Hopping parameter tuned nonperturbatively; clover coefficient computed at tree-level in mean-field-improved lattice perturbation theory
RHQ	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 a \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, (a\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})^2)$	Hopping parameter, anisotropy and clover coefficient tuned nonperturbatively by fixing the $B_s$ -meson hyperfine splitting
Tsukuba	Sheikholeslami-Wohlert	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 a \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}, (a\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})^2)$	NP clover coefficient at $ma = 0$ plus mass-dependent corrections calculated at one-loop in lattice perturbation theory; $\nu$ calculated NP from dispersion relation; $r_s$ calculated at one-loop in lattice perturbation theory

Table 53: Discretizations of the quark action most widely used for heavy  $c$  and  $b$  quarks and some of their properties.

### A.3 Matching and running

The lattice formulation of QCD amounts to introducing a particular regularization scheme. Thus, in order to be useful for phenomenology, hadronic matrix elements computed in lattice simulations must be related to some continuum reference scheme, such as the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme of dimensional regularization. The matching to the continuum scheme usually involves running to some reference scale using the renormalization group.

In principle, the matching factors which relate lattice matrix elements to the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme, can be computed in perturbation theory formulated in terms of the bare coupling. It has been known for a long time, though, that the perturbative expansion is not under good control. Several techniques have been developed which allow for a nonperturbative matching between lattice regularization and continuum schemes, and are briefly introduced here.

#### *Regularization-independent Momentum Subtraction*

In the *Regularization-independent Momentum Subtraction* (“RI/MOM” or “RI”) scheme [142] a nonperturbative renormalization condition is formulated in terms of Green functions involving quark states in a fixed gauge (usually Landau gauge) at nonzero virtuality. In this way one relates operators in lattice regularization nonperturbatively to the RI scheme. In a second step one matches the operator in the RI scheme to its counterpart in the  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme. The advantage of this procedure is that the latter relation involves perturbation theory formulated in the continuum theory. The uncontrolled use of lattice perturbation theory can thus be avoided. A technical complication is associated with the accessible momentum scales (i.e. virtualities), which must be large enough (typically several GeV) in order for the perturbative relation to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  to be reliable. The momentum scales in simulations must stay well below the cutoff scale (i.e.  $2\pi$  over the lattice spacing), since otherwise large lattice artifacts are incurred. Thus, the applicability of the RI scheme traditionally relies on the existence of a “window” of momentum scales, which satisfy

$$\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \lesssim p \lesssim 2\pi a^{-1}. \quad (314)$$

However, solutions for mitigating this limitation, which involve continuum limit, nonperturbative running to higher scales in the RI/MOM scheme, have recently been proposed and implemented [143–146].

#### *Schrödinger functional*

Another example of a nonperturbative matching procedure is provided by the Schrödinger functional (SF) scheme [147]. It is based on the formulation of QCD in a finite volume. If all quark masses are set to zero the box length remains the only scale in the theory, such that observables like the coupling constant run with the box size  $L$ . The great advantage is that the RG running of scale-dependent quantities can be computed nonperturbatively using recursive finite-size scaling techniques. It is thus possible to run nonperturbatively up to scales of, say, 100 GeV, where one is sure that the perturbative relation between the SF and  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -schemes is controlled.

#### *Perturbation theory*

The third matching procedure is based on perturbation theory in which higher order are effectively resummed [8]. Although this procedure is easier to implement, it is hard to estimate the uncertainty associated with it.

#### *Mostly nonperturbative renormalization*

Some calculations of heavy-light and heavy-heavy matrix elements adopt a mostly non-perturbative matching approach. Let us consider a weak decay process mediated by a current with quark flavours  $h$  and  $q$ , where  $h$  is the initial heavy quark (either bottom or charm) and  $q$  can be a light ( $\ell = u, d$ ), strange, or charm quark. The matrix elements of lattice current  $J_{hq}$  are matched to the corresponding continuum matrix elements with continuum current  $\mathcal{J}_{hq}$  by calculating the renormalization factor  $Z_{J_{hq}}$ . The mostly nonperturbative renormalization method takes advantage of rewriting the current renormalization factor as the following product:

$$Z_{J_{hq}} = \rho_{J_{hq}} \sqrt{Z_{V_{hh}^4} Z_{V_{qq}^4}} \quad (315)$$

The flavour-conserving renormalization factors  $Z_{V_{hh}^4}$  and  $Z_{V_{qq}^4}$  can be obtained nonperturbatively from standard heavy-light and light-light meson charge normalization conditions.  $Z_{V_{hh}^4}$  and  $Z_{V_{qq}^4}$  account for the bulk of the renormalization. The remaining correction  $\rho_{J_{hq}}$  is expected to be close to unity because most of the radiative corrections, including self-energy corrections and contributions from tadpole graphs, cancel in the ratio [122, 125]. The one-loop coefficients of  $\rho_{J_{hq}}$  have been calculated for heavy-light and heavy-heavy currents for Fermilab heavy and both (improved) Wilson light [122, 125] and asqtad light [148] quarks. In all cases the one-loop coefficients are found to be very small, yielding sub-percent to few percent level corrections.

In Table 54 we list the abbreviations used in the compilation of results together with a short description.

Abbrev.	Description
RI	regularization-independent momentum subtraction scheme
SF	Schrödinger functional scheme
PT1 $\ell$	matching/running computed in perturbation theory at one loop
PT2 $\ell$	matching/running computed in perturbation theory at two loops
mNPR	mostly nonperturbative renormalization

Table 54: The most widely used matching and running techniques.

## A.4 Chiral extrapolation

As mentioned in the introduction, Symanzik’s framework can be combined with Chiral Perturbation Theory. The well-known terms occurring in the chiral effective Lagrangian are then

supplemented by contributions proportional to powers of the lattice spacing  $a$ . The additional terms are constrained by the symmetries of the lattice action and therefore depend on the specific choice of the discretization. The resulting effective theory can be used to analyse the  $a$ -dependence of the various quantities of interest – provided the quark masses and the momenta considered are in the range where the truncated chiral perturbation series yields an adequate approximation. Understanding the dependence on the lattice spacing is of central importance for a controlled extrapolation to the continuum limit.

For staggered fermions, this program has first been carried out for a single staggered flavour (a single staggered field) [29] at  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ . In the following, this effective theory is denoted by  $S\chi\text{PT}$ . It was later generalized to an arbitrary number of flavours [30, 149], and to next-to-leading order [31]. The corresponding theory is commonly called Rooted Staggered chiral perturbation theory and is denoted by  $RS\chi\text{PT}$ .

For Wilson fermions, the effective theory has been developed in [150–152] and is called  $W\chi\text{PT}$ , while the theory for Wilson twisted-mass fermions [153–155] is termed  $tmW\chi\text{PT}$ .

Another important approach is to consider theories in which the valence and sea quark masses are chosen to be different. These theories are called *partially quenched*. The acronym for the corresponding chiral effective theory is  $PQ\chi\text{PT}$  [156–159].

Finally, one can also consider theories where the fermion discretizations used for the sea and the valence quarks are different. The effective chiral theories for these “mixed action” theories are referred to as  $MA\chi\text{PT}$  [37, 160–165].

### *Finite-Volume Regimes of QCD*

Once QCD with  $N_f$  nondegenerate flavours is regulated both in the UV and in the IR, there are  $3 + N_f$  scales in play: The scale  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  that reflects “dimensional transmutation” (alternatively, one could use the pion decay constant or the nucleon mass, in the chiral limit), the inverse lattice spacing  $1/a$ , the inverse box size  $1/L$ , as well as  $N_f$  meson masses (or functions of meson masses) that are sensitive to the  $N_f$  quark masses, e.g.  $M_\pi^2$ ,  $2M_K^2 - M_\pi^2$  and the spin-averaged masses of  $^1S$  states of quarkonia.

Ultimately, we are interested in results with the two regulators removed, i.e. physical quantities for which the limits  $a \rightarrow 0$  and  $L \rightarrow \infty$  have been carried out. In both cases there is an effective field theory (EFT) which guides the extrapolation. For the  $a \rightarrow 0$  limit, this is a version of the Symanzik EFT which depends, in its details, on the lattice action that is used, as outlined in Sec. A.1. The finite-volume effects are dominated by the lightest particles, the pions. Therefore, a chiral EFT, also known as  $\chi\text{PT}$ , is appropriate to parameterize the finite-volume effects, i.e. the deviation of masses and other observables, such as matrix elements, in a finite-volume from their infinite volume, physical values. Most simulations of phenomenological interest are carried out in boxes of size  $L \gg 1/M_\pi$ , that is in boxes whose diameter is large compared to the Compton wavelength that the pion would have, at the given quark mass, in infinite volume. In this situation the finite-volume corrections are small, and in many cases the ratio  $M_{\text{had}}(L)/M_{\text{had}}$  or  $f(L)/f$ , where  $f$  denotes some generic matrix element, can be calculated in  $\chi\text{PT}$ , such that the leading finite-volume effects can be taken out analytically. In the terminology of  $\chi\text{PT}$  this setting is referred to as the  $p$ -regime, as the typical contributing momenta  $p \sim M_\pi \gg 1/L$ . A peculiar situation occurs if the condition  $L \gg 1/M_\pi$  is violated (while  $L\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \gg 1$  still holds), in other words if the quark mass is taken so light that the Compton wavelength that the pion would have (at the given  $m_q$ ) in infinite volume, is as large or even larger than the actual box size. Then the pion zero-momentum

mode dominates and needs to be treated separately. While this setup is unlikely to be useful for standard phenomenological computations, the low-energy constants of  $\chi$ PT can still be calculated, by matching to a re-ordered version of the chiral series, and following the details of the reordering such an extreme regime is called the  $\epsilon$ - or  $\delta$ -regime, respectively. Accordingly, further particulars of these regimes are discussed in subsection 5.1 of this report.

## A.5 Summary of simulated lattice actions

In the following tables 55, 56, 57, 58 and 59 we summarize the gauge and quark actions used in the various calculations with  $N_f = 2, 2 + 1$  and  $2 + 1 + 1$  quark flavours. The calculations with  $N_f = 0$  quark flavours mentioned in Sec. 9 all used the Wilson gauge action and are not listed. Abbreviations are explained in Secs. A.1.1, A.1.2 and A.1.3, and summarized in Tabs. 51, 52 and 53.

Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	gauge action	quark action
ALPHA 01A, 04, 05, 12, 13A	[166–170]	2	Wilson	npSW
Aoki 94	[171]	2	Wilson	KS
Bernardoni 10	[172]	2	Wilson	npSW <sup>†</sup>
Bernardoni 11	[173]	2	Wilson	npSW
Brandt 13	[174]	2	Wilson	npSW
Boucaud 01B	[175]	2	Wilson	Wilson
CERN-TOV 06	[176]	2	Wilson	Wilson/npSW
CERN 08	[177]	2	Wilson	npSW
CP-PACS 01	[178]	2	Iwasaki	mfSW
Davies 94	[179]	2	Wilson	KS
Dürr 11	[180]	2	Wilson	npSW
Engel 14	[181]	2	Wilson	npSW

<sup>†</sup> The calculation uses overlap fermions in the valence quark sector.

Table 55: Summary of simulated lattice actions with  $N_f = 2$  quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	gauge action	quark action
ETM 07, 07A, 08, 09, 09A-D, 10B, 10D, 10F, 11C, 12, 13, 13A	[137, 182–195]	2	tlSym	tmWil
ETM 10A, 12D	[196, 197]	2	tlSym	tmWil *
ETMC 14D, 15A	[198, 199]	2	Iwasaki	tmWil with npSW
Gülpers 13, 15	[200, 201]	2	Wilson	npSW
Hasenfratz 08	[202]	2	tadSym	n-HYP tISW
JLQCD 08	[203]	2	Iwasaki	overlap
JLQCD 02, 05	[204, 205]	2	Wilson	npSW
JLQCD/TWQCD 07, 08A, 10	[206–208]	2	Iwasaki	overlap
QCDSF 07, 13	[209, 210]	2	Wilson	npSW
QCDSF/UKQCD 04, 06, 06A, 07	[211–214]	2	Wilson	npSW
RBC 04, 06, 07	[215–217]	2	DBW2	DW
RBC/UKQCD 07	[218]	2	Wilson	npSW
RM123 11, 13	[219, 220]	2	tlSym	tmWil
Sesam 99	[221]	2	Wilson	Wilson
Sternbeck 10, 12	[222, 223]	2	Wilson	npSW
SPQcdR 05	[224]	2	Wilson	Wilson
TWQCD 11, 11A	[225, 226]	2	Wilson	optimal DW
UKQCD 04	[218, 227]	2	Wilson	npSW
Wingate 95	[228]	2	Wilson	KS

\* The calculation uses Osterwalder-Seiler fermions [229] in the valence quark sector.

Table 55: (cntd.) Summary of simulated lattice actions with  $N_f = 2$  quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	gauge action	quark action
Aubin 08, 09	[230, 231]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad <sup>†</sup>
Blum 10	[232]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	DW
BMW 10A-C, 11, 13	[144, 145, 233–235]	2 + 1	tlSym	2-level HEX tlSW
BMW 10	[236]	2 + 1	tlSym	6-level stout tlSW
Boyle 14	[237]	2 + 1	Iwasaki, Iwasaki+DSDR	DW
CP-PACS/JLQCD 07	[238]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	npSW
FNAL/MILC 12, 12I	[239, 240]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad
HPQCD 05, 05A, 08A, 13A	[241–244]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad
HPQCD 10	[245]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad *
HPQCD/UKQCD 06	[246]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad
HPQCD/UKQCD 07	[247]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad *
HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04	[248]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad
JLQCD 09, 10	[249, 250]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	overlap
JLQCD 11, 12, 14, 15A	[251–254]	2 + 1	Iwasaki (fixed topology)	overlap
JLQCD 15B	[255]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	M-DW
JLQCD/TWQCD 08B, 09A	[256, 257]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	overlap
JLQCD/TWQCD 10	[208]	2 + 1, 3	Iwasaki	overlap

<sup>†</sup> The calculation uses domain wall fermions in the valence-quark sector.

\*

Table 56: Summary of simulated lattice actions with  $N_f = 2 + 1$  or  $N_f = 3$  quark flavours.



Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	gauge action	quark action
Laiho 11	[258]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad <sup>†</sup>
LHP 04	[259]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad <sup>†</sup>
Maltman 08	[260]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad
MILC 04, 07, 09, 09A, 10, 10A	[46, 47, 248, 261–263]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad
NPLQCD 06	[264]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad <sup>†</sup>
PACS-CS 08, 08A, 09, 09A, 10, 11A, 12	[135, 265–269]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	npSW
QCDSF/UKQCD 15	[270]	2 + 1	tlSym	npSW
RBC/UKQCD 07, 08, 08A, 10, 10A-B, 11, 12, 13	[82, 146, 271–277]	2 + 1	Iwasaki, Iwasaki+DSDR	DW
RBC/UKQCD 12E	[278]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	DW
RBC/UKQCD 14B, 15A, 15E	[279–281]	2 + 1	Iwasaki, Iwasaki+DSDR	DW, M-DW
Sternbeck 12	[223]	2 + 1	tlSym	npSW
SWME 10, 11, 11A, 13, 13A, 14A, 14C, 15A	[38, 282–288]	2 + 1	tadSym	Asqtad <sup>†</sup>
TWQCD 08	[289]	2 + 1	Iwasaki	DW

<sup>†</sup> The calculation uses domain wall fermions in the valence-quark sector.

<sup>+</sup> The calculation uses HYP smeared improved staggered fermions in the valence-quark sector.

Table 56: (cntd.) Summary of simulated lattice actions with  $N_f = 2 + 1$  or  $N_f = 3$  quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	gauge action	quark action
ALPHA 10A	[290]	4	Wilson	npSW
Bazavov 12	[291]	2 + 1 + 1	tlSym	HISQ
ETM 10, 10E, 11, 11D, 12C, 13, 13A, 13D	[194, 195, 292–297]	2 + 1 + 1	Iwasaki	tmWil
ETM 14A, 14B, 15, 15C	[298–301]	2 + 1 + 1	Iwasaki	tmWil <sup>+</sup>
FNAL/MILC 12B, 13, 13C, 13E, 14A	[302–306]	2 + 1 + 1	tadSym	HISQ
HPQCD 14A, 15B	[307, 308]	2 + 1 + 1	tadSym	HISQ
MILC 13A	[309]	2 + 1 + 1	tadSym	HISQ
Perez 10	[310]	4	Wilson	npSW

<sup>+</sup> The calculation uses Osterwalder-Seiler fermions [229] in the valence-quark sector.

Table 57: Summary of simulated lattice actions with  $N_f = 4$  or  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  quark flavours.

Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	Gauge action	sea	Quark actions		
					light	valence	heavy
ALPHA 11, 12A, 13, 14, 14B	[114, 311–314]	2	plaquette	npSW	npSW	npSW	HQET
ALPHA 13C	[315]	2	plaquette	npSW	npSW	npSW	npSW
Atoui 13	[316]	2	tlSym	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil
ETM 09, 09D, 11B, 12A, 12B, 13B, 13C	[137, 185, 317–321]	2	tlSym	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil
ETM 11A	[104]	2	tlSym	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil, static
TWQCD 14	[322]	2	plaquette	oDW	oDW	oDW	oDW

Table 58: Summary of lattice simulations  $N_f = 2$  sea quark flavours and with  $b$  and  $c$  valence quarks.

Collab.	Ref.	$N_f$	Gauge action	sea	Quark actions light valence	heavy
$\chi$ QCD 14	[323]	2+1	Iwasaki	DW	overlap	overlap
FNAL/MILC 04, 04A, 05, 08, 08A, 10, 11, 11A, 12, 13B	[239, 324–332]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	Asqtad	Fermilab
FNAL/MILC 14, 15C	[333, 334]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	Asqtad*	Fermilab*
FNAL/MILC 15	[335]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	Asqtad	Fermilab
HPQCD 06, 06A, 08B, 09, 13B	[336–340]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	Asqtad	NRQCD
HPQCD 12	[341]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	HISQ	NRQCD
HPQCD 15	[342]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	HISQ <sup>†</sup>	NRQCD <sup>†</sup>
HPQCD/UKQCD 07, HPQCD 10A, 10B, 11, 11A, 12A, 13C	[105, 247, 343–347]	2+1	tadSym	Asqtad	HISQ	HISQ
PACS-CS 11	[133]	2+1	Iwasaki	npSW	npSW	Tsukuba
RBC/UKQCD 10C, 14A	[106, 348]	2+1	Iwasaki	DW	DW	static
RBC/UKQCD 13A, 14, 15	[349–351]	2+1	Iwasaki	DW	DW	RHQ
ETM 13E, 13F, 14E	[352–354]	2+1+1	Iwasaki	tmWil	tmWil	tmWil
FNAL/MILC 12B, 13, 14A	[302, 303, 306]	2+1+1	tadSym	HISQ	HISQ	HISQ
HPQCD 13	[355]	2+1+1	tadSym	HISQ	HISQ	NRQCD

\* Asqtad for  $u$ ,  $d$  and  $s$  quark; Fermilab for  $b$  and  $c$  quark.

† HISQ for  $u$ ,  $d$ ,  $s$  and  $c$  quark; NRQCD for  $b$  quark.

Table 59: Summary of lattice simulations with  $N_f = 2+1$  or  $N_f = 2+1+1$  sea quark flavours and  $b$  and  $c$  valence quarks.

## References

- [1] K. G. Wilson, *Confinement of quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D10** (1974) 2445–2459.
- [2] K. Symanzik, *Continuum limit and improved action in lattice theories. 1. Principles and  $\phi^4$  theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B226** (1983) 187.
- [3] K. Symanzik, *Continuum limit and improved action in lattice theories. 2.  $O(N)$  nonlinear sigma model in perturbation theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B226** (1983) 205.
- [4] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, *On-shell improved lattice gauge theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **97** (1985) 59.
- [5] Y. Iwasaki, *Renormalization group analysis of lattice theories and improved lattice action: two-dimensional nonlinear  $O(N)$  sigma model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B258** (1985) 141–156.
- [6] T. Takaishi, *Heavy quark potential and effective actions on blocked configurations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 1050–1053.
- [7] P. de Forcrand et al., *Renormalization group flow of  $SU(3)$  lattice gauge theory: numerical studies in a two coupling space*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B577** (2000) 263–278, [[hep-lat/9911033](#)].
- [8] G. P. Lepage and P. B. Mackenzie, *On the viability of lattice perturbation theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D48** (1993) 2250–2264, [[hep-lat/9209022](#)].
- [9] M. G. Alford, W. Dimm, G. P. Lepage, G. Hockney and P. B. Mackenzie, *Lattice QCD on small computers*, *Phys. Lett.* **B361** (1995) 87–94, [[hep-lat/9507010](#)].
- [10] K. G. Wilson, *Quarks and strings on a lattice*, in *New Phenomena in Subnuclear Physics, part A. Proceedings of the first half of the 1975 International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice, Sicily, July 11 - August 1, 1975*, ed. A. Zichichi, Plenum Press, New York, 1977, p. 69, CLNS-321.
- [11] L. H. Karsten and J. Smit, *Lattice fermions: species doubling, chiral invariance, and the triangle anomaly*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B183** (1981) 103.
- [12] M. Bochicchio, L. Maiani, G. Martinelli, G. C. Rossi and M. Testa, *Chiral symmetry on the lattice with Wilson fermions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B262** (1985) 331.
- [13] M. Lüscher, S. Sint, R. Sommer and P. Weisz, *Chiral symmetry and  $O(a)$  improvement in lattice QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B478** (1996) 365–400, [[hep-lat/9605038](#)].
- [14] M. Lüscher, S. Sint, R. Sommer, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, *Non-perturbative  $O(a)$  improvement of lattice QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B491** (1997) 323–343, [[hep-lat/9609035](#)].
- [15] [ALPHA 01] R. Frezzotti, P. A. Grassi, S. Sint and P. Weisz, *Lattice QCD with a chirally twisted mass term*, *JHEP* **08** (2001) 058, [[hep-lat/0101001](#)].
- [16] R. Frezzotti and G. C. Rossi, *Chirally improving Wilson fermions. I:  $O(a)$  improvement*, *JHEP* **08** (2004) 007, [[hep-lat/0306014](#)].

- [17] J. B. Kogut and L. Susskind, *Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 395.
- [18] T. Banks, L. Susskind and J. B. Kogut, *Strong coupling calculations of lattice gauge theories: (1+1)-dimensional exercises*, *Phys. Rev.* **D13** (1976) 1043.
- [19] CORNELL-OXFORD-TEL AVIV-YESHIVA collaboration, T. Banks et al., *Strong coupling calculations of the hadron spectrum of Quantum Chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D15** (1977) 1111.
- [20] L. Susskind, *Lattice fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977) 3031–3039.
- [21] E. Marinari, G. Parisi and C. Rebbi, *Monte Carlo simulation of the massive Schwinger model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B190** (1981) 734.
- [22] C. Bernard, M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, *Observations on staggered fermions at non-zero lattice spacing*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 114511, [[hep-lat/0604017](#)].
- [23] S. Prelovsek, *Effects of staggered fermions and mixed actions on the scalar correlator*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 014506, [[hep-lat/0510080](#)].
- [24] C. Bernard, *Staggered chiral perturbation theory and the fourth-root trick*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 114503, [[hep-lat/0603011](#)].
- [25] C. Bernard, C. E. DeTar, Z. Fu and S. Prelovsek, *Scalar meson spectroscopy with lattice staggered fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 094504, [[0707.2402](#)].
- [26] C. Aubin, J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, *Discretization effects and the scalar meson correlator in mixed-action lattice simulations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 114501, [[0803.0129](#)].
- [27] Y. Shamir, *Locality of the fourth root of the staggered-fermion determinant: renormalization-group approach*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 034509, [[hep-lat/0412014](#)].
- [28] Y. Shamir, *Renormalization-group analysis of the validity of staggered-fermion QCD with the fourth-root recipe*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 054503, [[hep-lat/0607007](#)].
- [29] W.-J. Lee and S. R. Sharpe, *Partial flavor symmetry restoration for chiral staggered fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D60** (1999) 114503, [[hep-lat/9905023](#)].
- [30] C. Aubin and C. Bernard, *Pion and kaon masses in staggered chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 034014, [[hep-lat/0304014](#)].
- [31] S. R. Sharpe and R. S. Van de Water, *Staggered chiral perturbation theory at next-to-leading order*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 114505, [[hep-lat/0409018](#)].
- [32] C. Bernard, M. Golterman and Y. Shamir, *Effective field theories for QCD with rooted staggered fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 074505, [[0712.2560](#)].
- [33] C. Aubin and C. Bernard, *Staggered chiral perturbation theory for heavy-light mesons*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 014515, [[hep-lat/0510088](#)].

- [34] J. Komijani and C. Bernard, *Staggered chiral perturbation theory for all-staggered heavy-light mesons*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 199, [[1211.0785](#)].
- [35] C. Bernard and J. Komijani, *Chiral Perturbation Theory for All-Staggered Heavy-Light Mesons*, *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013) 094017, [[1309.4533](#)].
- [36] J. A. Bailey, *Staggered heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D77** (2008) 054504, [[0704.1490](#)].
- [37] O. Bär, C. Bernard, G. Rupak and N. Shoresh, *Chiral perturbation theory for staggered sea quarks and Ginsparg-Wilson valence quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 054502, [[hep-lat/0503009](#)].
- [38] [SWME 10] T. Bae et al.,  *$B_K$  using HYP-smearred staggered fermions in  $N_f = 2 + 1$  unquenched QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 114509, [[1008.5179](#)].
- [39] S. Dürr and C. Hoelbling, *Staggered versus overlap fermions: a study in the Schwinger model with  $N_f = 0, 1, 2$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 034503, [[hep-lat/0311002](#)].
- [40] S. Dürr and C. Hoelbling, *Scaling tests with dynamical overlap and rooted staggered fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 054501, [[hep-lat/0411022](#)].
- [41] S. Dürr and C. Hoelbling, *Lattice fermions with complex mass*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 014513, [[hep-lat/0604005](#)].
- [42] [HPQCD 04] E. Follana, A. Hart and C. T. H. Davies, *The index theorem and universality properties of the low-lying eigenvalues of improved staggered quarks*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 241601, [[hep-lat/0406010](#)].
- [43] S. Dürr, C. Hoelbling and U. Wenger, *Staggered eigenvalue mimicry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 094502, [[hep-lat/0406027](#)].
- [44] K. Y. Wong and R. Woloshyn, *Systematics of staggered fermion spectral properties and topology*, *Phys.Rev.* **D71** (2005) 094508, [[hep-lat/0412001](#)].
- [45] [HPQCD/FNAL 11] G. C. Donald, C. T. Davies, E. Follana and A. S. Kronfeld, *Staggered fermions, zero modes, and flavor-singlet mesons*, *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 054504, [[1106.2412](#)].
- [46] [MILC 04] C. Aubin et al., *Light pseudoscalar decay constants, quark masses and low energy constants from three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 114501, [[hep-lat/0407028](#)].
- [47] [MILC 09] A. Bazavov et al., *Full nonperturbative QCD simulations with 2+1 flavors of improved staggered quarks*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010) 1349–1417, [[0903.3598](#)].
- [48] M. Creutz, *Flavor extrapolations and staggered fermions*, [hep-lat/0603020](#).
- [49] M. Creutz, *Diseases with rooted staggered quarks*, *PoS LAT2006* (2006) 208, [[hep-lat/0608020](#)].
- [50] M. Creutz, *The evil that is rooting*, *Phys. Lett.* **B649** (2007) 230–234, [[hep-lat/0701018](#)].

- [51] M. Creutz, *The author replies. (Chiral anomalies and rooted staggered fermions)*, *Phys. Lett.* **B649** (2007) 241–242, [[0704.2016](#)].
- [52] M. Creutz, *Why rooting fails*, *PoS LAT2007* (2007) 007, [[0708.1295](#)].
- [53] M. Creutz, *Comment on “’t Hooft vertices, partial quenching, and rooted staggered QCD”*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 078501, [[0805.1350](#)].
- [54] M. Creutz, *Comments on staggered fermions/Panel discussion*, *PoS CONFINEMENT8* (2008) 016, [[0810.4526](#)].
- [55] C. Bernard, M. Golterman, Y. Shamir and S. R. Sharpe, *Comment on ‘chiral anomalies and rooted staggered fermions’*, *Phys. Lett.* **B649** (2007) 235–240, [[hep-lat/0603027](#)].
- [56] S. R. Sharpe, *Rooted staggered fermions: good, bad or ugly?*, *PoS LAT2006* (2006) 022, [[hep-lat/0610094](#)].
- [57] C. Bernard, M. Golterman, Y. Shamir and S. R. Sharpe, *’t Hooft vertices, partial quenching, and rooted staggered QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 114504, [[0711.0696](#)].
- [58] A. S. Kronfeld, *Lattice gauge theory with staggered fermions: how, where, and why (not)*, *PoS LAT2007* (2007) 016, [[0711.0699](#)].
- [59] C. Bernard, M. Golterman, Y. Shamir and S. R. Sharpe, *Reply to: Comment on ’t Hooft vertices, partial quenching, and rooted staggered QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 078502, [[0808.2056](#)].
- [60] D. H. Adams, *The rooting issue for a lattice fermion formulation similar to staggered fermions but without taste mixing*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 105024, [[0802.3029](#)].
- [61] M. Golterman, *QCD with rooted staggered fermions*, *PoS CONFINEMENT8* (2008) 014, [[0812.3110](#)].
- [62] G. ’t Hooft, *Symmetry breaking through Bell-Jackiw anomalies*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **37** (1976) 8–11.
- [63] G. ’t Hooft, *Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle*, *Phys.Rev.* **D14** (1976) 3432–3450.
- [64] S. Dürr, *Theoretical issues with staggered fermion simulations*, *PoS LAT2005* (2006) 021, [[hep-lat/0509026](#)].
- [65] [MILC 99] K. Orginos, D. Toussaint and R. L. Sugar, *Variants of fattening and flavor symmetry restoration*, *Phys. Rev.* **D60** (1999) 054503, [[hep-lat/9903032](#)].
- [66] [HPQCD 06B] E. Follana et al., *Highly improved staggered quarks on the lattice, with applications to charm physics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 054502, [[hep-lat/0610092](#)].
- [67] Y. Aoki, Z. Fodor, S. Katz and K. Szabo, *The equation of state in lattice QCD: with physical quark masses towards the continuum limit*, *JHEP* **0601** (2006) 089, [[hep-lat/0510084](#)].

- [68] A. Hasenfratz and F. Knechtli, *Flavor symmetry and the static potential with hypercubic blocking*, *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 034504, [[hep-lat/0103029](#)].
- [69] S. Naik, *On-shell improved lattice action for QCD with Susskind fermions and asymptotic freedom scale*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B316** (1989) 238.
- [70] G. P. Lepage, *Flavor-symmetry restoration and Symanzik improvement for staggered quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 074502, [[hep-lat/9809157](#)].
- [71] P. Hasenfratz, *Lattice QCD without tuning, mixing and current renormalization*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B525** (1998) 401–409, [[hep-lat/9802007](#)].
- [72] P. Hasenfratz, V. Laliena and F. Niedermayer, *The index theorem in QCD with a finite cut-off*, *Phys. Lett.* **B427** (1998) 125–131, [[hep-lat/9801021](#)].
- [73] M. Lüscher, *Exact chiral symmetry on the lattice and the Ginsparg-Wilson relation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 342–345, [[hep-lat/9802011](#)].
- [74] P. H. Ginsparg and K. G. Wilson, *A remnant of chiral symmetry on the lattice*, *Phys. Rev.* **D25** (1982) 2649.
- [75] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, *No go theorem for regularizing chiral fermions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B105** (1981) 219.
- [76] H. Neuberger, *Exactly massless quarks on the lattice*, *Phys. Lett.* **B417** (1998) 141–144, [[hep-lat/9707022](#)].
- [77] D. B. Kaplan, *A method for simulating chiral fermions on the lattice*, *Phys. Lett.* **B288** (1992) 342–347, [[hep-lat/9206013](#)].
- [78] Y. Shamir, *Chiral fermions from lattice boundaries*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B406** (1993) 90–106, [[hep-lat/9303005](#)].
- [79] V. Furman and Y. Shamir, *Axial symmetries in lattice QCD with Kaplan fermions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B439** (1995) 54–78, [[hep-lat/9405004](#)].
- [80] T. Blum and A. Soni, *QCD with domain wall quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D56** (1997) 174–178, [[hep-lat/9611030](#)].
- [81] S. R. Sharpe, *Future of Chiral Extrapolations with Domain Wall Fermions*, [0706.0218](#).
- [82] [RBC/UKQCD 12] R. Arthur et al., *Domain wall QCD with near-physical pions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 094514, [[1208.4412](#)].
- [83] A. Borici, *Truncated overlap fermions*, *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **83** (2000) 771–773, [[hep-lat/9909057](#)].
- [84] A. Borici, *Truncated overlap fermions: The link between overlap and domain wall fermions*, [hep-lat/9912040](#).
- [85] W. Bietenholz and U. Wiese, *Perfect lattice actions for quarks and gluons*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B464** (1996) 319–352, [[hep-lat/9510026](#)].



- [86] P. Hasenfratz et al., *The construction of generalized Dirac operators on the lattice*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **C12** (2001) 691–708, [[hep-lat/0003013](#)].
- [87] P. Hasenfratz, S. Hauswirth, T. Jörg, F. Niedermayer and K. Holland, *Testing the fixed-point QCD action and the construction of chiral currents*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B643** (2002) 280–320, [[hep-lat/0205010](#)].
- [88] C. Gattringer, *A new approach to Ginsparg-Wilson fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 114501, [[hep-lat/0003005](#)].
- [89] A. Hasenfratz, R. Hoffmann and S. Schaefer, *Hypercubic smeared links for dynamical fermions*, *JHEP* **05** (2007) 029, [[hep-lat/0702028](#)].
- [90] C. Morningstar and M. J. Peardon, *Analytic smearing of  $SU(3)$  link variables in lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 054501, [[hep-lat/0311018](#)].
- [91] [BMW 08A] S. Dürr et al., *Scaling study of dynamical smeared-link clover fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 014501, [[0802.2706](#)].
- [92] S. Capitani, S. Dürr and C. Hoelbling, *Rationale for UV-filtered clover fermions*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 028, [[hep-lat/0607006](#)].
- [93] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Weak decays of heavy mesons in the static quark approximation*, *Phys.Lett.* **B232** (1989) 113.
- [94] E. Eichten and B. R. Hill, *An effective field theory for the calculation of matrix elements involving heavy quarks*, *Phys.Lett.* **B234** (1990) 511.
- [95] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Weak transition form-factors between heavy mesons*, *Phys.Lett.* **B237** (1990) 527.
- [96] W. E. Caswell and G. P. Lepage, *Effective Lagrangians for bound state problems in QED, QCD and other field theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B167** (1986) 437.
- [97] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G. P. Lepage, *Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium*, *Phys.Rev.* **D51** (1995) 1125–1171, [[hep-ph/9407339](#)].
- [98] [ALPHA 03] J. Heitger and R. Sommer, *Nonperturbative heavy quark effective theory*, *JHEP* **0402** (2004) 022, [[hep-lat/0310035](#)].
- [99] B. Thacker and G. P. Lepage, *Heavy quark bound states in lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D43** (1991) 196–208.
- [100] G. P. Lepage, L. Magnea, C. Nakhleh, U. Magnea and K. Hornbostel, *Improved nonrelativistic QCD for heavy quark physics*, *Phys.Rev.* **D46** (1992) 4052–4067, [[hep-lat/9205007](#)].
- [101] A. X. El-Khadra, A. S. Kronfeld and P. B. Mackenzie, *Massive fermions in lattice gauge theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D55** (1997) 3933–3957, [[hep-lat/9604004](#)].
- [102] N. H. Christ, M. Li and H.-W. Lin, *Relativistic heavy quark effective action*, *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 074505, [[hep-lat/0608006](#)].

- [103] S. Aoki, Y. Kuramashi and S.-i. Tominaga, *Relativistic heavy quarks on the lattice*, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **109** (2003) 383–413, [[hep-lat/0107009](#)].
- [104] [ETM 11A] P. Dimopoulos et al., *Lattice QCD determination of  $m_b$ ,  $f_B$  and  $f_{B_s}$  with twisted mass Wilson fermions*, *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 046, [[1107.1441](#)].
- [105] [HPQCD 11A] C. McNeile, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, K. Hornbostel and G. P. Lepage, *High-precision  $f_{B_s}$  and HQET from relativistic lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 031503, [[1110.4510](#)].
- [106] [RBC/UKQCD 10C] C. Albertus et al., *Neutral B-meson mixing from unquenched lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and static b-quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 014505, [[1001.2023](#)].
- [107] T. Ishikawa, Y. Aoki, J. M. Flynn, T. Izubuchi and O. Laktik, *One-loop operator matching in the static heavy and domain-wall light quark system with  $O(a)$  improvement*, *JHEP* **1105** (2011) 040, [[1101.1072](#)].
- [108] B. Blossier, *Lattice renormalisation of  $O(a)$  improved heavy-light operators: an addendum*, *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 097501, [[1106.2132](#)].
- [109] [ALPHA 10B] B. Blossier, M. Della Morte, N. Garron and R. Sommer, *HQET at order  $1/m$ : I. Non-perturbative parameters in the quenched approximation*, *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 002, [[1001.4783](#)].
- [110] R. Sommer, *Non-perturbative QCD: renormalization,  $O(a)$ -improvement and matching to heavy quark effective theory*, Nara, Japan, 2005, [hep-lat/0611020](#).
- [111] M. Della Morte, *Standard Model parameters and heavy quarks on the lattice*, *PoS LAT2007* (2007) 008, [[0711.3160](#)].
- [112] [ALPHA 12D] B. Blossier et al., *Parameters of heavy quark effective theory from  $N_f = 2$  lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 132, [[1203.6516](#)].
- [113] [ALPHA 10] B. Blossier et al., *HQET at order  $1/m$ : III. Decay constants in the quenched approximation*, *JHEP* **1012** (2010) 039, [[1006.5816](#)].
- [114] [ALPHA 12A] F. Bernardoni, B. Blossier, J. Bulava, M. Della Morte, P. Fritzsche et al., *B-physics from HQET in two-flavour lattice QCD*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 273, [[1210.7932](#)].
- [115] [ALPHA 05A] M. Della Morte, A. Shindler and R. Sommer, *On lattice actions for static quarks*, *JHEP* **0508** (2005) 051, [[hep-lat/0506008](#)].
- [116] [HPQCD 10C] E. B. Gregory et al., *Precise  $B$ ,  $B_s$  and  $B_c$  meson spectroscopy from full lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 014506, [[1010.3848](#)].
- [117] C. J. Morningstar, *Radiative corrections to the kinetic couplings in nonrelativistic lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D50** (1994) 5902–5911, [[hep-lat/9406002](#)].

- [118] T. Hammant, A. Hart, G. von Hippel, R. Horgan and C. Monahan, *Radiative improvement of the lattice NRQCD action using the background field method and application to the hyperfine splitting of quarkonium states*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 112002, [[1105.5309](#)].
- [119] [HPQCD 11B] R. J. Dowdall et al., *The upsilon spectrum and the determination of the lattice spacing from lattice QCD including charm quarks in the sea*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 054509, [[1110.6887](#)].
- [120] [HPQCD 12D] C. Monahan, J. Shigemitsu and R. Horgan, *Matching lattice and continuum axial-vector and vector currents with NRQCD and HISQ quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 034017, [[1211.6966](#)].
- [121] [HPQCD 10D] J. Koponen et al., *Heavy-light current-current correlators*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 231, [[1011.1208](#)].
- [122] J. Harada, S. Hashimoto, K.-I. Ishikawa, A. S. Kronfeld, T. Onogi et al., *Application of heavy-quark effective theory to lattice QCD. 2. Radiative corrections to heavy-light currents*, *Phys.Rev.* **D65** (2002) 094513, [[hep-lat/0112044](#)].
- [123] B. Sheikholeslami and R. Wohlert, *Improved continuum limit lattice action for QCD with Wilson fermions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B259** (1985) 572.
- [124] A. S. Kronfeld, *Application of heavy quark effective theory to lattice QCD. 1. Power corrections*, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 014505, [[hep-lat/0002008](#)].
- [125] J. Harada, S. Hashimoto, A. S. Kronfeld and T. Onogi, *Application of heavy-quark effective theory to lattice QCD. 3. Radiative corrections to heavy-heavy currents*, *Phys.Rev.* **D65** (2002) 094514, [[hep-lat/0112045](#)].
- [126] A. X. El-Khadra, A. S. Kronfeld, P. B. Mackenzie, S. M. Ryan and J. N. Simone, *The semileptonic decays  $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$  and  $D \rightarrow \pi l \nu$  from lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 014502, [[hep-ph/0101023](#)].
- [127] [RBC/UKQCD 12A] Y. Aoki et al., *Nonperturbative tuning of an improved relativistic heavy-quark action with application to bottom spectroscopy*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 116003, [[1206.2554](#)].
- [128] O. Witzel, *Calculating B-meson decay constants using domain-wall light quarks and nonperturbatively tuned relativistic b-quarks*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 103, [[1211.3180](#)].
- [129] [RBC/UKQCD 12B] T. Kawanai, R. S. Van de Water and O. Witzel, *The  $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$  form factor from unquenched lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and relativistic b-quarks*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 109, [[1211.0956](#)].
- [130] C. Lehner, *Automated lattice perturbation theory and relativistic heavy quarks in the Columbia formulation*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 126, [[1211.4013](#)].
- [131] [CP-PACS/JLQCD 05] S. Aoki et al., *Nonperturbative  $O(a)$  improvement of the Wilson quark action with the RG-improved gauge action using the Schrödinger functional method*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 034501, [[hep-lat/0508031](#)].

- [132] S. Aoki, Y. Kayaba and Y. Kuramashi, *A perturbative determination of mass dependent  $O(a)$  improvement coefficients in a relativistic heavy quark action*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B697** (2004) 271–301, [[hep-lat/0309161](#)].
- [133] [PACS-CS 11] Y. Namekawa et al., *Charm quark system at the physical point of 2+1 flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 074505, [[1104.4600](#)].
- [134] [CP-PACS/JLQCD/ALPHA 07] T. Kaneko et al., *Non-perturbative improvement of the axial current with three dynamical flavors and the Iwasaki gauge action*, *JHEP* **0704** (2007) 092, [[hep-lat/0703006](#)].
- [135] [PACS-CS 10] S. Aoki et al., *Non-perturbative renormalization of quark mass in  $N_f = 2 + 1$  QCD with the Schrödinger functional scheme*, *JHEP* **1008** (2010) 101, [[1006.1164](#)].
- [136] S. Aoki, Y. Kayaba and Y. Kuramashi, *Perturbative determination of mass dependent  $O(a)$  improvement coefficients for the vector and axial vector currents with a relativistic heavy quark action*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B689** (2004) 127–156, [[hep-lat/0401030](#)].
- [137] [ETM 09D] B. Blossier et al., *A proposal for B-physics on current lattices*, *JHEP* **1004** (2010) 049, [[0909.3187](#)].
- [138] D. Guazzini, R. Sommer and N. Tantalo,  *$m_b$  and  $f_{B_s}$  from a combination of HQET and QCD*, *PoS LAT2006* (2006) 084, [[hep-lat/0609065](#)].
- [139] [ETM 09E] B. Blossier et al.,  *$f_B$  and  $f_{B_s}$  with maximally twisted Wilson fermions*, *PoS LAT2009* (2009) 151, [[0911.3757](#)].
- [140] R. Sommer, *A new way to set the energy scale in lattice gauge theories and its applications to the static force and  $\alpha_s$  in  $SU(2)$  Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B411** (1994) 839–854, [[hep-lat/9310022](#)].
- [141] C. W. Bernard et al., *The static quark potential in three flavor QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 034503, [[hep-lat/0002028](#)].
- [142] G. Martinelli, C. Pittori, C. T. Sachrajda, M. Testa and A. Vladikas, *A general method for nonperturbative renormalization of lattice operators*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B445** (1995) 81–108, [[hep-lat/9411010](#)].
- [143] [RBC 10] R. Arthur and P. A. Boyle, *Step scaling with off-shell renormalisation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 114511, [[1006.0422](#)].
- [144] [BMW 10A] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., *Lattice QCD at the physical point: light quark masses*, *Phys.Lett.* **B701** (2011) 265–268, [[1011.2403](#)].
- [145] [BMW 10B] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., *Lattice QCD at the physical point: simulation and analysis details*, *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 148, [[1011.2711](#)].
- [146] [RBC/UKQCD 10B] Y. Aoki et al., *Continuum limit of  $B_K$  from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 014503, [[1012.4178](#)].

- [147] M. Lüscher, R. Narayanan, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, *The Schrödinger functional: a renormalizable probe for non-abelian gauge theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B384** (1992) 168–228, [[hep-lat/9207009](#)].
- [148] A. X. El-Khadra, E. Gamiz, A. S. Kronfeld and M. A. Nobes, *Perturbative matching of heavy-light currents at one-loop*, *PoS LAT2007* (2007) 242, [[0710.1437](#)].
- [149] C. Aubin and C. Bernard, *Pseudoscalar decay constants in staggered chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 074011, [[hep-lat/0306026](#)].
- [150] S. R. Sharpe and R. L. Singleton, Jr, *Spontaneous flavor and parity breaking with Wilson fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D58** (1998) 074501, [[hep-lat/9804028](#)].
- [151] G. Rupak and N. Shoresh, *Chiral perturbation theory for the Wilson lattice action*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 054503, [[hep-lat/0201019](#)].
- [152] S. Aoki, *Chiral perturbation theory with Wilson-type fermions including  $a^2$  effects:  $N_f = 2$  degenerate case*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 054508, [[hep-lat/0306027](#)].
- [153] S. R. Sharpe and J. M. S. Wu, *Twisted mass chiral perturbation theory at next-to-leading order*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 074501, [[hep-lat/0411021](#)].
- [154] S. Aoki and O. Bär, *Twisted-mass QCD,  $O(a)$  improvement and Wilson chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 116011, [[hep-lat/0409006](#)].
- [155] O. Bär, *Chiral logs in twisted mass lattice QCD with large isospin breaking*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 094505, [[1008.0784](#)].
- [156] C. W. Bernard and M. F. L. Golterman, *Partially quenched gauge theories and an application to staggered fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 486–494, [[hep-lat/9306005](#)].
- [157] M. F. L. Golterman and K.-C. Leung, *Applications of partially quenched chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D57** (1998) 5703–5710, [[hep-lat/9711033](#)].
- [158] S. R. Sharpe, *Enhanced chiral logarithms in partially quenched QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 7052–7058, [[hep-lat/9707018](#)].
- [159] S. R. Sharpe and N. Shoresh, *Physical results from unphysical simulations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 094503, [[hep-lat/0006017](#)].
- [160] O. Bär, G. Rupak and N. Shoresh, *Simulations with different lattice Dirac operators for valence and sea quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 114505, [[hep-lat/0210050](#)].
- [161] O. Bär, G. Rupak and N. Shoresh, *Chiral perturbation theory at  $O(a^2)$  for lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 034508, [[hep-lat/0306021](#)].
- [162] M. Golterman, T. Izubuchi and Y. Shamir, *The role of the double pole in lattice QCD with mixed actions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 114508, [[hep-lat/0504013](#)].
- [163] J.-W. Chen, D. O’Connell and A. Walker-Loud, *Two meson systems with Ginsparg-Wilson valence quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 054501, [[hep-lat/0611003](#)].

- [164] J.-W. Chen, D. O’Connell and A. Walker-Loud, *Universality of mixed action extrapolation formulae*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 090, [[0706.0035](#)].
- [165] J.-W. Chen, M. Golterman, D. O’Connell and A. Walker-Loud, *Mixed action effective field theory: an addendum*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 117502, [[0905.2566](#)].
- [166] [ALPHA 01A] A. Bode et al., *First results on the running coupling in QCD with two massless flavors*, *Phys.Lett.* **B515** (2001) 49–56, [[hep-lat/0105003](#)].
- [167] [ALPHA 04] M. Della Morte et al., *Computation of the strong coupling in QCD with two dynamical flavours*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B713** (2005) 378–406, [[hep-lat/0411025](#)].
- [168] [ALPHA 05] M. Della Morte et al., *Non-perturbative quark mass renormalization in two-flavor QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B729** (2005) 117–134, [[hep-lat/0507035](#)].
- [169] [ALPHA 12] P. Fritzsche, F. Knechtli, B. Leder, M. Marinkovic, S. Schaefer et al., *The strange quark mass and the  $\Lambda$  parameter of two flavor QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B865** (2012) 397–429, [[1205.5380](#)].
- [170] [ALPHA 13A] S. Lottini, *Chiral behaviour of the pion decay constant in  $N_f = 2$  QCD*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2013) 315, [[1311.3081](#)].
- [171] S. Aoki, M. Fukugita, S. Hashimoto, N. Ishizuka, H. Mino et al., *Manifestation of sea quark effects in the strong coupling constant in lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **74** (1995) 22–25, [[hep-lat/9407015](#)].
- [172] F. Bernardoni, P. Hernandez, N. Garron, S. Necco and C. Pena, *Probing the chiral regime of  $N_f = 2$  QCD with mixed actions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 054503, [[1008.1870](#)].
- [173] F. Bernardoni, N. Garron, P. Hernandez, S. Necco and C. Pena, *Light quark correlators in a mixed-action setup*, *PoS LAT2011* (2011) 109, [[1110.0922](#)].
- [174] B. B. Brandt, A. Jüttner and H. Wittig, *The pion vector form factor from lattice QCD and NNLO chiral perturbation theory*, *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 034, [[1306.2916](#)].
- [175] [Boucaud 01B] P. Boucaud, J. Leroy, H. Moutarde, J. Micheli, O. Pene et al., *Preliminary calculation of  $\alpha_s$  from Green functions with dynamical quarks*, *JHEP* **0201** (2002) 046, [[hep-ph/0107278](#)].
- [176] [CERN-TOV 06] L. Del Debbio, L. Giusti, M. Lüscher, R. Petronzio and N. Tantalo, *QCD with light Wilson quarks on fine lattices (I): first experiences and physics results*, *JHEP* **02** (2007) 056, [[hep-lat/0610059](#)].
- [177] [CERN 08] L. Giusti and M. Lüscher, *Chiral symmetry breaking and the Banks–Casher relation in lattice QCD with Wilson quarks*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 013, [[0812.3638](#)].
- [178] [CP-PACS 01] A. Ali Khan et al., *Light hadron spectroscopy with two flavors of dynamical quarks on the lattice*, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 054505, [[hep-lat/0105015](#)].
- [179] C. T. H. Davies, K. Hornbostel, G. Lepage, A. Lidsey, J. Shigemitsu et al., *A precise determination of  $\alpha_s$  from lattice QCD*, *Phys.Lett.* **B345** (1995) 42–48, [[hep-ph/9408328](#)].

- [180] S. Dürer and G. Koutsou, *The ratio  $m_c/m_s$  with Wilson fermions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 122003, [[1108.1650](#)].
- [181] G. P. Engel, L. Giusti, S. Lottini and R. Sommer, *Spectral density of the Dirac operator in two-flavor QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 054505, [[1411.6386](#)].
- [182] [ETM 07] B. Blossier et al., *Light quark masses and pseudoscalar decay constants from  $N_f = 2$  lattice QCD with twisted mass fermions*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 020, [[0709.4574](#)].
- [183] [ETM 07A] Ph. Boucaud et al., *Dynamical twisted mass fermions with light quarks*, *Phys.Lett.* **B650** (2007) 304–311, [[hep-lat/0701012](#)].
- [184] [ETM 08] R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz and S. Simula, *Electromagnetic form factor of the pion from twisted-mass lattice QCD at  $N_f = 2$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 074506, [[0812.4042](#)].
- [185] [ETM 09] B. Blossier et al., *Pseudoscalar decay constants of kaon and D-mesons from  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **0907** (2009) 043, [[0904.0954](#)].
- [186] [ETM 09A] V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, S. Simula and C. Tarantino,  *$K \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  semileptonic form factors from two-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 111502, [[0906.4728](#)].
- [187] [ETM 09B] K. Jansen and A. Shindler, *The  $\epsilon$ -regime of chiral perturbation theory with Wilson-type fermions*, *PoS LAT2009* (2009) 070, [[0911.1931](#)].
- [188] [ETM 09C] R. Baron et al., *Light meson physics from maximally twisted mass lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 097, [[0911.5061](#)].
- [189] [ETM 10B] B. Blossier et al., *Average up/down, strange and charm quark masses with  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 114513, [[1010.3659](#)].
- [190] [ETM 10D] V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, L. Orifici, S. Simula and C. Tarantino, *Improved analysis of the scalar and vector form factors of kaon semileptonic decays with  $N_f = 2$  twisted-mass fermions*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 316, [[1012.3573](#)].
- [191] [ETM 10F] B. Blossier et al., *Ghost-gluon coupling, power corrections and  $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$  from twisted-mass lattice QCD at  $N_f = 2$* , *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 034510, [[1005.5290](#)].
- [192] [ETM 11C] K. Jansen, F. Karbstein, A. Nagy and M. Wagner,  *$\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$  from the static potential for QCD with  $N_f = 2$  dynamical quark flavors*, *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 025, [[1110.6859](#)].
- [193] [ETM 12] F. Burger, V. Lubicz, M. Müller-Preussker, S. Simula and C. Urbach, *Quark mass and chiral condensate from the Wilson twisted mass lattice quark propagator*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 034514, [[1210.0838](#)].
- [194] [ETM 13] K. Cichy, E. Garcia-Ramos and K. Jansen, *Chiral condensate from the twisted mass Dirac operator spectrum*, *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 175, [[1303.1954](#)].
- [195] [ETM 13A] G. Herdoiza, K. Jansen, C. Michael, K. Ottnad and C. Urbach, *Determination of low-energy constants of Wilson chiral perturbation theory*, *JHEP* **1305** (2013) 038, [[1303.3516](#)].

- [196] [ETM 10A] M. Constantinou et al., *BK-parameter from  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 014505, [[1009.5606](#)].
- [197] [ETM 12D] V. Bertone et al., *Kaon Mixing Beyond the SM from  $N_f=2$  tmQCD and model independent constraints from the UTA*, *JHEP* **03** (2013) 089, [[1207.1287](#)].
- [198] [ETM 14D] A. Abdel-Rehim, C. Alexandrou, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, K. Jansen et al., *Progress in Simulations with Twisted Mass Fermions at the Physical Point*, *PoS LATTICE2014* (2014) 119, [[1411.6842](#)].
- [199] [ETM 15A] A. Abdel-Rehim et al., *Simulating QCD at the physical point with  $N_f = 2$  Wilson twisted mass fermions at maximal twist*, *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2015) 094515, [[1507.05068](#)].
- [200] V. Gülpers, G. von Hippel and H. Wittig, *The scalar pion form factor in two-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 094503, [[1309.2104](#)].
- [201] V. Gülpers, G. von Hippel and H. Wittig, *The scalar radius of the pion from lattice QCD in the continuum limit*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A51** (2015) 158, [[1507.01749](#)].
- [202] A. Hasenfratz, R. Hoffmann and S. Schaefer, *Low energy chiral constants from  $\epsilon$ -regime simulations with improved Wilson fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 054511, [[0806.4586](#)].
- [203] [JLQCD 08] S. Aoki et al.,  *$B_K$  with two flavors of dynamical overlap fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 094503, [[0801.4186](#)].
- [204] [JLQCD 02] S. Aoki et al., *Light hadron spectroscopy with two flavors of  $O(a)$ -improved dynamical quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 054502, [[hep-lat/0212039](#)].
- [205] [JLQCD 05] N. Tsutsui et al., *Kaon semileptonic decay form factors in two-flavor QCD*, *PoS LAT2005* (2006) 357, [[hep-lat/0510068](#)].
- [206] [JLQCD/TWQCD 07] H. Fukaya et al., *Lattice study of meson correlators in the  $\epsilon$ -regime of two-flavor QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 074503, [[0711.4965](#)].
- [207] [JLQCD/TWQCD 08A] J. Noaki et al., *Convergence of the chiral expansion in two-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 202004, [[0806.0894](#)].
- [208] [JLQCD/TWQCD 10A] H. Fukaya et al., *Determination of the chiral condensate from QCD Dirac spectrum on the lattice*, *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 074501, [[1012.4052](#)].
- [209] [QCDSF 07] D. Brömmel et al., *Kaon semileptonic decay form factors from  $N_f = 2$  non-perturbatively  $O(a)$ -improved Wilson fermions*, *PoS LAT2007* (2007) 364, [[0710.2100](#)].
- [210] [QCDSF 13] R. Horsley, Y. Nakamura, A. Nobile, P. Rakow, G. Schierholz et al., *Nucleon axial charge and pion decay constant from two-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Lett.* **B732** (2014) 41–48, [[1302.2233](#)].
- [211] [QCDSF/UKQCD 04] M. Göckeler et al., *Determination of light and strange quark masses from full lattice QCD*, *Phys. Lett.* **B639** (2006) 307–311, [[hep-ph/0409312](#)].



- [212] [QCDSF/UKQCD 06] M. Göckeler et al., *Estimating the unquenched strange quark mass from the lattice axial Ward identity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 054508, [[hep-lat/0601004](#)].
- [213] [QCDSF/UKQCD 06A] D. Brömmel et al., *The pion form factor from lattice QCD with two dynamical flavours*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C51** (2007) 335–345, [[hep-lat/0608021](#)].
- [214] [QCDSF/UKQCD 07] G. Schierholz et al., *Probing the chiral limit with clover fermions I: the meson sector*, talk given at *Lattice 2007, Regensburg, Germany*, PoS **LAT2007**, 133.
- [215] [RBC 04] Y. Aoki et al., *Lattice QCD with two dynamical flavors of domain wall fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 114505, [[hep-lat/0411006](#)].
- [216] [RBC 06] C. Dawson, T. Izubuchi, T. Kaneko, S. Sasaki and A. Soni, *Vector form factor in  $K_{l3}$  semileptonic decay with two flavors of dynamical domain-wall quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 114502, [[hep-ph/0607162](#)].
- [217] [RBC 07] T. Blum, T. Doi, M. Hayakawa, T. Izubuchi and N. Yamada, *Determination of light quark masses from the electromagnetic splitting of pseudoscalar meson masses computed with two flavors of domain wall fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 114508, [[0708.0484](#)].
- [218] [RBC/UKQCD 07] P. A. Boyle, A. Jüttner, R. Kenway, C. Sachrajda, S. Sasaki et al.,  *$K_{l3}$  semileptonic form-factor from 2+1 flavour lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **100** (2008) 141601, [[0710.5136](#)].
- [219] [RM123 11] G. M. de Divitiis, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli et al., *Isospin breaking effects due to the up-down mass difference in lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **1204** (2012) 124, [[1110.6294](#)].
- [220] [RM123 13] G. M. de Divitiis, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli, R. Petronzio et al., *Leading isospin breaking effects on the lattice*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 114505, [[1303.4896](#)].
- [221] [SESAM 99] A. Spitz et al.,  *$\alpha_s$  from upilon spectroscopy with dynamical Wilson fermions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D60** (1999) 074502, [[hep-lat/9906009](#)].
- [222] A. Sternbeck, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, K. Maltman, M. Müller-Preussker, L. von Smekal et al., *QCD Lambda parameter from Landau-gauge gluon and ghost correlations*, PoS **LAT2009** (2009) 210, [[1003.1585](#)].
- [223] A. Sternbeck, K. Maltman, M. Müller-Preussker and L. von Smekal, *Determination of  $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$  from the gluon and ghost propagators in Landau gauge*, PoS **LAT2012** (2012) 243, [[1212.2039](#)].
- [224] [SPQcdR 05] D. Bećirević et al., *Non-perturbatively renormalised light quark masses from a lattice simulation with  $N_f = 2$* , *Nucl. Phys.* **B734** (2006) 138–155, [[hep-lat/0510014](#)].

- [225] [TWQCD 11] T.-W. Chiu, T.-H. Hsieh and Y.-Y. Mao, *Pseudoscalar meson in two flavors QCD with the optimal domain-wall fermion*, *Phys.Lett.* **B717** (2012) 420–424, [[1109.3675](#)].
- [226] [TWQCD 11A] T.-W. Chiu, T. H. Hsieh and Y. Y. Mao, *Topological susceptibility in two flavors lattice QCD with the optimal domain-wall fermion*, *Phys.Lett.* **B702** (2011) 131–134, [[1105.4414](#)].
- [227] [UKQCD 04] J. M. Flynn, F. Mescia and A. S. B. Tariq, *Sea quark effects in  $B_K$  from  $N_f = 2$  clover-improved Wilson fermions*, *JHEP* **11** (2004) 049, [[hep-lat/0406013](#)].
- [228] M. Wingate, T. A. DeGrand, S. Collins and U. M. Heller, *From spectroscopy to the strong coupling constant with heavy Wilson quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D52** (1995) 307–319, [[hep-lat/9501034](#)].
- [229] K. Osterwalder and E. Seiler, *Gauge Field Theories on the Lattice*, *Annals Phys.* **110** (1978) 440.
- [230] C. Aubin, J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, *Light pseudoscalar meson masses and decay constants from mixed action lattice QCD*, *PoS LAT2008* (2008) 105, [[0810.4328](#)].
- [231] C. Aubin, J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, *The neutral kaon mixing parameter  $B_K$  from unquenched mixed-action lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 014507, [[0905.3947](#)].
- [232] T. Blum et al., *Electromagnetic mass splittings of the low lying hadrons and quark masses from 2+1 flavor lattice QCD+QED*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 094508, [[1006.1311](#)].
- [233] [BMW 10C] A. Portelli et al., *Electromagnetic corrections to light hadron masses*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 121, [[1011.4189](#)].
- [234] [BMW 11] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., *Precision computation of the kaon bag parameter*, *Phys.Lett.* **B705** (2011) 477–481, [[1106.3230](#)].
- [235] [BMW 13] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Krieg, T. Kurth et al., *Lattice QCD at the physical point meets  $SU(2)$  chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 114504, [[1310.3626](#)].
- [236] [BMW 10] S. Dürr, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. Katz, S. Krieg et al., *The ratio  $F_K/F_\pi$  in QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 054507, [[1001.4692](#)].
- [237] P. A. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, N. Garron, R. J. Hudspith, E. Kerrane, K. Maltman et al., *Combined NNLO lattice-continuum determination of  $L_{10}^r$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 094510, [[1403.6729](#)].
- [238] [CP-PACS/JLQCD 07] T. Ishikawa et al., *Light quark masses from unquenched lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 011502, [[0704.1937](#)].
- [239] [FNAL/MILC 12] A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. Bouchard, C. DeTar, M. Di Pierro et al., *Neutral B-meson mixing from three-flavor lattice QCD: determination of the  $SU(3)$ -breaking ratio  $\xi$* , *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 034503, [[1205.7013](#)].

- [240] [FNAL/MILC 12I] A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. Bouchard, C. DeTar, D. Du et al., *Kaon semileptonic vector form factor and determination of  $|V_{us}|$  using staggered fermions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 073012, [[1212.4993](#)].
- [241] [HPQCD 05] Q. Mason, H. D. Trottier, R. Horgan, C. T. H. Davies and G. P. Lepage, *High-precision determination of the light-quark masses from realistic lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 114501, [[hep-ph/0511160](#)].
- [242] [HPQCD 05A] Q. Mason et al., *Accurate determinations of  $\alpha_s$  from realistic lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 052002, [[hep-lat/0503005](#)].
- [243] [HPQCD 08A] C. T. H. Davies et al., *Update: accurate determinations of  $\alpha_s$  from realistic lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 114507, [[0807.1687](#)].
- [244] [HPQCD 13A] R. Dowdall, C. Davies, G. Lepage and C. McNeile,  *$V_{us}$  from  $\pi$  and  $K$  decay constants in full lattice QCD with physical  $u$ ,  $d$ ,  $s$  and  $c$  quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013) 074504, [[1303.1670](#)].
- [245] [HPQCD 10] C. McNeile, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, K. Hornbostel and G. P. Lepage, *High-precision  $c$  and  $b$  masses and QCD coupling from current-current correlators in lattice and continuum QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 034512, [[1004.4285](#)].
- [246] [HPQCD/UKQCD 06] E. Gamiz et al., *Unquenched determination of the kaon parameter  $B_K$  from improved staggered fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 114502, [[hep-lat/0603023](#)].
- [247] [HPQCD/UKQCD 07] E. Follana, C. T. H. Davies, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, *High precision determination of the  $\pi$ ,  $K$ ,  $D$  and  $D_s$  decay constants from lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 062002, [[0706.1726](#)].
- [248] [HPQCD/MILC/UKQCD 04] C. Aubin et al., *First determination of the strange and light quark masses from full lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 031504, [[hep-lat/0405022](#)].
- [249] [JLQCD 09] H. Fukaya et al., *Determination of the chiral condensate from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 122002, [[0911.5555](#)].
- [250] [JLQCD 10] E. Shintani, S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko et al., *Strong coupling constant from vacuum polarization functions in three-flavor lattice QCD with dynamical overlap fermions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 074505, Erratum–*ibid.* **D89** (2014) 099903, [[1002.0371](#)].
- [251] [JLQCD 11] T. Kaneko et al., *Kaon semileptonic form factors in QCD with exact chiral symmetry*, *PoS LAT2011* (2011) 284, [[1112.5259](#)].
- [252] [JLQCD 12] T. Kaneko et al., *Chiral behavior of kaon semileptonic form factors in lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 111, [[1211.6180](#)].
- [253] [JLQCD 14] H. Fukaya, S. Aoki, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, H. Matsufuru and J. Noaki, *Computation of the electromagnetic pion form factor from lattice QCD in the  $\epsilon$  regime*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 034506, [[1405.4077](#)].

- [254] [JLQCD 15A] S. Aoki, G. Cossu, X. Feng, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, J. Noaki et al., *Light meson electromagnetic form factors from three-flavor lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 034504, [[1510.06470](#)].
- [255] [JLQCD 15B] K. Nakayama, B. Fahy and S. Hashimoto, *Charmonium current-current correlators with Mobius domain-wall fermion*, in *Proceedings, 33rd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (Lattice 2015)*, vol. LATTICE2015, p. 267, 2016. [1511.09163](#).
- [256] [JLQCD/TWQCD 08B] T.-W. Chiu et al., *Topological susceptibility in (2+1)-flavor lattice QCD with overlap fermion*, *PoS LAT2008* (2008) 072, [[0810.0085](#)].
- [257] [JLQCD/TWQCD 09A] J. Noaki et al., *Chiral properties of light mesons with  $N_f = 2 + 1$  overlap fermions*, *PoS LAT2009* (2009) 096, [[0910.5532](#)].
- [258] J. Laiho and R. S. Van de Water, *Pseudoscalar decay constants, light-quark masses and  $B_K$  from mixed-action lattice QCD*, *PoS LATTICE2011* (2011) 293, [[1112.4861](#)].
- [259] [LHP 04] F. D. R. Bonnet, R. G. Edwards, G. T. Fleming, R. Lewis and D. G. Richards, *Lattice computations of the pion form factor*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 054506, [[hep-lat/0411028](#)].
- [260] K. Maltman, D. Leinweber, P. Moran and A. Sternbeck, *The realistic lattice determination of  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  revisited*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 114504, [[0807.2020](#)].
- [261] [MILC 07] C. Bernard et al., *Status of the MILC light pseudoscalar meson project*, *PoS LAT2007* (2007) 090, [[0710.1118](#)].
- [262] [MILC 10] A. Bazavov et al., *Results for light pseudoscalar mesons*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 074, [[1012.0868](#)].
- [263] [MILC 10A] A. Bazavov et al., *Staggered chiral perturbation theory in the two-flavor case and  $SU(2)$  analysis of the MILC data*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 083, [[1011.1792](#)].
- [264] [NPLQCD 06] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, K. Orginos and M. J. Savage,  *$f_K/f_\pi$  in full QCD with domain wall valence quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 094501, [[hep-lat/0606023](#)].
- [265] [PACS-CS 08] S. Aoki et al., *2+1 flavor lattice QCD toward the physical point*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 034503, [[0807.1661](#)].
- [266] [PACS-CS 08A] Y. Kuramashi, *PACS-CS results for 2+1 flavor lattice QCD simulation on and off the physical point*, *PoS LAT2008* (2008) 018, [[0811.2630](#)].
- [267] [PACS-CS 09] S. Aoki et al., *Physical point simulation in 2+1 flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 074503, [[0911.2561](#)].
- [268] [PACS-CS 09A] S. Aoki et al., *Precise determination of the strong coupling constant in  $N_f = 2 + 1$  lattice QCD with the Schrödinger functional scheme*, *JHEP* **0910** (2009) 053, [[0906.3906](#)].

- [269] [PACS-CS 11A] O. H. Nguyen, K.-I. Ishikawa, A. Ukawa and N. Ukita, *Electromagnetic form factor of pion from  $N_f = 2 + 1$  dynamical flavor QCD*, *JHEP* **04** (2011) 122, [[1102.3652](#)].
- [270] [QCDSF/UKQCD 15] R. Horsley et al., *Isospin splittings of meson and baryon masses from three-flavor lattice QCD + QED*, *J. Phys.* **G43** (2016) 10LT02, [[1508.06401](#)].
- [271] [RBC/UKQCD 07A] D. J. Antonio et al., *Neutral kaon mixing from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 032001, [[hep-ph/0702042](#)].
- [272] [RBC/UKQCD 08] C. Allton et al., *Physical results from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD and  $SU(2)$  chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 114509, [[0804.0473](#)].
- [273] [RBC/UKQCD 08A] P. A. Boyle et al., *The pion's electromagnetic form factor at small momentum transfer in full lattice QCD*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 112, [[0804.3971](#)].
- [274] [RBC/UKQCD 10] P. A. Boyle et al.,  *$K \rightarrow \pi$  form factors with reduced model dependence*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C69** (2010) 159–167, [[1004.0886](#)].
- [275] [RBC/UKQCD 10A] Y. Aoki et al., *Continuum limit physics from 2+1 flavor domain wall QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 074508, [[1011.0892](#)].
- [276] [RBC/UKQCD 11] C. Kelly, *Continuum results for light hadronic quantities using domain wall fermions with the Iwasaki and DSDR gauge actions*, *PoS LAT2011* (2011) 285, [[1201.0706](#)].
- [277] [RBC/UKQCD 13] P. A. Boyle, J. M. Flynn, N. Garron, A. Jüttner, C. T. Sachrajda et al., *The kaon semileptonic form factor with near physical domain wall quarks*, *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 132, [[1305.7217](#)].
- [278] [RBC/UKQCD 12E] P. A. Boyle, N. Garron and R. J. Hudspith, *Neutral kaon mixing beyond the standard model with  $n_f = 2 + 1$  chiral fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 054028, [[1206.5737](#)].
- [279] [RBC/UKQCD 14B] T. Blum et al., *Domain wall QCD with physical quark masses*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 074505, [[1411.7017](#)].
- [280] [RBC/UKQCD 15A] P.A. Boyle et al., *The kaon semileptonic form factor in  $N_f = 2 + 1$  domain wall lattice QCD with physical light quark masses*, *JHEP* **1506** (2015) 164, [[1504.01692](#)].
- [281] [RBC/UKQCD 15E] P. A. Boyle et al., *Low energy constants of  $SU(2)$  partially quenched chiral perturbation theory from  $N_f=2+1$  domain wall QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 054502, [[1511.01950](#)].
- [282] [SWME 11] J. Kim, C. Jung, H.-J. Kim, W. Lee and S. R. Sharpe, *Finite volume effects in  $B_K$  with improved staggered fermions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 117501, [[1101.2685](#)].
- [283] [SWME 11A] T. Bae et al., *Kaon  $B$ -parameter from improved staggered fermions in  $N_f = 2 + 1$  QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **109** (2012) 041601, [[1111.5698](#)].

- [284] [SWME 13] T. Bae et al., *Update on  $B_K$  and  $\varepsilon_K$  with staggered quarks*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2013) 476, [[1310.7319](#)].
- [285] [SWME 13A] T. Bae et al., *Neutral kaon mixing from new physics: matrix elements in  $N_f = 2 + 1$  lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 071503, [[1309.2040](#)].
- [286] [SWME 14] T. Bae et al., *Improved determination of  $B_K$  with staggered quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 074504, [[1402.0048](#)].
- [287] [SWME 14C] J. Leem et al., *Calculation of BSM Kaon  $B$ -parameters using Staggered Quarks*, *PoS LATTICE2014* (2014) 370, [[1411.1501](#)].
- [288] [SWME 15A] Y.-C. Jang et al., *Kaon BSM  $B$ -parameters using improved staggered fermions from  $N_f = 2 + 1$  unquenched QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 014511, [[1509.00592](#)].
- [289] [TWQCD 08] T.-W. Chiu, T.-H. Hsieh and P.-K. Tseng, *Topological susceptibility in  $2+1$  flavors lattice QCD with domain-wall fermions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B671** (2009) 135–138, [[0810.3406](#)].
- [290] [ALPHA 10A] F. Tekin, R. Sommer and U. Wolff, *The running coupling of QCD with four flavors*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B840** (2010) 114–128, [[1006.0672](#)].
- [291] A. Bazavov, N. Brambilla, X. Garcia i Tormo, P. Petreczky, J. Soto et al., *Determination of  $\alpha_s$  from the QCD static energy*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 114031, [[1205.6155](#)].
- [292] [ETM 10] R. Baron et al., *Light hadrons from lattice QCD with light ( $u,d$ ), strange and charm dynamical quarks*, *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 111, [[1004.5284](#)].
- [293] [ETM 10E] F. Farchioni, G. Herdoiza, K. Jansen, M. Petschlies, C. Urbach et al., *Pseudoscalar decay constants from  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass lattice QCD*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 128, [[1012.0200](#)].
- [294] [ETM 11] R. Baron et al., *Light hadrons from  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  dynamical twisted mass fermions*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 123, [[1101.0518](#)].
- [295] [ETM 11D] B. Blossier, P. Boucaud, M. Brinet, F. De Soto, X. Du et al., *Ghost-gluon coupling, power corrections and  $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$  from lattice QCD with a dynamical charm*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 034503, [[1110.5829](#)].
- [296] [ETM 12C] B. Blossier, P. Boucaud, M. Brinet, F. De Soto, X. Du et al., *The strong running coupling at  $\tau$  and  $Z_0$  mass scales from lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 262002, [[1201.5770](#)].
- [297] [ETM 13D] B. Blossier et al., *High statistics determination of the strong coupling constant in Taylor scheme and its OPE Wilson coefficient from lattice QCD with a dynamical charm*, *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014) 014507, [[1310.3763](#)].
- [298] [ETM 14A] C. Alexandrou, V. Drach, K. Jansen, C. Kallidonis and G. Koutsou, *Baryon spectrum with  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 074501, [[1406.4310](#)].

- [299] [ETM 14B] A. Bussone et al., *Heavy flavour precision physics from  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  lattice simulations*, in *International Conference on High Energy Physics 2014 (ICHEP 2014) Valencia, Spain, July 2-9, 2014*, vol. 273-275, pp. 273–275, 2016. [1411.0484](#). DOI.
- [300] [ETM 15] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Lubicz, G. C. Rossi, S. Simula et al.,  *$S=2$  and  $C=2$  bag parameters in the standard model and beyond from  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted-mass lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 034516, [[1505.06639](#)].
- [301] [ETM 16] N. Carrasco, P. Lami, V. Lubicz, L. Riggio, S. Simula and C. Tarantino,  *$K \rightarrow \pi$  semileptonic form factors with  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 114512, [[1602.04113](#)].
- [302] [FNAL/MILC 12B] A. Bazavov et al., *Pseudoscalar meson physics with four dynamical quarks*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 159, [[1210.8431](#)].
- [303] [FNAL/MILC 13] A. Bazavov et al., *Charmed and strange pseudoscalar meson decay constants from HISQ simulations*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 405, [[1312.0149](#)].
- [304] [FNAL/MILC 13C] E. Gamiz, A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. Bouchard, C. DeTar et al.,  *$K$  semileptonic form factor with HISQ fermions at the physical point*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2013) 395, [[1311.7264](#)].
- [305] [FNAL/MILC 13E] A. Bazavov et al., *Determination of  $|V_{us}|$  from a lattice-QCD calculation of the  $K \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  semileptonic form factor with physical quark masses*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 112001, [[1312.1228](#)].
- [306] [FNAL/MILC 14A] A. Bazavov et al., *Charmed and light pseudoscalar meson decay constants from four-flavor lattice QCD with physical light quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014) 074509, [[1407.3772](#)].
- [307] [HPQCD 14A] B. Chakraborty, C. T. H. Davies, G. C. Donald, R. J. Dowdall, B. Galloway, P. Knecht et al., *High-precision quark masses and QCD coupling from  $n_f = 4$  lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D91** (2015) 054508, [[1408.4169](#)].
- [308] [HPQCD 15B] J. Koponen, F. Bursa, C. T. H. Davies, R. J. Dowdall and G. P. Lepage, *The Size of the Pion from Full Lattice QCD with Physical  $u$ ,  $d$ ,  $s$  and  $c$  Quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 054503, [[1511.07382](#)].
- [309] [MILC 13A] A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, C. DeTar, J. Foley, W. Freeman et al., *Leptonic decay-constant ratio  $f_{K^+}/f_{\pi^+}$  from lattice QCD with physical light quarks*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 172003, [[1301.5855](#)].
- [310] P. Perez-Rubio and S. Sint, *Non-perturbative running of the coupling from four flavour lattice QCD with staggered quarks*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 236, [[1011.6580](#)].
- [311] [ALPHA 11] B. Blossier, J. Bulava, M. Della Morte, M. Donnellan, P. Fritzsche et al.,  *$M_b$  and  $f_B$  from non-perturbatively renormalized HQET with  $N_f = 2$  light quarks*, *PoS LAT2011* (2011) 280, [[1112.6175](#)].

- [312] [ALPHA 13] F. Bernardoni, B. Blossier, J. Bulava, M. Della Morte, P. Fritzsche et al., *B-physics with  $N_f = 2$  Wilson fermions*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 381, [[1309.1074](#)].
- [313] [ALPHA 14] F. Bernardoni et al., *Decay constants of B-mesons from non-perturbative HQET with two light dynamical quarks*, *Phys.Lett.* **B735** (2014) 349–356, [[1404.3590](#)].
- [314] [ALPHA 14B] F. Bahr, F. Bernardoni, J. Bulava, A. Joseph, A. Ramos, H. Simma et al., *Form factors for  $B_s \rightarrow K\ell\nu$  decays in Lattice QCD*, in *8th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle (CKM2014) Vienna, Austria, September 8-12, 2014*, 2014. [1411.3916](#).
- [315] [ALPHA 13B] J. Heitger, G. M. von Hippel, S. Schaefer and F. Virotta, *Charm quark mass and D-meson decay constants from two-flavour lattice QCD*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 475, [[1312.7693](#)].
- [316] M. Atoui, V. Morenas, D. Becirevic and F. Sanfilippo,  *$b_s \rightarrow d_s \ell \nu_\ell$  near zero recoil in and beyond the standard model*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014) 2861, [[1310.5238](#)].
- [317] [ETM 11B] S. Di Vita, B. Haas, V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, S. Simula and C. Tarantino, *Form factors of the  $D \rightarrow \pi$  and  $D \rightarrow K$  semileptonic decays with  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass lattice QCD*, *PoS LATTICE2010* (2010) 301, [[1104.0869](#)].
- [318] [ETM 12A] N. Carrasco et al., *Neutral meson oscillations in the Standard Model and beyond from  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass lattice QCD*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 105, [[1211.0565](#)].
- [319] [ETM 12B] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Gimenez, G. Herdoiza et al., *B-physics from the ratio method with Wilson twisted mass fermions*, *PoS LAT2012* (2012) 104, [[1211.0568](#)].
- [320] [ETM 13B] N. Carrasco et al., *B-physics from  $N_f = 2$  tmQCD: the Standard Model and beyond*, *JHEP* **1403** (2014) 016, [[1308.1851](#)].
- [321] [ETM 13C] N. Carrasco et al., *B-physics computations from  $N_f=2$  tmQCD*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 382, [[1310.1851](#)].
- [322] [TWQCD 14] W. Chen et al., *Decay Constants of Pseudoscalar D-mesons in Lattice QCD with Domain-Wall Fermion*, *Phys.Lett.* **B736** (2014) 231–236, [[1404.3648](#)].
- [323] [ $\chi$ QCD 14] Y. Yi-Bo et al., *Charm and strange quark masses and  $f_{D_s}$  from overlap fermions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 034517, [[1410.3343](#)].
- [324] [FNAL/MILC 04] C. Aubin et al., *Semileptonic decays of D mesons in three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **94** (2005) 011601, [[hep-ph/0408306](#)].
- [325] [FNAL/MILC 04A] M. Okamoto et al., *Semileptonic  $D \rightarrow \pi/K$  and  $B \rightarrow \pi/D$  decays in 2+1 flavor lattice QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **140** (2005) 461–463, [[hep-lat/0409116](#)].
- [326] [FNAL/MILC 05] C. Aubin, C. Bernard, C. E. DeTar, M. Di Pierro, E. D. Freeland et al., *Charmed meson decay constants in three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **95** (2005) 122002, [[hep-lat/0506030](#)].



- [327] [FNAL/MILC 08] C. Bernard et al., *The  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$  form factor at zero recoil from three-flavor lattice QCD: a model independent determination of  $|V_{cb}|$* , *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 014506, [[0808.2519](#)].
- [328] [FNAL/MILC 08A] J. A. Bailey et al., *The  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  semileptonic form factor from three-flavor lattice QCD: a model-independent determination of  $|V_{ub}|$* , *Phys.Rev.* **D79** (2009) 054507, [[0811.3640](#)].
- [329] [FNAL/MILC 10] J. A. Bailey et al.,  *$B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$  at zero recoil: an update*, *PoS LAT2010* (2010) 311, [[1011.2166](#)].
- [330] [FNAL/MILC 11] A. Bazavov et al., *B- and D-meson decay constants from three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 114506, [[1112.3051](#)].
- [331] [FNAL/MILC 11A] C. M. Bouchard, E. Freeland, C. Bernard, A. El-Khadra, E. Gamiz et al., *Neutral B mixing from 2 + 1 flavor lattice-QCD: the Standard Model and beyond*, *PoS LAT2011* (2011) 274, [[1112.5642](#)].
- [332] [FNAL/MILC 13B] S.-W. Qiu, C. DeTar, A. X. El-Khadra, A. S. Kronfeld, J. Laiho et al., *Semileptonic decays  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \ell \nu$  at nonzero recoil*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 385, [[1312.0155](#)].
- [333] [FNAL/MILC 14] J. A. Bailey et al., *Update of  $|V_{cb}|$  from the  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* \ell \bar{\nu}$  form factor at zero recoil with three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 114504, [[1403.0635](#)].
- [334] [FNAL/MILC 15C] J. A. Bailey et al., *BD form factors at nonzero recoil and  $|V_{cb}|$  from 2+1-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 034506, [[1503.07237](#)].
- [335] [FNAL/MILC 15] J. A. Bailey et al.,  *$|V_{ub}|$  from  $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$  decays and (2+1)-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 014024, [[1503.07839](#)].
- [336] [HPQCD 06] E. Dalgic et al., *B meson semileptonic form-factors from unquenched lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 074502, [[hep-lat/0601021](#)].
- [337] [HPQCD 06A] E. Dalgic, A. Gray, E. Gamiz, C. T. Davies, G. P. Lepage et al.,  *$B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$  mixing parameters from unquenched lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D76** (2007) 011501, [[hep-lat/0610104](#)].
- [338] [HPQCD 08B] I. Allison et al., *High-precision charm-quark mass from current-current correlators in lattice and continuum QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 054513, [[0805.2999](#)].
- [339] [HPQCD 09] E. Gamiz, C. T. Davies, G. P. Lepage, J. Shigemitsu and M. Wingate, *Neutral B meson mixing in unquenched lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D80** (2009) 014503, [[0902.1815](#)].
- [340] [HPQCD 13B] A.J. Lee et al., *Mass of the b quark from lattice NRQCD and lattice perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013) 074018, [[1302.3739](#)].
- [341] [HPQCD 12] H. Na, C. J. Monahan, C. T. Davies, R. Horgan, G. P. Lepage et al., *The B and B<sub>s</sub> meson decay constants from lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 034506, [[1202.4914](#)].

- [342] [HPQCD 15] H. Na, C. M. Bouchard, G. P. Lepage, C. Monahan and J. Shigemitsu, *BDI form factors at nonzero recoil and extraction of  $-V_{cb}$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 054510, [[1505.03925](#)].
- [343] [HPQCD 10A] C. T. H. Davies, C. McNeile, E. Follana, G. Lepage, H. Na et al., *Update: precision  $D_s$  decay constant from full lattice QCD using very fine lattices*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 114504, [[1008.4018](#)].
- [344] [HPQCD 10B] H. Na, C. T. H. Davies, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu, *The  $D \rightarrow K\ell\nu$  semileptonic decay scalar form factor and  $|V_{cs}|$  from lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D82** (2010) 114506, [[1008.4562](#)].
- [345] [HPQCD 11] H. Na et al.,  *$D \rightarrow \pi\ell\nu$  semileptonic decays,  $|V_{cd}|$  and  $2^{\text{nd}}$  row unitarity from lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 114505, [[1109.1501](#)].
- [346] [HPQCD 12A] H. Na, C. T. Davies, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage and J. Shigemitsu,  *$|V_{cd}|$  from  $D$  meson leptonic decays*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 054510, [[1206.4936](#)].
- [347] [HPQCD 13C] J. Koponen, C. T. H. Davies, G. C. Donald, E. Follana, G. P. Lepage et al., *The shape of the  $D \rightarrow K$  semileptonic form factor from full lattice QCD and  $V_{cs}$* , [1305.1462](#).
- [348] [RBC/UKQCD 14A] Y. Aoki, T. Ishikawa, T. Izubuchi, C. Lehner and A. Soni, *Neutral  $B$  meson mixings and  $B$  meson decay constants with static heavy and domain-wall light quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 114505, [[1406.6192](#)].
- [349] [RBC/UKQCD 13A] O. Witzel,  *$B$ -meson decay constants with domain-wall light quarks and nonperturbatively tuned relativistic  $b$ -quarks*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 377, [[1311.0276](#)].
- [350] [RBC/UKQCD 14] N. H. Christ, J. M. Flynn, T. Izubuchi, T. Kawanai, C. Lehner et al.,  *$B$ -meson decay constants from  $2+1$ -flavor lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and relativistic heavy quarks*, *Phys.Rev.* **D91** (2015) 054502, [[1404.4670](#)].
- [351] [RBC/UKQCD 15] J. M. Flynn, T. Izubuchi, T. Kawanai, C. Lehner, A. Soni, R. S. Van de Water et al.,  *$B \rightarrow \pi\ell\nu$  and  $B_s \rightarrow K\ell\nu$  form factors and  $|V_{ub}|$  from  $2+1$ -flavor lattice QCD with domain-wall light quarks and relativistic heavy quarks*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 074510, [[1501.05373](#)].
- [352] [ETM 13E] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Giménez, P. Lami et al., *A  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  'twisted' determination of the  $b$ -quark mass,  $f_B$  and  $f_{B_s}$* , *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 313, [[1311.2837](#)].
- [353] [ETM 13F] P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, P. Lami, V. Lubicz, E. Picca et al., *Pseudoscalar decay constants  $f_K/f_\pi$ ,  $f_D$  and  $f_{D_s}$  with  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  ETMC configurations*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 314, [[1311.3080](#)].
- [354] [ETM 14E] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, P. Lami, V. Lubicz et al., *Leptonic decay constants  $f_K$ ,  $f_D$  and  $f_{D_s}$  with  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted-mass lattice QCD*, *Phys.Rev.* **D91** (2015) 054507, [[1411.7908](#)].

- [355] [HPQCD 13] R. J. Dowdall, C. Davies, R. Horgan, C. Monahan and J. Shigemitsu, *B-meson decay constants from improved lattice NRQCD and physical  $u$ ,  $d$ ,  $s$  and  $c$  sea quarks*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 222003, [[1302.2644](#)].